

BOARD MEMBERS

Dan Richard
CHAIR

Thomas Richards
VICE CHAIR

Thea Selby
VICE CHAIR

Lou Correa

Daniel Curtin

Michael Rossi

Lynn Schenk

Jeff Morales
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

**Notice of Intent to Award
Request for Proposals HSR 14-32
Design-Build Services Contract for Construction Package 4**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that subject to Authority Board and FRA Approval, the California High-Speed Rail Authority intends to award the contract for Design-Build Services for Construction Package 4 to the entity as follows:

California Rail Builders
5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 600
Los Angeles, CA 90036

This notice constitutes the public announcement of the notice of intent to award the contract for purposes of Instructions to Proposers, Forms and Certifications, Section 6.12, and more specifically 6.12.3.

Questions should be directed to:

Rebecca Harnagel
California High-Speed Rail Authority
770 L Street, Suite 620
Sacramento, CA 95814
CP4@hsr.ca.gov

Date of Notice of Intent to Award: January 5, 2016

(Apparent Best Value notice attached)

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR





January 5, 2016

Apparent Best Value Request for Proposal HSR 14-32: Design-Build Services Contract for Construction Package 4

Proposer	Technical Proposal Score (maximum 30 points)	Total Proposal Price	Price Proposal Score (maximum 70 points)	Total Proposal Score (maximum 100 points)	Rank
California Rail Builders	25.98	347,557,000.00	70.00	95.98	1
Salini Impregilo/Security Paving JV	25.89	377,142,737.00	64.51	90.40	2
Dragados/Flatiron Joint Venture	25.95	461,954,000.00	52.67	78.62	3
Tutor Perini/Zachry/Parsons, a Joint Venture	25.59	581,877,000.00	41.81	67.40	4
* Central Valley Connection Builders					

The above-matrix identifies the Total Proposal Scores for determining the Apparent Best Value Proposer.

The California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) has determined that California Rail Builders is the Apparent Best Value Proposer. The Authority will proceed with finalizing the procurement process with the Apparent Best Value Proposer. If the Authority is unable to achieve final contract award with the Apparent Best Value Proposer, it may proceed with the next most highly ranked Proposer.

Due to the ongoing procurement, no further information will be disclosed at this time.

*Central Valley Connection Builders (CVCB) was unable to obtain and provide requested documentation, therefore, it was the recommendation of the Evaluation Selection Committee (ESC) that the requirements of the Request for Proposal, including but not limited to Sections 8.3.1, 8.3.4 and 9.3 of the Instructions to Proposers, Forms and Certifications, had not been met and that CVCB's Proposal was found to be non-responsive. Therefore, pursuant to Section 9.2 of the Instructions to Proposers, Forms and Certifications, the Authority determined, in its sole discretion, that CVCB was excluded from further consideration in the RFP evaluation process.