

Merced – Bakersfield Interim Service Proposal

Finance & Audit Committee
Business Case Assessment Study
Update

Presented by Thierry Prate, Financial Advisor Team

_

October 15, 2019

Introduction

The KPMG financial advisor team has been engaged in developing a Business Case Assessment Study for the proposed Merced to Bakersfield Interim Service, from June 2019 to October 2019



Historic Overview

- January 2018: The Authority identified cost and schedule impacts that compel a review of program capacity within revised budget constraints
- June 2018: As part of its 2018 Business Plan, the Authority initiated planning / analysis steps related to early use of Central Valley infrastructure / this was driven by an identified funding gap for completing the Valley to Valley
- May 2019: As part of the 2019 Project Update Report (PUR), the ETO published its operations and financial plan study of the Central Valley and Peninsula corridors interim service
- May 2019: The PUR recommends developing interim high-speed rail service between Merced and Bakersfield as the first building block of the Valley to Valley Line. Governor Newsom endorsed the Building Block approach to delivering the high-speed rail system.
- Late May 2019: KPMG is engaged by the Board's Finance and Audit Committee to conduct a Business Case Assessment Study for the proposed Merced to Bakersfield interim high-speed rail service



Business Case Scope Elements

1

Assess Socio-Economic Benefits

6

2

Evaluate
Delivered
Asset
Utilization

Review ETO Study Operating Plan and Forecasts

8

Develop and Refine Business Models

9

5

Evaluate
Interim
Service
Affordability

Stakeholder Input and Investments Review

Return on Investment Analysis

3

Assess Impact on Long-Term Contracts Analyze
Project
Risks and
Mitigations



Socio-Economic Analysis

Status: Analysis Completed

Purpose: Evaluate the broader community and regional benefits from additional investments in California's Central Valley

- Conducted interviews with staff from the Authority's Business and Economic Branch
- Reviewed Authority's economic impact analysis methodology
- Reviewed Authority's emissions reductions methodology
- Reviewed California State Auditor Report findings on Authority's socio-economic studies
- Reviewed the Authority's new Merced-Bakersfield analysis
- Conducted gap analyses on economic impact components



2 Asset Utilization Evaluation

Status: Analysis Completed

Purpose: Assess the feasibility and rationale for interim usage of High-speed rail infrastructure, prior to the introduction of full Valley to Valley High-speed rail service

- Reviewed language in federal and state funding plans and agreements
- Held discussions with Authority staff and external stakeholders such as the San Joaquin Joint Powers Authority (SJJPA), San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission (SJRRC), and CalSTA
- Analyzed the risk of unutilized completed assets before the completion of the Valley-to-Valley
- Reviewed potential mitigations of this risk through interim service



3 ETO Study Operational Review

Status: Pending Forecast Update 11/19

Purpose: Review the rationale and reasonableness of assumptions in the proposed operating plans used for Ridership and Operations and Maintenance (O&M) forecasts

- Discussed with the Early Train Operator (ETO) and the state rail ridership team (CalSTA)
- Reviewed assumptions contained in the ETO study, as well as supporting tech memos
- Conducted review of ETO operations & maintenance cost model
- Provided initial findings to ETO for further review and comment



4 Business Model Development

Status: Analysis Completed

Purpose: Assess potential business models and commercial agreements for Merced to Bakersfield interim service

- Held discussions with key stakeholders such as CalSTA, DOF, SJJPA, and SJRRC
- Analyzed different business models that could be used for the Merced to Bakersfield interim service
- Identified 2-3 options for further consideration
- Based on Authority feedback, identified preferred business model



6 Capital Program Affordability Analysis

Status: Analysis Completed

Purpose: Understand how the Merced to Bakersfield construction cost estimates align with the Authority's anticipated revenues and existing funding sources

- Performed analysis to determine whether funding deficits are anticipated
- Reviewed the Authority's capital cost forecasts and schedule (P70 and P90), in comparison with projected funding sources
- Developed four program funding scenarios reflecting the same assumptions used in the 2019
 Project Update Report
- Conducted a risk review on both funding and capital program



6 Stakeholder Investments Review

Status: Analysis Completed

Purpose: Assess stakeholders' current and future plans for Central Valley connectivity, which would support Merced to Bakersfield interim service

- Held discussions and interviews with stakeholders in order to assess connectivity plans and the need / structure for potential partnership agreements
- Discussed investment and funding requirements to achieve the stakeholders' proposed capital program
- Reviewed capital and business plans proposed by stakeholders in order to determine alignment with Authority operating plans
- Compared stakeholder infrastructure plans with those assumed in the ETO Study



Return on Investment Analysis

Status: Analysis Completed

Purpose: Evaluate the financial return from delivering the Merced to Bakersfield service as a part of the Authority's current Valley to Valley plans

- Conducted new financial analysis and built discounted cash flow model to measure the potential return on investment of the Merced Extension
- Analysis was conducted on the incremental value of adding the Merced Extension to the existing V2V IOS scenario, as an extension of the 2018 Business Plan analysis
- Coordinated with ETO on required ridership, revenue, and O&M scenarios for the analysis



8 Long-Term Contracts Impact

Status: Analysis Completed

Purpose: Assess the impact of Merced to Bakersfield interim service on current contracts and future planned procurements

- Held stakeholder discussions regarding the implications of interim service on track & systems and rolling stock procurement packages
- Assessed impact on procurement schedule
- Reviewed key assumptions for interim service in order to assess procurement scope adjustments
- Gathered information on operating risk transfer, capital maintenance cost liability, and contract management and oversight responsibilities



Risk / Mitigation Evaluation

Status: Analysis Completed

Purpose: Document the potential risks from delivering Merced to Bakersfield interim service and identify potential mitigation steps

- Conducted interviews and meetings with stakeholders and Authority staff on interim service risks
- Identified major risks and potential mitigations for interim service
- Discussed potential mitigation strategies with Authority staff



Key Decision Factors for Decision Making

The analysis viewed interim service through the lens of five decision factors aimed at supporting decision makers

Compliance

Does the proposition comply with the requirements of funding sources, legislation and code as well as the Authority's mission and implementation strategy?

2 Deliverability

Can the Authority, the State, and its partners deliver and execute the project as planned?

3 Affordability

Is there funding and a revenue stream available to cover the proposition costs in both the construction and operating periods?

Value for Money

Are the investments and the resources applied to the project exceeded by project benefits in the long term?

Societal Benefit

Will the project generate positive socio-economic returns and drive investments in disadvantaged communities?



Preliminary Key Observations (1/2)

1. Investments are Expected to Deliver Socio-Economic Benefits

- 30% forecast increase in job-years and \$9.2 billion in overall economic activity
- Benefits will positively impact disadvantaged businesses and communities
- Other benefits related to safety, noise, travel times, emissions, and congestion relief

2. Interim Service Allows Early Utilization of HSR Infrastructure

- Reduces risk of unutilized assets prior to the completion of the Valley to Valley system
- Allows for revenue generation in order to cover maintenance and security costs
- Allows Californians to take early advantage of HSR service

3. Merced to Bakersfield is Affordable Under Base Case Scenario

- Current capital cost estimates at \$20.4 billion (YOE dollars)
- Current projected funding of \$20.6 billion to \$23.4 billion (YOE dollars)
- Affordability is dependent on economic conditions and cost estimates remaining stable



Preliminary Key Observations (2/2)

4. Positive Return on Investment from Merced Extension

- Estimated incremental discounted cash flow of \$700 million to \$1.1 billion through 2060 if the Merced Extension (with a partial Wye) is built as part of the Valley-to-Valley system
- This estimate factors in projected revenues, operating expenditures, and capital costs

5. Aligns with Future Track & Systems and Rolling Stock Procurement

- Procurement packages included long-term and complex provisions that would accommodate interim service
- Structured to allow flexibility on segment commencement dates through multiple Notice to Proceeds (NTP) allowing alignment with Building Block approach



Next Steps

- Review revised ETO study / analysis (e.g. ridership, costs data, and financial results)
- Prepare recommendations addressing Merced and Bakersfield extensions, and interim service
- Finalize risk and opportunities assessment using decision factors
- Finalize business case





Disclaimer



kpmg.com/socialmedia

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2019 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity.

All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.