

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Introduction and Background.....	S-1
S.2 Tiered Environmental Review—California High-Speed Rail Authority Final Statewide Program EIR/EIS and Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section EIR/EIS.....	S-5
S.3 Issues Raised during the Scoping Process.....	S-7
S.4 Purpose and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section	S-8
S.4.1 Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System.....	S-8
S.4.2 Purpose of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section.....	S-8
S.4.3 CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Vicinity	S-8
S.4.4 Need for the High-Speed Rail System, Statewide and in the Bakersfield to Palmdale Region.....	S-9
S.5 Alternatives.....	S-11
S.5.1 No Project Alternative.....	S-11
S.5.2 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives.....	S-11
S.5.3 Station Sites.....	S-22
S.5.4 Maintenance Facilities.....	S-22
S.6 Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features.....	S-23
S.7 No Project Alternative Impacts	S-23
S.8 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives Evaluation	S-26
S.8.1 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Alternatives Benefits and Impacts	S-27
S.8.2 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives	S-38
S.8.3 Preferred Alternative	S-38
S.8.4 Comparison of High-Speed Rail Stations.....	S-40
S.8.5 Comparison of Light Maintenance Facility and Maintenance of Infrastructure Site Alternatives.....	S-40
S.8.6 Capital and Operational Costs.....	S-40
S.9 Section 4(f).....	S-42
S.10 Section 6(f).....	S-43
S.11 Environmental Justice.....	S-43
S.12 Areas of Controversy	S-45
S.13 Next Steps in the Environmental Process.....	S-46
S.13.1 Public and Agency Comment.....	S-46
S.13.2 Final EIR/EIS	S-46
1 PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES.....	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1.1 The High-Speed Rail System.....	1-1
1.1.2 Decision to Develop a Statewide High-Speed Rail System.....	1-1
1.1.3 Implementation of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System.....	1-4
1.1.4 Lead Agencies, Cooperating Agencies, and Responsible Agencies	1-6
1.1.5 Compatibility with Federal Transportation Policy.....	1-9
1.2 Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section	1-10
1.2.1 Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System.....	1-10
1.2.2 Purpose of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section.....	1-10

1.2.3	CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Vicinity.....	1-11
1.2.4	Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Vicinity	1-12
1.3	Relationship to Other Agency Plans, Policies, and Programs	1-33
1.3.1	San Joaquin Corridor Strategic Plan and Corridor Service Development Plan	1-33
1.3.2	San Joaquin Valley Blueprint	1-34
1.3.3	Kern Council of Governments Regional Transportation Plan	1-34
1.3.4	Southern California Association of Governments 2012–2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy.....	1-34
1.3.5	State Route 58 Corridor System Management Plan	1-34
1.3.6	District 6 System Management Plan.....	1-34
1.3.7	State Route 14 Transportation Concept Report.....	1-35
1.3.8	California Transportation Plan 2040	1-35
1.3.9	Measure R (Los Angeles County)	1-35
1.3.10	2016–2040 Southern California Association of Governments Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy.....	1-36
1.3.11	Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority Antelope Valley Line Infrastructure Improvement Strategy.....	1-37
1.3.12	Metrolink 5-Year Short-Range Transit Plan	1-37
1.3.13	Metrolink 10-Year Strategic Plan.....	1-37
1.4	Relationship to Other Transportation Projects in the Project Vicinity.....	1-38
1.4.1	High Desert Corridor.....	1-38
1.4.2	XpressWest	1-38
1.4.3	Measure M (Los Angeles County)	1-38
2	ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.1.1	Independent Utility	2-4
2.2	Background.....	2-4
2.2.1	California High-Speed Rail System Background.....	2-4
2.2.2	Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section EIR/EIS Background	2-4
2.3	High-Speed Rail System Infrastructure	2-5
2.3.1	System Design Performance, Safety, and Security.....	2-6
2.3.2	Vehicles.....	2-7
2.3.3	Stations	2-8
2.3.4	Infrastructure Components.....	2-11
2.3.5	Grade Separations.....	2-19
2.3.6	Access Roads.....	2-23
2.3.7	Traction Power Distribution	2-24
2.3.8	Signaling and Train-Control Elements	2-28
2.3.9	Track Structure	2-29
2.3.10	Maintenance Facilities	2-29
2.3.11	High-Speed Rail Project-Level Alternatives Development Process	2-33
2.3.12	Range of Potential Alternatives Considered and Findings.....	2-34
2.4	Alignment, Station Sites, Light Maintenance Facility, and Maintenance of Infrastructure Alternatives Evaluated in This Project EIR/EIS	2-65
2.4.1	No Project Alternative—Existing and Planned Improvements	2-65
2.4.2	Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives	2-73
2.5	Travel Demand and Ridership Forecasts	2-103
2.5.1	Ridership and High-Speed Rail System Design.....	2-104
2.5.2	Ridership and Environmental Impact Analysis.....	2-105
2.5.3	Ridership and Station-Area Parking	2-105

2.6	Operations and Service Plan.....	2-106
2.6.1	High-Speed Rail Service	2-106
2.6.2	Maintenance Activities.....	2-107
2.7	Additional High-Speed Rail Development Considerations.....	2-109
2.7.1	High-Speed Rail, Land Use Patterns, and Development around High-Speed Rail Stations.....	2-109
2.7.2	Right-of-Way Acquisition for Construction, Operation, and Maintenance of the High-Speed Rail System.....	2-112
2.8	Construction Plan and Phased Implementation Strategy.....	2-115
2.8.1	Design-Build Project Delivery	2-115
2.8.2	Phased Implementation Strategy.....	2-117
2.8.3	General Approach.....	2-117
2.8.4	Pre-Construction Activities.....	2-119
2.8.5	Major Construction Activities	2-125
2.9	Permits and Approvals.....	2-131
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	3-1-1
3.1	Introduction.....	3-1-1
3.1.1	Chapter 3 Purpose	3-1-2
3.1.2	Chapter 3 Organization	3-1-2
3.1.3	Chapter 3 Content.....	3-1-3
3.1.4	Outreach to Local Agencies.....	3-1-12
3.1.5	Legal Authority to Implement Off-Site Mitigation.....	3-1-12
3.2	Transportation.....	3-2-1
3.2.1	Introduction	3-2-2
3.2.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3-2-2
3.2.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3-2-9
3.2.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3-2-9
3.2.5	Affected Environment.....	3-2-21
3.2.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3-2-45
3.2.7	Mitigation Measures	3-2-73
3.2.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3-2-78
3.2.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3-2-80
3.3	Air Quality and Global Climate Change	3-3-1
3.3.1	Introduction	3-3-1
3.3.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3-3-2
3.3.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3-3-14
3.3.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3-3-16
3.3.5	Affected Environment.....	3-3-45
3.3.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3-3-70
3.3.7	Compliance with Conformity Rules	3-3-135
3.3.8	Mitigation Measures	3-3-138
3.3.9	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3-3-141
3.3.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3-3-144
3.4	Noise and Vibration.....	3-4-1
3.4.1	Introduction	3-4-3
3.4.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3-4-3
3.4.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3-4-8
3.4.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3-4-10
3.4.5	Affected Environment.....	3-4-19
3.4.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3-4-22
3.4.7	Mitigation Measures	3-4-52
3.4.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3-4-71
3.4.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3-4-76

3.5	Electromagnetic Interference and Electromagnetic Fields	3.5-1
3.5.1	Introduction.....	3.5-2
3.5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.5-3
3.5.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.5-7
3.5.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.5-7
3.5.5	Affected Environment.....	3.5-11
3.5.6	Environmental Consequences	3.5-15
3.5.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.5-26
3.5.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.5-27
3.5.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.5-31
3.6	Public Utilities and Energy	3.6-1
3.6.1	Introduction.....	3.6-1
3.6.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.6-1
3.6.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.6-5
3.6.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.6-7
3.6.5	Affected Environment.....	3.6-17
3.6.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-27
3.6.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.6-56
3.6.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.6-56
3.6.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.6-62
3.7	Biological and Aquatic Resources.....	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction.....	3.7-2
3.7.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.7-5
3.7.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.7-9
3.7.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.7-14
3.7.5	Affected Environment.....	3.7-34
3.7.6	Environmental Consequences	3.7-47
3.7.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.7-93
3.7.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.7-146
3.7.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.7-154
3.8	Hydrology and Water Resources	3.8-1
3.8.1	Introduction.....	3.8-2
3.8.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.8-2
3.8.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.8-9
3.8.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.8-10
3.8.5	Affected Environment.....	3.8-19
3.8.6	Environmental Consequences	3.8-45
3.8.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-91
3.8.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.8-95
3.8.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.8-99
3.9	Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources.....	3.9-1
3.9.1	Introduction.....	3.9-2
3.9.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.9-3
3.9.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.9-5
3.9.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.9-7
3.9.5	Affected Environment.....	3.9-20
3.9.6	Environmental Consequences	3.9-61
3.9.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-80
3.9.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.9-81
3.9.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.9-90
3.10	Hazardous Materials and Wastes	3.10-1
3.10.1	Introduction.....	3.10-2
3.10.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.10-2
3.10.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.10-6
3.10.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.10-6
3.10.5	Affected Environment.....	3.10-10

3.10.6	Environmental Consequences	3.10-21
3.10.7	Mitigation Measures	3.10-29
3.10.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.10-30
3.10.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.10-33
3.11	Safety and Security.....	3.11-1
3.11.1	Introduction	3.11-2
3.11.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.11-4
3.11.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.11-12
3.11.4	Affected Environment	3.11-27
3.11.5	Environmental Consequences	3.11-45
3.11.6	Mitigation Measures	3.11-70
3.11.7	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.11-72
3.11.8	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.11-76
3.12	Socioeconomics and Communities.....	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction	3.12-3
3.12.2	Laws, Regulations and Orders.....	3.12-5
3.12.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.12-11
3.12.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.12-11
3.12.5	Affected Environment	3.12-22
3.12.6	Environmental Consequences	3.12-66
3.12.7	Mitigation Measures	3.12-180
3.12.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.12-182
3.12.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.12-188
3.13	Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	3.13-1
3.13.1	Introduction	3.13-2
3.13.2	Laws, Regulations and Orders.....	3.13-3
3.13.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.13-4
3.13.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.13-7
3.13.5	Affected Environment	3.13-12
3.13.6	Environmental Consequences	3.13-16
3.13.7	Mitigation Measures	3.13-39
3.13.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.13-39
3.13.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.13-41
3.14	Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land.....	3.14-1
3.14.1	Introduction	3.14-2
3.14.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.14-3
3.14.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.14-7
3.14.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.14-9
3.14.5	Affected Environment	3.14-15
3.14.6	Environmental Consequences	3.14-34
3.14.7	Mitigation Measures	3.14-53
3.14.8	NEPA Impacts Summary.....	3.14-56
3.14.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.14-62
3.15	Parks, Recreation, and Open Space	3.15-1
3.15.1	Introduction	3.15-2
3.15.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.15-2
3.15.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.15-5
3.15.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.15-6
3.15.5	Affected Environment	3.15-9
3.15.6	Environmental Consequences	3.15-22
3.15.7	Mitigation Measures	3.15-42
3.15.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.15-54
3.15.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.15-61
3.16	Aesthetics and Visual Quality	3.16-1
3.16.1	Introduction	3.16-2
3.16.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.16-2

3.16.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.16-3
3.16.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.16-4
3.16.5	Affected Environment.....	3.16-10
3.16.6	Environmental Consequences	3.16-39
3.16.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.16-140
3.16.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.16-146
3.16.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.16-149
3.17	Cultural Resources	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction.....	3.17-3
3.17.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.17-4
3.17.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis.....	3.17-12
3.17.4	Coordination of Section 106 Process with NEPA and CEQA Compliance	3.17-13
3.17.5	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.17-31
3.17.6	Affected Environment.....	3.17-41
3.17.7	Environmental Consequences	3.17-71
3.17.8	Mitigation Measures.....	3.17-82
3.17.9	NEPA Impact Summary	3.17-93
3.17.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.17-101
3.18	Regional Growth.....	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction.....	3.18-2
3.18.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.18-3
3.18.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.18-8
3.18.4	Affected Environment.....	3.18-13
3.18.5	Environmental Consequences	3.18-18
3.18.6	Mitigation Measures.....	3.18-29
3.18.7	Impact Summary.....	3.18-29
3.18.8	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.18-30
3.19	Cumulative Impacts	3.19-1
3.19.1	Introduction.....	3.19-4
3.19.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.19-4
3.19.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.19-5
3.19.4	Affected Environment.....	3.19-12
3.19.5	Environmental Consequences	3.19-24
3.19.6	Mitigation Measures (for Any Newly Identified Significant Cumulative Impacts).....	3.19-65
3.19.7	Impacts Summary.....	3.19-66
4	DRAFT SECTION 4(f)/6(f) EVALUATIONS	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.1.1	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	4-2
4.1.2	Study Area.....	4-4
4.1.3	Section 4(f) Applicability.....	4-8
4.1.4	Section 4(f) Use Definitions.....	4-9
4.2	Coordination.....	4-10
4.2.1	Coordination with Local Jurisdictions.....	4-10
4.2.2	Section 4(f) Consultation.....	4-12
4.3	Purpose and Need.....	4-13
4.4	Alternatives	4-14
4.4.1	No Project Alternative for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section	4-14
4.4.2	Alternative 1	4-15
4.4.3	Alternative 2	4-16
4.4.4	Alternative 3	4-16
4.4.5	Alternative 5	4-16
4.4.6	Design Options	4-16

4.4.7	Station Sites.....	4-17
4.4.8	Maintenance Facilities.....	4-18
4.5	Section 4(f) Applicability Analysis.....	4-19
4.5.1	Public Parks and Recreation Resources.....	4-31
4.5.2	Cultural Resources.....	4-43
4.5.3	Resources Evaluated and Determined Not to Be Subject to Protection under Section 4(f).....	4-49
4.6	Preliminary Section 4(f) Use Assessment.....	4-51
4.6.1	Public Park and Recreation Resources.....	4-51
4.6.2	Cultural Resources.....	4-59
4.6.3	Section 4(f) Analysis of La Paz as a Historic Property and Public Park and Recreation Resource.....	4-64
4.7	Avoidance Alternatives.....	4-83
4.7.1	Introduction.....	4-83
4.7.2	Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Supplemental Alternatives Analysis.....	4-84
4.7.3	No Project Alternative.....	4-85
4.7.4	Preliminary Individual Resource Avoidance Assessments.....	4-85
4.8	Measures to Minimize Harm.....	4-87
4.9	Preliminary Section 4(f) Least-Harm Analysis.....	4-105
4.9.1	Least-Harm Analysis for Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5.....	4-105
5	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE.....	5-1
5.1	Introduction.....	5-3
5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	5-4
5.2.1	Federal.....	5-4
5.2.2	State.....	5-5
5.2.3	Regional and Local.....	5-6
5.3	Methodology.....	5-7
5.3.1	Data Collection and Analysis.....	5-8
5.3.2	Environmental Justice Engagement.....	5-11
5.4	Affected Environment.....	5-11
5.4.1	Reference Community and Resource Study Area Definition.....	5-11
5.4.2	Reference Community Demographics.....	5-12
5.4.3	Resource Study Area Demographics.....	5-12
5.5	Environmental Justice Engagement.....	5-17
5.5.1	Affected Populations and Communities.....	5-17
5.5.2	Issues and Concerns.....	5-18
5.6	Environmental Consequences.....	5-18
5.6.1	No Project Alternative.....	5-21
5.6.2	Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives.....	5-22
5.6.3	Bakersfield Station—Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative from the Intersection of 34th Street and L Street to Oswell Street.....	5-61
5.6.4	Palmdale Station.....	5-71
5.6.5	Lancaster North B Maintenance-of-Way Facility.....	5-86
5.6.6	Avenue M Light Maintenance Facility Zone.....	5-87
5.6.7	Electric Power Utility Improvements.....	5-89
5.7	Summary of Disproportionate Effects.....	5-89
5.7.1	Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives.....	5-89
5.7.2	Bakersfield Station—Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative from the Intersection of 34th Street and L Street to Oswell Street.....	5-97
5.7.3	Palmdale Station.....	5-98
5.7.4	Lancaster North B Maintenance-of-Way Facility.....	5-102
5.7.5	Avenue M Light Maintenance Facility Zone.....	5-102

- 5.7.6 Electric Power Utility Improvements 5-103
- 5.8 Measures to Minimize Harm 5-103
 - 5.8.1 Avoidance..... 5-103
 - 5.8.2 Mitigation..... 5-111
 - 5.8.3 Enhancements..... 5-112
- 5.9 California High-Speed Rail Authority’s Environmental Justice Determination 5-113
- 6 PROJECT COSTS AND OPERATIONS..... 6-1
 - 6.1 Introduction 6-1
 - 6.2 Capital Costs..... 6-1
 - 6.2.1 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives 6-2
 - 6.2.2 Maintenance Facilities 6-4
 - 6.3 Operations and Maintenance Costs 6-4
 - 6.3.1 Operating Speeds..... 6-5
 - 6.3.2 Development of Operations and Maintenance Costs 6-5
- 7 OTHER CEQA/NEPA CONSIDERATIONS 7-1
 - 7.1 Significant and Unavoidable Adverse Impacts..... 7-1
 - 7.2 Project Benefits 7-4
 - 7.3 Relationship between Short-term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-term Productivity..... 7-4
 - 7.4 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes that Would Result from the Project if Implemented 7-5
- 8 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AND STATION SITES 8-1
 - 8.1 Introduction 8-1
 - 8.1.1 Alignment Route 8-2
 - 8.1.2 Maintenance Facilities 8-4
 - 8.1.3 Project Characteristics..... 8-4
 - 8.2 Summary of Scoping Comments..... 8-5
 - 8.2.1 Areas of Concern Raised in Scoping Comments..... 8-5
 - 8.3 Alternatives Considered..... 8-8
 - 8.3.1 Preferred Alternative..... 8-8
 - 8.3.2 Environmentally Superior Alternative..... 8-19
 - 8.3.3 Environmentally Preferable Alternative..... 8-19
 - 8.3.4 Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative 8-19
- 9 PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT 9-1
 - 9.1 Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative from the Intersection of 34th Street and L Street to Oswell Street Public and Agency Involvement..... 9-2
 - 9.1.1 Environmental Justice Outreach..... 9-2
 - 9.1.2 Public Agency Scoping 9-2
 - 9.1.3 Notification and Circulation of the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS..... 9-2
 - 9.2 Environmental Justice Outreach 9-2
 - 9.3 Public and Agency Scoping 9-3
 - 9.3.1 Notices of Preparation, Notices of Intent, and Public Information Materials..... 9-3
 - 9.3.2 Scoping Meetings 9-4
 - 9.3.3 Scoping Comments 9-4
 - 9.4 Alternatives Analysis Process 9-5
 - 9.4.1 Scoping and Identifying Potential Alternative Alignments..... 9-5
 - 9.4.2 Public Outreach during Refinement of Alternatives..... 9-7
 - 9.4.3 Public Information Meetings and Materials during the Alternatives Analysis Process 9-8
 - 9.4.4 Stakeholder Working Group Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process 9-9
 - 9.4.5 Environmental Resource Agency Meetings During the Alternatives Analysis Process 9-10

9.5	Outreach during Development of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-10
9.5.1	Public Information Materials and Meetings.....	9-11
9.5.2	Stakeholder Working Group Meetings	9-11
9.5.3	Agreements and Memoranda of Understanding.....	9-11
9.5.4	Tribal Coordination Meetings.....	9-12
9.5.5	Agency Meetings and Consultation.....	9-13
9.5.6	Section 106 Consultation, National Historic Preservation Act.....	9-14
9.5.7	Section 404 Consultation, Clean Water Act	9-15
9.5.8	Section 7 Consultation, Federal Endangered Species Act.....	9-15
9.6	Notification and Circulation of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-16
10	EIR/EIS DISTRIBUTION	10-1
10.1	Repository Locations.....	10-1
10.1.1	Bakersfield.....	10-1
10.1.2	Lancaster	10-2
10.1.3	Mojave	10-2
10.1.4	Palmdale.....	10-2
10.1.5	Quartz Hill	10-2
10.1.6	Rosamond.....	10-2
10.1.7	Tehachapi	10-2
10.1.8	Los Angeles	10-3
10.1.9	Sacramento.....	10-3
10.2	Federal Agencies	10-3
10.3	State Agencies.....	10-4
10.4	Elected Officials	10-6
10.4.1	Federal Elected Officials.....	10-6
10.4.2	State Elected Officials	10-6
10.4.3	Regional County Board of Supervisors	10-6
10.4.4	Mayors.....	10-7
10.4.5	City Council Members	10-7
10.4.6	Agricultural Commissions	10-8
10.5	Regional/Local Agencies.....	10-8
10.6	Organizations and Businesses	10-8
10.7	Native American Contacts.....	10-9
10.8	Schools and Districts.....	10-10
11	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	11-1
12	REFERENCES/SOURCES USED IN DOCUMENT PREPARATION	12-1
12.1	General.....	12-1
12.2	References/Sources by Chapter.....	12-1
	Executive Summary	12-1
	Chapter 1: Project Purpose, Need, and Objectives	12-2
	Chapter 2: Alternatives.....	12-7
	Chapter 3: Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation Measures	12-14
	Chapter 4: Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluations.....	12-80
	Chapter 5: Environmental Justice	12-82
	Chapter 6: Project Costs and Operations.....	12-85
	Chapter 7: Other CEQA/NEPA Considerations.....	12-85
	Chapter 8: Preferred Alternative and Station Site(s).....	12-85
	Chapter 9: Public and Agency Involvement	12-86
13	GLOSSARY OF TERMS	13-1
14	INDEX.....	14-1
15	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	15-1

Figures

Figure S-1 California High-Speed Rail System Alignments and Stations	S-2
Figure S-2 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Alignment Alternatives	S-3
Figure S-3 Maintenance Facility Alternatives.....	S-6
Figure S-4 Bakersfield Station Detail Map.....	S-13
Figure S-5 Edison Area Detail Map	S-14
Figure S-6 Keene Area Detail Map	S-15
Figure S-7 Mojave Area Detail Map	S-16
Figure S-8 Lancaster Area Detail Map	S-17
Figure S-9 Palmdale Station Area Detail Map	S-18
Figure 1-1 Statewide High-Speed Rail System—Implementation Phases	1-2
Figure 1-2 Statewide High-Speed Rail System, Phase 1 and Phase 2—Project Sections	1-7
Figure 1-3 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section.....	1-8
Figure 1-4 Current and Future California Population (in millions).....	1-13
Figure 1-5 Intercity Trips in California (in millions)	1-16
Figure 1-6 Major Intercity Travel Routes and Airports.....	1-17
Figure 1-7 Regional Freight/Passenger Network	1-21
Figure 1-8 Project Vicinity Amtrak Thruway Bus Routes	1-22
Figure 2-1 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section—Alignment Alternatives.....	2-3
Figure 2-2 State Route 58 and Union Pacific Railroad Corridor	2-5
Figure 2-3 Examples of Japanese <i>Shinkansen</i> High-Speed Trains.....	2-7
Figure 2-4 Example of an At-Grade Profile Showing Contact Wire System and Vertical Arms of the Pantograph Power Pickups.....	2-8
Figure 2-5 Examples of Existing Stations	2-9
Figure 2-6 Simulated and Plan Views of a Functional Station and Its Various Components	2-10
Figure 2-7 Typical At-Grade Cross Section	2-12
Figure 2-8 Typical Fill Cross Section.....	2-13
Figure 2-9 Typical Cut Cross Section.....	2-13
Figure 2-10 Dual-Bore Tunnel Typical Cross Section	2-14
Figure 2-11 Single Tunnel Typical Cross Section	2-14
Figure 2-12 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Typical Cross Section.....	2-15
Figure 2-13 Tunnel Portal	2-16
Figure 2-14 Elevated Twin-Structure Typical Cross Sections.....	2-18
Figure 2-15 Elevated Single-Structure Typical Cross Sections	2-18
Figure 2-16 Straddle Bent Typical Cross Section	2-19

Figure 2-17 Replacing Local Surface Crossings with New Overheads above the High-Speed Rail Guideway and Existing Railroad Trackway..... 2-20

Figure 2-18 Adding Local Roadway Overheads above the High-Speed Rail Guideway..... 2-20

Figure 2-19 Typical Roadway Overhead..... 2-21

Figure 2-20 Typical Cross Section of Roadway Grade-Separated beneath the High-Speed Rail Guideway 2-21

Figure 2-21 Typical Cross Section of Wildlife Crossing Structure 2-22

Figure 2-22 Typical Plan View of Wildlife Crossing Structure 2-23

Figure 2-23 Traction Power Facility Typical Cross Section..... 2-24

Figure 2-24 Traction Power Substation..... 2-25

Figure 2-25 Traction Power Substation Overhead Contact System Gantry..... 2-25

Figure 2-26 Switching Station..... 2-26

Figure 2-27 Paralleling Station 2-26

Figure 2-28 Paralleling Station Overhead Contact System Gantry 2-27

Figure 2-29 Typical Cross Section of At-Grade Profile with Traction Power, Signaling, and Train-Control Features..... 2-28

Figure 2-30 Typical Maintenance-of-Way Facility Layout 2-30

Figure 2-31 Typical Double-Ended Light Maintenance Facility Layout Alternatives Considered during Alternatives Screening Process 2-32

Figure 2-32 Bakersfield to Los Angeles Corridor Alignments and Stations Carried Forward (2005 Program EIR/EIS) 2-36

Figure 2-33 Statewide Program EIR/EIS Alignments Considered..... 2-37

Figure 2-34 Statewide Program EIR/EIS—Preferred Alignment 2-39

Figure 2-35 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section—2010 PAA Alignment Subsections 2-41

Figure 2-36 Edison Subsection—2010 PAA Alignment Alternatives Considered 2-42

Figure 2-37 Tehachapi Subsection—2010 PAA Alignment Alternatives Considered..... 2-43

Figure 2-38 Vertical Profiles of Tehachapi Subsection Alternatives—2010 PAA Alignment Alternatives Considered 2-44

Figure 2-39 Antelope Valley Subsection—2010 PAA Alignment Alternatives Considered..... 2-45

Figure 2-40 Quantm Alignment Options—2010 PAA..... 2-46

Figure 2-41 2010 PAA Alignment Alternatives Carried Forward 2-50

Figure 2-42 2012 SAA Alignment Alternatives Carried Forward 2-52

Figure 2-43 Evolution of Alternatives 2-55

Figure 2-44 Edison Subsection—2016 SAA Alignment Options 2-56

Figure 2-45 Keene Subsection—2016 SAA Alignment Options..... 2-57

Figure 2-46 Tehachapi Subsection—2016 SAA Alignment Options..... 2-58

Figure 2-47 Lancaster Subsection—2016 SAA Alignment Options 2-59

Figure 2-48 2016 SAA Alignment Alternatives Considered (Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5, Carried Forward to Project EIR/EIS)..... 2-61

Figure 2-49 Planned Transportation Improvements in Kern and Los Angeles Counties	2-70
Figure 2-50 Bakersfield Station.....	2-80
Figure 2-51 Bakersfield Station—F Street (Locally Generated Alternative)	2-80
Figure 2-52 Palmdale Station Alternative	2-82
Figure 2-53 Maintenance Facility Site Alternatives	2-83
Figure 2-54 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section—State Highway Modifications	2-87
Figure 2-55 Bakersfield Area Detail Map.....	2-91
Figure 2-56 Edison Area Detail Map	2-92
Figure 2-57 Keene Area Detail Map.....	2-93
Figure 2-58 Tehachapi Area Detail Map.....	2-94
Figure 2-59 Mojave Area Detail Map.....	2-95
Figure 2-60 Rosamond Area Detail Map	2-96
Figure 2-61 Lancaster Area Detail Map.....	2-97
Figure 2-62 Palmdale Area Detail Map	2-98
Figure 2-63 Refined CCNM Design Option On-Site Stockpile Site.....	2-102
Figure 2-64 Bakersfield to Palmdale Transit Connectivity Map	2-110
Figure 2-65 Right-of-Way Process	2-116
Figure 2-66 Typical Pre-Casting Yard Layout (Authority 2017b)	2-125
Figure 2-67 Edison Area Detour Map.....	2-128
Figure 2-68 Lancaster Area Detour Map	2-128
Figure 3.1-1 Typical Resource Study Area.....	3.1-5
Figure 3.1-2 Typical Shifts of Roadways and Other Infrastructure	3.1-7
Figure 3.2-1 Transportation Resource Study Area.....	3.2-11
Figure 3.2-2 Major Roadways and Rail Lines.....	3.2-24
Figure 3.2-3 Earthwork Haul Routes	3.2-55
Figure 3.3-1 Projected National Mobile-Source Air Toxics Emission Trends (2010–2050) for Vehicles Operating on Roadways Using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s MOVES2010b Model.....	3.3-31
Figure 3.3-2 Air Quality Monitoring Stations Closest to the California High-Speed Rail Project	3.3-47
Figure 3.3-3 Sensitive Receptors within the High-Speed Rail Project Vicinity	3.3-59
Figure 3.4-1 Noise Impact Criteria for High-Speed Rail Projects.....	3.4-14
Figure 3.5-1 EMI/EMF Measurement Site Locations	3.5-12
Figure 3.6-1 Electric Transmission Lines and Substations.....	3.6-28
Figure 3.6-2 Natural Gas Pipelines	3.6-29
Figure 3.6-3 Petroleum and Fuel Pipelines.....	3.6-30
Figure 3.6-4 Sewer Pipelines, Storm Drains, and Proposed Stormwater Retention Basins ...	3.6-31
Figure 3.6-5 Water Pipelines and Irrigation Canals.....	3.6-32

Figure 3.6-6 Communication Facilities and Sites	3.6-33
Figure 3.6-7 Oil Wells and Pipelines.....	3.6-34
Figure 3.6-8 Wind Turbines.....	3.6-35
Figure 3.6-9 Solar Farm Impacts.....	3.6-47
Figure 3.7-1 Bureau of Land Management Parcels with Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan Information.....	3.7-13
Figure 3.7-2 Resource Study Areas.....	3.7-15
Figure 3.7-3 Species Modeling Study Areas.....	3.7-24
Figure 3.8-1 Watersheds and Surface Waters.....	3.8-20
Figure 3.8-2 Hydrologic Units, Areas, and Subareas.....	3.8-23
Figure 3.8-3 Floodplains.....	3.8-35
Figure 3.8-4 California Department of Water Resources Awareness Flood Zone Areas	3.8-38
Figure 3.8-5 Groundwater Basins.....	3.8-40
Figure 3.9-1 California Geomorphic Provinces.....	3.9-22
Figure 3.9-2 Surficial Geology within the Resource Study Area	3.9-24
Figure 3.9-3 Aggregate Mines	3.9-29
Figure 3.9-4 Soil Associations in the Resource Study Area.....	3.9-31
Figure 3.9-5 Expansive Soils in the Resource Study Area	3.9-37
Figure 3.9-6 Soils Corrosive to Concrete.....	3.9-38
Figure 3.9-7 Soils Corrosive to Steel.....	3.9-39
Figure 3.9-8 Erodible Soils.....	3.9-41
Figure 3.9-9 Landslides, Liquefaction, Seismic Hazards, and Steep Slopes.....	3.9-42
Figure 3.9-10 Subsidence in the San Joaquin Valley.....	3.9-44
Figure 3.9-11 Subsidence in the Antelope Valley.....	3.9-45
Figure 3.9-12 Fault Hazard Zones in the Resource Study Area	3.9-47
Figure 3.9-13 Historic Earthquakes and Magnitudes within 62 Miles of the Project Vicinity...	3.9-50
Figure 3.9-14 Calculated Peak Ground Acceleration.....	3.9-51
Figure 3.9-15 Inundation Areas in the Resource Study Area Due to Catastrophic Dam Failures	3.9-53
Figure 3.9-16 Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Fields.....	3.9-56
Figure 3.9-17 Oil Wells.....	3.9-57
Figure 3.10-1 Oil and Gas Wells and Pipelines in the Resource Study Area	3.10-16
Figure 3.10-2 Educational Facilities in the Resource Study Area	3.10-19
Figure 3.11-1 Safety and Security Existing Conditions.....	3.11-14
Figure 3.11-2 Lancaster Police Station Impacts.....	3.11-63
Figure 3.11-3 U.S. Air Force Plant 42 Flight Zones.....	3.11-65
Figure 3.12-1 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Subsections	3.12-13
Figure 3.12-2 Minority Group Representation (2000).....	3.12-29

Figure 3.12-3 Minority Group Representation (2009–2013 American Community Survey) ..	3.12-29
Figure 3.12-4 Summary of Minority Group Representation (2000 Census and 2009–2013 American Community Survey)	3.12-30
Figure 3.12-5 Population Age Distribution (2009–2013 American Community Survey).....	3.12-34
Figure 3.12-6 Median Annual Household Income (2009–2013 American Community Survey)	3.12-34
Figure 3.12-7 Linguistic Isolation (2009–2013 American Community Survey).....	3.12-39
Figure 3.12-8 Disability Status (2009–2013 American Community Survey).....	3.12-40
Figure 3.14-1 Project Segments.....	3.14-17
Figure 3.14-2 Important Farmland and Grazing Land	3.14-18
Figure 3.14-3 Williamson Act Contract Land	3.14-24
Figure 3.14-4 Land Zoned for Agriculture.....	3.14-27
Figure 3.14-5 Important Farmland Mitigation Ratios	3.14-54
Figure 3.15-1 Resource Study Area for Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5, including the CCNM Design Option and the Refined CCNM Design Option	3.15-10
Figure 3.15-2 Impacts at Pacific Crest Trail.....	3.15-24
Figure 3.15-3 Impacts at Resources in Lancaster and Palmdale	3.15-25
Figure 3.15-4 Proposed Pacific Crest Trail Realignment*	3.15-49
Figure 3.15-5 Proposed Pacific Crest Trail Parking Area Relocation Options.....	3.15-50
Figure 3.16-1 Overview of the East Bakersfield and Edison/Rural Valley Landscape Units.	3.16-11
Figure 3.16-2 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the East Bakersfield Landscape Unit.....	3.16-14
Figure 3.16-3 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the Edison/Rural Valley Landscape Unit.....	3.16-16
Figure 3.16-4 Overview of Tehachapi Mountains East and West and Tehachapi Valley Landscape Units	3.16-18
Figure 3.16-5 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the Tehachapi Mountains West Landscape Unit	3.16-20
Figure 3.16-6 Tehachapi West Landscape Unit, La Paz, and Tehachapi Loop	3.16-21
Figure 3.16-7 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the Tehachapi Valley Landscape Unit.....	3.16-24
Figure 3.16-8 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the Tehachapi Mountains East Landscape Unit	3.16-26
Figure 3.16-9 Detailed View of Pacific Crest Trail.....	3.16-27
Figure 3.16-10 Overview of West Mojave, Rosamond Rural, and Lancaster-Palmdale Landscape Units	3.16-28
Figure 3.16-11 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the West Mojave Landscape Unit.....	3.16-30
Figure 3.16-12 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the Rosamond Rural Landscape Unit.....	3.16-32

Figure 3.16-13 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the Lancaster-Palmdale Landscape Unit—Northern Subsection	3.16-35
Figure 3.16-13 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the Lancaster-Palmdale Landscape Unit—Northern Subsection	3.16-36
Figure 3.16-14 Visual Resources, Viewer Groups, and Key Viewpoints in the Lancaster-Palmdale Landscape Unit—Southern Subsection.....	3.16-38
Figure 3.16-15 Key Viewpoint 1: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 Looking South from Sterling Road.....	3.16-50
Figure 3.16-16 Key Viewpoint 2: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 Looking South from State Route 148/Morning Drive	3.16-52
Figure 3.16-17 Key Viewpoint 3: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 Looking Southwest from School Street.....	3.16-54
Figure 3.16-18 Key Viewpoint 4: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Jacober Avenue Looking South.....	3.16-56
Figure 3.16-19 Key Viewpoint 5: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from State Route 58 Looking East-Southeast.....	3.16-58
Figure 3.16-20 Key Viewpoint 6: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 Looking North from Bena Road	3.16-60
Figure 3.16-21 Key Viewpoint 7: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 Looking East-Northeast from State Route 58.....	3.16-61
Figure 3.16-22 Key Viewpoint 8: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 Looking Northeast from the Bakersfield National Cemetery	3.16-63
Figure 3.16-23 Key Viewpoint 9: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 Looking Northwest from State Route 58	3.16-64
Figure 3.16-24 Key Viewpoint 10: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 Looking East from Hart Flat Road	3.16-66
Figure 3.16-25 Key Viewpoint 11a: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from La Paz—Villa La Paz Conference Center Looking North	3.16-68
Figure 3.16-26 Key Viewpoint 11b: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from La Paz—Villa La Paz Conference Center Looking Northeast.....	3.16-69
Figure 3.16-27 Key Viewpoint 11c: Existing View from La Paz—Memorial Garden Looking North	3.16-70
Figure 3.16-28 Key Viewpoint 11d: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from La Paz—Peace Rocks Looking Northeast.....	3.16-71
Figure 3.16-29 Key Viewpoint 11e: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from La Paz—Road to Villa La Paz Looking North	3.16-72
Figure 3.16-30 Key Viewpoint 12: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from State Route 58 Looking Southeast.....	3.16-75
Figure 3.16-31 Key Viewpoint 13: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Tehachapi Loop Looking North-Northwest.....	3.16-76
Figure 3.16-32 Key Viewpoint 14: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Mill Street Overpass Looking North-Northeast.....	3.16-79
Figure 3.16-33 Key Viewpoint 15: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from State Route 58 Looking Southeast.....	3.16-81

Figure 3.16-34 Key Viewpoint 16: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Arabian Drive Looking South-Southwest.....	3.16-83
Figure 3.16-35 Key Viewpoint 17: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Dennison Road Looking East-Northeast	3.16-84
Figure 3.16-36 Key Viewpoint 18a: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from the Pacific Crest Trail Looking West	3.16-86
Figure 3.16-37 Key Viewpoint 18b: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from the Pacific Crest Trail Looking Southwest	3.16-87
Figure 3.16-38 Key Viewpoint 19: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Rosamond Avenue Looking West-Northwest	3.16-90
Figure 3.16-39 Key Viewpoint 20: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Gobi Avenue Looking West	3.16-92
Figure 3.16-40 Key Viewpoint 21: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from 40th Street Looking Southwest	3.16-94
Figure 3.16-41 Key Viewpoint 24: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Sierra Highway Bike Path Looking North	3.16-100
Figure 3.16-42 Key Viewpoint 25: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Avenue L Overpass Looking Northwest	3.16-102
Figure 3.16-43 Key Viewpoint 26: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Desert Sands Park Looking East	3.16-104
Figure 3.16-44 Key Viewpoint 27: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from East Avenue Q Looking Northeast.....	3.16-106
Figure 3.16-45 Key Viewpoint 29: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from Avenue Q7 Looking West.....	3.16-108
Figure 3.16-46 Key Viewpoint 30: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 1 from E Palmdale Boulevard Looking West	3.16-110
Figure 3.16-47 Key Viewpoint 3: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 2 from School Street Looking Southwest	3.16-112
Figure 3.16-48 Key Viewpoint 4 Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 2 from Jacober Avenue Looking South.....	3.16-113
Figure 3.16-49 Key Viewpoint 18a: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 3 from the Pacific Crest Trail Looking West	3.16-117
Figure 3.16-50 Key Viewpoint 18b: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 3 from the Pacific Crest Trail Looking Southwest.....	3.16-118
Figure 3.16-51 Key Viewpoint 22: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 5 from Whit Carter Park.....	3.16-121
Figure 3.16-52 Key Viewpoint 23: Existing and Simulated Views of Alternative 5 from Lancaster Town Center	3.16-124
Figure 3.16-53 Key Viewpoint 11b: Existing and Simulated Views of CCNM Design Option from La Paz—Villa la Paz Conference Center Looking Northeast	3.16-127
Figure 3.16-54 Key Viewpoint 11d: Existing and Simulated Views of CCNM Design Option from La Paz—Peace Rocks Looking Northeast	3.16-128
Figure 3.16-55 Key Viewpoint 11e: Existing and Simulated Views of CCNM Design Option from La Paz—Road to Villa la Paz Looking North	3.16-129

Figure 3.16-56 Key Viewpoint 11b: Existing and Simulated Views of Refined CCNM Design Option from La Paz—Villa la Paz Conference Center Looking Northeast..... 3.16-133

Figure 3.16-57 Key Viewpoint 12: Existing and Simulated Views of the Refined CCNM Design Option from State Route 58 Looking Southeast..... 3.16-135

Figure 3.16-58 Key Viewpoint 28: Existing and Simulated Views of Palmdale Station from E Avenue Q3 Looking Northeast..... 3.16-138

Figure 3.16-59 Summary of Aesthetics and Visual Quality Impacts..... 3.16-155

Figure 3.17-1 Historic Properties Within the Area of Potential Effects 3.17-63

Figure 3.18-1 Resource Study Area 3.18-9

Figure 3.19-1 Historic Population Data for Bakersfield, Tehachapi, Lancaster, and Palmdale 3.19-13

Figure 4-1 Resource Study Area for Section 4(f)..... 4-5

Figure 4-2 Ramon Garza Elementary School and Sierra Middle School..... 4-20

Figure 4-3 Big Creek Hydroelectric System Historic District and Foothill High School..... 4-21

Figure 4-4 Keene Fire Station and La Paz..... 4-22

Figure 4-5 Pacific Crest Trail 4-23

Figure 4-6 First Los Angeles Aqueduct..... 4-24

Figure 4-7 Willow Springs Main Race Track 4-25

Figure 4-8 Lancaster Section 4(f) Resources..... 4-26

Figure 4-9 Palmdale Section 4(f) Resources..... 4-30

Figure 4-10 Alternatives 1, 2, and 5 Proposed Mitigation Measure for Pacific Crest Trail Realignment 4-52

Figure 4-11 La Paz, View Facing North toward Character-Defining View of Three Peaks from Water Tank, Existing Site..... 4-65

Figure 4-12 La Paz, View Facing North toward Character-Defining View of Three Peaks from Water Tank, Visual Simulation of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5..... 4-65

Figure 4-13 La Paz, View Facing North toward Character-Defining View of Three Peaks from Water Tank, Visual Simulation of CCNM Design Option..... 4-66

Figure 4-14 La Paz, View from Water Tank, Facing Northeast, Existing Site..... 4-66

Figure 4-15 La Paz, View from Water Tank, Facing Northeast, Visual Simulation of Refined CCNM Design Option 4-67

Figure 4-16 La Paz, View Facing Northwest toward Character-Defining View along Entrance Road, Existing Site..... 4-67

Figure 4-17 La Paz, View Facing Northwest toward Character-Defining View along Entrance Road (Project Not Visible), Visual Simulation of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5..... 4-68

Figure 4-18 La Paz, View Facing Northwest toward Character-Defining View along Entrance Road (Project Not Visible), Visual Simulation CCNM Design Option/Refined CCNM Design Option 4-68

Figure 4-19 La Paz, View Facing Northeast from North Unit Conference Room, Existing Site..... 4-69

Figure 4-20 La Paz, View Facing Northeast from North Unit Conference Room, Visual Simulation of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5 4-69

Figure 4-21 La Paz, View from Parking Lot, Existing Site4-70

Figure 4-22 La Paz, View from Parking Lot, Visual Simulation of Refined CCNM Design Option4-70

Figure 4-23 La Paz, View from State Route 58, Facing Northeast, Existing Site4-71

Figure 4-24 La Paz, View from State Route 58, Facing Northeast, Visual Simulation of Refined CCNM Design Option4-71

Figure 4-25 La Paz, View Facing Northeast from North Unit Conference Room, Visual Simulation of CCNM Design Option4-75

Figure 4-26 La Paz, Road Leading to Villa La Paz, View Facing North, Existing Site4-76

Figure 4-27 La Paz, Road Leading to Villa La Paz, View Facing North, Visual Simulation of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 54-76

Figure 4-28 La Paz, Road Leading to Villa La Paz, View Facing North, Visual Simulation of CCNM Design Option4-77

Figure 4-29 Recreational Resources at La Paz4-80

Figure 5-1 Minority Populations5-15

Figure 5-2 Low-Income Populations5-16

Figure 8-1 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Alternative 2 Alignment with the Refined CCNM Design Option8-3

Figure 9-1 Public Outreach during the Environmental and Alternatives Analysis Processes9-6

Tables

Table S-1 Summary of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Design Features	S-12
Table S-2 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives Differentiators.....	S-38
Table S-3 Capital Costs of the B-P Build Alternatives from Bakersfield Station to Palmdale Station (2016\$ in millions)	S-41
Table S-4 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs Apportioned to the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section (2015\$ in millions).....	S-42
Table S-5 Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features.....	S-48
Table S-6 Comparison of Potential Adverse Impacts of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives	S-56
Table S-7 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives.....	S-79
Table S-8 Comparison of Potential Adverse Impacts of Station Sites.....	S-88
Table S-9 Comparison of Potential Adverse Impacts of Maintenance Facility Alternatives.....	S-104
Table 1-1 Population Growth in California, the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Vicinity, and Kern and Los Angeles Counties	1-14
Table 1-2 Agriculture in Kern County.....	1-15
Table 1-3 Travel Time to Work in the Cities of Lancaster and Palmdale.....	1-15
Table 1-4 Unemployment and Income in California and in Kern and Los Angeles Counties	1-16
Table 1-5 Current and Projected Vehicle Miles Traveled in the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Vicinity	1-18
Table 1-6 Travel Growth for Intercity Highways	1-19
Table 1-7 Commercial Air Traffic and Airports Serving the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section	1-24
Table 1-8 Estimated Total Travel Times (Door-to-Door in Hours and Minutes) between City Pairs by Auto, Air, and Rail (Peak Conditions).....	1-26
Table 1-9 Bakersfield to Burbank Travel Time Comparison.....	1-28
Table 1-10 Monitored Air Quality in the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Vicinity.....	1-31
Table 2-1 High-Speed Rail Performance Criteria	2-6
Table 2-2 2010 Preliminary Alignment Alternatives Considered	2-48
Table 2-3 2016 Supplemental Alternatives Analysis Alternatives	2-54
Table 2-4 Previous and Current Alternatives.....	2-62
Table 2-5 Regional Projected Population, Employment, and Housing	2-65
Table 2-6 Planned Residential Development Projects within the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Area by 2040.....	2-67
Table 2-7 Total Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled—Kern County.....	2-67
Table 2-8 Total Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled—Los Angeles County	2-68
Table 2-9 No Project Alternative—Planned Improvements in Kern County (near Project Site).....	2-69

Table 2-10 No Project Alternative—Planned Improvements in Northern Los Angeles County (near Project Site)2-69

Table 2-11 Passenger Boardings for Bakersfield and Palmdale Airports.....2-71

Table 2-12 Summary of Design Features2-77

Table 2-13 Proposed Tunnel Portal Facilities and Infrastructure Elements2-78

Table 2-14 Proposed Traction Power Locations2-78

Table 2-15 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives Proposed Modifications to California Department of Transportation State Highway Facilities2-86

Table 2-16 Design Features.....2-90

Table 2-17 High-Speed Rail System Ridership Forecasts (in millions per year)2-103

Table 2-18 High-Speed Rail Service Plan Assumptions for Phase 12-107

Table 2-19 Temporary Conversion of Existing Land Uses2-113

Table 2-20 Temporary Conversion of Planned Land Uses.....2-113

Table 2-21 Permanent Conversion of Existing Land Uses2-114

Table 2-22 Permanent Conversion of Planned Land Uses2-114

Table 2-23 Construction Schedule2-118

Table 2-24 Construction Staging and Pre-Casting Yards by Area for B-P Build Alternatives¹2-122

Table 2-25 Tunnel Excavation2-129

Table 2-26 Potential Major Environmental Reviews, Permits, and Approvals.....2-131

Table 3.2-1 Regional Transportation Plans and Programs.....3.2-7

Table 3.2-2 Local Plans and Applicable Policies3.2-8

Table 3.2-3 Level-of-Service, Average Vehicular Delay, and Volume-to-Capacity Definition for Roadway Segments.....3.2-17

Table 3.2-4 Level-of-Service and Average Control Delay for Signalized Intersections.....3.2-18

Table 3.2-5 Level-of-Service and Average Control Delay for Unsignalized Intersections.....3.2-18

Table 3.2-6 Freeway Segment Peak-Hour Capacity.....3.2-19

Table 3.2-7 Level-of-Service and Volume-to-Capacity Ratio Definition for Freeway Segments.....3.2-19

Table 3.2-8 Regionally Significant Roadways within the Resource Study Area3.2-23

Table 3.2-9 Regional Truck Routes within the Resource Study Area3.2-33

Table 3.2-10 Estimated Conventional Rail Travel Times between Cities (Peak Conditions) ..3.2-35

Table 3.2-11 Golden Empire Transit Bus Routes: Bakersfield3.2-37

Table 3.2-12 Palmdale Transportation Center—Connecting Transit Services3.2-39

Table 3.2-13 Educational Facilities with School Bus Transportation within the Resource Study Area3.2-40

Table 3.2-14 Commercial Air Traffic and Airports3.2-41

Table 3.2-15 Passenger Enplanements for Bakersfield and Palmdale Airports3.2-42

Table 3.2-16 California Outbound and Inbound Freight Shipments, All Modes.....3.2-43

Table 3.2-17 California Outbound and Inbound Freight Shipments, by Truck and by Rail..... 3.2-43

Table 3.2-18 Existing (2014) Intersection Levels-of-Service—City of Bakersfield:
Intersection of 34th Street and L Street to Oswell Street..... 3.2-45

Table 3.2-19 Future Year (2035) No Project Intersections Operating at Levels-of-Service
E or F—City of Bakersfield..... 3.2-49

Table 3.2-20 Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled..... 3.2-63

Table 3.2-21 Roadway Levels-of-Service, Existing (2016) and Existing (2016) Plus
Construction 3.2-65

Table 3.2-22 Roadway Levels-of-Service, Future Year (2040) No Project and Future Year
(2040) Plus Project Conditions..... 3.2-68

Table 3.2-23 Intersection and Roadway Segments Mitigation 3.2-76

Table 3.2-24 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives
Impacts for Transportation 3.2-79

Table 3.2-25 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for
Transportation 3.2-81

Table 3.3-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards 3.3-4

Table 3.3-2 Policy Consistency Summary..... 3.3-14

Table 3.3-3 Employee Counts 3.3-26

Table 3.3-4 Daily Passenger Trips..... 3.3-27

Table 3.3-5 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Alignment Lengths.... 3.3-35

Table 3.3-6 High-Speed Rail Roadway Project Locations 3.3-36

Table 3.3-7 General Conformity *de minimis* Thresholds..... 3.3-41

Table 3.3-8 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District CEQA Construction and
Operational Thresholds of Significance 3.3-43

Table 3.3-9 Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District CEQA Construction Thresholds of
Significance 3.3-44

Table 3.3-10 Antelope Valley Air Pollution Control District CEQA Construction and
Operational Thresholds of Significance 3.3-44

Table 3.3-11 Ambient Criteria Pollutant Concentration Data at Air Quality Monitoring
Stations Closest to the High-Speed Rail Project..... 3.3-48

Table 3.3-12 Federal and State Attainment Status..... 3.3-50

Table 3.3-13 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the San Joaquin Valley Air
Pollution Control District (tons per day) 3.3-54

Table 3.3-14 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Eastern Kern Air Pollution
Control District (tons per day)..... 3.3-55

Table 3.3-15 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Antelope Valley Air Quality
Management District (tons per day)..... 3.3-56

Table 3.3-16 2014 California Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory..... 3.3-57

Table 3.3-17 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the Bakersfield to Palmdale
Project Section Build Alternatives..... 3.3-58

Table 3.3-18 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Construction Regional Emissions—
Total (Tons/Construction Duration)..... 3.3-72

Table 3.3-19 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District—Alternative 1	3.3-73
Table 3.3-20 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District—Alternative 1	3.3-76
Table 3.3-21 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District—Alternative 1	3.3-78
The analysis assumed that project construction would take place from 2018 to 2026. Although the project schedule has been updated, the analysis is still valid because equipment quantities and annual emission rates would remain unchanged irrespective of the start year for construction.....	3.3-79
Table 3.3-22 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District—Alternative 2	3.3-80
Table 3.3-23 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District—Alternative 2	3.3-83
Table 3.3-24 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District—Alternative 2	3.3-86
Table 3.3-25 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District—Alternative 3	3.3-88
Table 3.3-26 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District—Alternative 3	3.3-91
Table 3.3-27 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District—Alternative 3	3.3-93
Table 3.3-28 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District—Alternative 5	3.3-95
Table 3.3-29 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District—Alternative 5	3.3-98
Table 3.3-30 Estimated Annual Average Emissions for the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District—Alternative 5	3.3-100
Table 3.3-31 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions (Metric Tons per Year)	3.3-115
Table 3.3-32 Statewide No Project Emissions in Tons per Year (2015)	3.3-121
Table 3.3-33 Statewide No Project Emissions in Tons per Year (2040)	3.3-122
Table 3.3-34 Estimated Statewide Emissions Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Project versus No Project (Medium Ridership Scenario) in Tons per Year (2015) ..	3.3-122
Table 3.3-35 Estimated Statewide Emissions Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Project versus No Project (High Ridership Scenario) in Tons per Year (2015)	3.3-122
Table 3.3-36 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Project versus No Project (Medium Ridership Scenario) in Tons per Year (2040)	3.3-123
Table 3.3-37 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Project versus No Project (High Ridership Scenario) in Tons per Year (2040)	3.3-123
Table 3.3-38 Summary of Regional Emissions Changes Existing Year—2015 with Project (Under the Medium Ridership Scenario)	3.3-124
Table 3.3-39 Summary of Regional Emissions Changes Existing Year—2015 with Project (Under the High Ridership Scenario)	3.3-125

Table 3.3-40 Summary of Regional Emissions Changes in Horizon Year—2040 with Project (under the Medium Ridership Scenario) 3.3-126

Table 3.3-41 Summary of Regional Emissions in Horizon Year—2040 with Project (under the High Ridership Scenario) 3.3-127

Table 3.3-42 Estimated Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions for the No Project Alternative 3.3-128

Table 3.3-43 Estimated Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emission for the High-Speed Rail Project 3.3-129

Table 3.3-44 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections near the Palmdale Station 3.3-132

Table 3.3-45 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Air Quality and Global Climate Change 3.3-141

Table 3.3-46 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions for Air Quality and Global Climate Change 3.3-145

Table 3.4-1 Summary of Severe Noise Operations Impacts 3.4-1

Table 3.4-2 Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Ordinances 3.4-7

Table 3.4-3 Regional and Local Plans and Policies Inconsistencies 3.4-9

Table 3.4-4 Noise Screening Distances for Noise Assessments 3.4-11

Table 3.4-5 FRA Screening Distances for Vibration Assessment 3.4-13

Table 3.4-6 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Operational and Geometric Assumptions 3.4-16

Table 3.4-7 Detailed Assessment Criteria for Construction Noise 3.4-17

Table 3.4-8 Construction Vibration Damage Criteria 3.4-18

Table 3.4-9 Vibration Impact Screening Distances 3.4-21

Table 3.4-10 Distances to Federal Railroad Administration Noise Impact Contours from Construction Activities for the High-Speed Rail Corridor 3.4-24

Table 3.4-11 Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels 3.4-25

Table 3.4-12 Distances to Federal Railroad Administration Noise Impact from Construction Activities for the High-Speed Rail Corridor¹ 3.4-27

Table 3.4-13 Typical Noise Levels from Construction Activities for Public Works Projects 3.4-28

Table 3.4-14 Construction Noise Impact Summary^{1,2} 3.4-32

Table 3.4-15 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment 3.4-33

Table 3.4-16 Distances to Construction Vibration Annoyance Criteria 3.4-34

Table 3.4-17 Distances to Construction Vibration Damage Criteria 3.4-34

Table 3.4-18 High-Speed Rail Operational and Geometric Assumptions 3.4-38

Table 3.4-19 Noise Impact Summary without Mitigation—Fresno to Bakersfield (Locally Generated Alternative) 3.4-39

Table 3.4-20 Impact on Schools—Fresno to Bakersfield (Locally Generated Alternative) 3.4-40

Table 3.4-21 Noise Impact Summary without Mitigation—Alternative 1—Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas) 3.4-41

Table 3.4-22 Noise Impact Summary without Mitigation—Alternative 2—Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas).....	3.4-41
Table 3.4-23 Noise Impact Summary without Mitigation—Alternative 3—Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas).....	3.4-42
Table 3.4-24 Noise Impact Summary without Mitigation—Alternative 5—Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas).....	3.4-42
Table 3.4-25 Impact on Schools—Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas)	3.4-43
Table 3.4-26 Noise Impact Summary—Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas).....	3.4-44
Table 3.4-27 Vibration Impacts—Fresno to Bakersfield (Locally Generated Alternative)	3.4-45
Table 3.4-28 Distances to Vibration Criterion Level Contours—Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas).....	3.4-46
Table 3.4-29 Noise Barrier Analysis: Bakersfield Station—Fresno to Bakersfield (Locally Generated Alternative) Alignment.....	3.4-59
Table 3.4-30 Noise Barrier Analysis: Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas) Alignment—Alternative 1	3.4-60
Table 3.4-31 Noise Barrier Analysis: Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas) Alignment—Alternative 2	3.4-61
Table 3.4-32 Noise Barrier Analysis: Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas) Alignment—Alternative 3	3.4-63
Table 3.4-33 Noise Barrier Analysis: Bakersfield to Palmdale (between Station Areas) Alignment—Alternative 5	3.4-65
Table 3.4-34 Noise Barrier Analysis: Palmdale Alignment	3.4-66
Table 3.4-35 Bakersfield Station—Fresno to Bakersfield (Locally Generated Alternative) Alignment—Severe Residual Impacts without Mitigation.....	3.4-67
Table 3.4-36 Bakersfield to Palmdale (Between Station Areas) Alignment—Severe Residual Impacts: Mitigation Not Considered.....	3.4-67
Table 3.4-37 Palmdale Station Alignment—Severe Residual Impacts Without Mitigation.....	3.4-67
Table 3.4-38 Potential Vibration Mitigation Procedures and Descriptions.....	3.4-69
Table 3.4-39 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Noise and Vibration.....	3.4-72
Table 3.4-40 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions for Noise and Vibration ¹	3.4-76
Table 3.5-1 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers C95.6 Magnetic Field Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels for the General Public	3.5-4
Table 3.5-2 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers C95.6 Electric Field Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels for the General Public	3.5-4
Table 3.5-3 Radio Frequency Emissions Safety Levels Expressed as Maximum Permissible Exposure.....	3.5-6
Table 3.5-4 Comparison of Measured and Project Calculated 60-Hertz Magnetic Fields.....	3.5-13
Table 3.5-5 Summary of High-Speed Rail EMF Modeling Results	3.5-18
Table 3.5-6 Potentially Sensitive Facilities	3.5-20
Table 3.5-7 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for EMI/EMF.....	3.5-28

Table 3.5-8 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for EMI/EMF 3.5-31

Table 3.6-1 General Plan Policy Consistency Analysis Results..... 3.6-6

Table 3.6-2 Construction Energy Consumption Assumptions for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section 3.6-16

Table 3.6-3 Resource Study Area Utility and Energy Providers..... 3.6-18

Table 3.6-4 Water Suppliers in the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section..... 3.6-20

Table 3.6-5 Water Treatment Plant Existing Capacity Summary for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section 3.6-21

Table 3.6-6 Wastewater Treatment Plant Existing Average Flow and Capacity Summary for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section..... 3.6-22

Table 3.6-7 Landfill Facility Summary for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section..... 3.6-23

Table 3.6-8 2015 Electricity Consumption in Kern and Los Angeles Counties..... 3.6-24

Table 3.6-9 Fuel Sources for Electric Power in California in 2015 3.6-25

Table 3.6-10 Construction Water Demand Summary for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section 3.6-38

Table 3.6-11 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Impacts to High-Risk and Major Utilities..... 3.6-41

Table 3.6-12 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Impacts to Other Significant Utility Facilities 3.6-42

Table 3.6-13 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Impacts to Low-Risk Utilities 3.6-43

Table 3.6-14 Estimated Existing Water Use and Anticipated Water Demand for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section 3.6-48

Table 3.6-15 Wastewater Capacity and Estimated Wastewater (Sewage) Generation for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section 3.6-50

Table 3.6-16 Construction Energy Payback Period..... 3.6-53

Table 3.6-17 2040 Estimated Change in Energy Consumption Caused by the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section 3.6-54

Table 3.6-18 Comparison of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Public Utilities 3.6-58

Table 3.6-19 Comparison of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Energy Resources 3.6-61

Table 3.6-20 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Public Utilities and Energy 3.6-62

Table 3.7-1 Regional and Local Policy Consistency Analysis Summary..... 3.7-11

Table 3.7-2 Survey Results: Aquatic Resources in the Aquatic Resource Study Area¹ 3.7-44

Table 3.7-3 Biological Resources Impacts in the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative Area 3.7-49

Table 3.7-4 Potential Areas of Section 1600 Jurisdiction in the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative Area of the Aquatic Resource Study Area..... 3.7-49

Table 3.7-5 Comparison of Estimated Potential Effects on Suitable Habitats for Special-Status Plant Species within the Resource Study Area 3.7-52

Table 3.7-6 Comparison of Estimated Potential Effects on Suitable Habitat for Special-Status Wildlife Species within the Resource Study Area	3.7-56
Table 3.7-7 Intersection of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives (Station to Station) and Modeled Federal and State Threatened/Endangered Species Habitat	3.7-58
Table 3.7-8 Comparison of Potential Estimated Effects on Aquatic Resources—Ordinary High Water Mark or Edge of Wetland.....	3.7-72
Table 3.7-9 Comparison of Potential Estimated Effects on Aquatic Resources—Top of Bank or Edge of Riparian.....	3.7-73
Table 3.7-10 Potential Areas of Section 1600 Jurisdiction in the Aquatic Resource Study Area ¹	3.7-74
Table 3.7-11 Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Plant Communities	3.7-81
Table 3.7-12 Potential Nonbiological Impacts of Off-Site Mitigation Activities.....	3.7-128
Table 3.7-13 Summary of Effects for Federally Listed Species and Their Critical Habitat....	3.7-148
Table 3.7-14 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions, Mitigation Measures, and Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	3.7-157
Table 3.8-1 Local Plans, Policies, and Ordinances.....	3.8-8
Table 3.8-2 Quantitative and Qualitative Analyses for Hydrology and Water Resources	3.8-15
Table 3.8-3 High-Speed Rail Documents Reviewed	3.8-16
Table 3.8-4 Evaluation Method by Topic Area.....	3.8-16
Table 3.8-5 Named Surface Waters within the Resource Study Area	3.8-25
Table 3.8-6 Surface Water Beneficial Uses in the Tulare Lake Basin and Lahontan Region	3.8-28
Table 3.8-7 Surface Water Quality Objectives for All Surface Waters in the Tulare Lake Basin.....	3.8-30
Table 3.8-8 Surface Water Quality Objectives for All Surface Waters in the Lahontan Region	3.8-31
Table 3.8-9 Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Hazard Zone Designations in the Resource Study Area.....	3.8-34
Table 3.8-10 Groundwater Beneficial Uses for the Tulare Lake Basin and the Lahontan Region	3.8-43
Table 3.8-11 General Groundwater Objectives for the Tulare Lake Basin.....	3.8-43
Table 3.8-12 General Groundwater Objectives for the Lahontan Region	3.8-44
Table 3.8-13 Floodplains Crossed by the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives	3.8-48
Table 3.8-14 Acres Disturbed during Construction of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives.....	3.8-54
Table 3.8-15 Groundwater Basins Crossed by the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives.....	3.8-59
Table 3.8-16 Acres of Impervious Surface Area	3.8-65
Table 3.8-17 Proposed Drainage System.....	3.8-66

Table 3.8-18 Named Waterbodies Crossed by the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives 3.8-68

Table 3.8-19 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative¹ Impacts for Hydrology and Water Resources 3.8-95

Table 3.8-20 Summary of CEQA Significant Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hydrology and Water Resources..... 3.8-100

Table 3.9-1 Regional and Local Policy Consistency Analysis Summary..... 3.9-6

Table 3.9-2 California Department of Transportation Paleontological Sensitivity Rating Criteria..... 3.9-19

Table 3.9-3 Summary of Geologic Units Along the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section 3.9-27

Table 3.9-4 Soil Types in the Resource Study Area..... 3.9-34

Table 3.9-5 Paleontological Sensitivity Evaluation of Geologic Units in the Resource Study Area..... 3.9-59

Table 3.9-6 Soils with Moderate and High Erosion Potential Disturbed during Construction (acres)..... 3.9-65

Table 3.9-7 Acreage of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Construction on Oil Fields..... 3.9-67

Table 3.9-8 Number of Oil Wells within the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Construction Footprint..... 3.9-67

Table 3.9-9 Soils with Moderate and High Expansive Potential Crossed by the B-P Build Alternatives (acres)..... 3.9-72

Table 3.9-10 Soils with Moderate and High Corrosive Potential Crossed by the B-P Build Alternatives (acres)..... 3.9-74

Table 3.9-11 Number of Fault Crossings Within the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section 3.9-76

Table 3.9-12 Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Mileage within Fault Zones..... 3.9-77

Table 3.9-13 Liquefaction Risk Areas Crossed by the B-P Build Alternatives (acres)..... 3.9-78

Table 3.9-14 Dam Inundation Zones Crossed by the B-P Build Alternatives (acres)..... 3.9-79

Table 3.9-15 Comparison of B-P Build Alternative Impacts for Geology, Soils, and Seismicity 3.9-82

Table 3.9-16 Comparison of B-P Build Alternative Impacts for Paleontological Resources ... 3.9-89

Table 3.9-17 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Geology, Soils, and Seismicity 3.9-90

Table 3.9-18 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Paleontological Resources..... 3.9-93

Table 3.10-1 Regional and Local Policy Consistency Analysis Summary..... 3.10-6

Table 3.10-2 Ranking Applied to Potential Environmental Concern Sites in the Resource Study Area..... 3.10-7

Table 3.10-3 Summary of Potential Environmental Concern Sites by Ranking and Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative¹ 3.10-13

Table 3.10-4 Summary of Potentially High Potential Environmental Concern Sites Requiring Abatement of Building Materials^{1,2} 3.10-14

Table 3.10-5 Oil and Gas Wells in the Resource Study Area by Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative ¹	3.10-15
Table 3.10-6 Educational Facilities in Study Area (Project Footprint + 0.25 mile).....	3.10-17
Table 3.10-7 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Hazardous Material and Wastes.....	3.10-32
Table 3.10-8 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hazardous Materials and Wastes	3.10-33
Table 3.11-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies Analysis Summary	3.11-10
Table 3.11-2 Airport Land Use Plans	3.11-12
Table 3.11-3 Definition of Safety and Security Resource Study Area.....	3.11-13
Table 3.11-4 Emergency Services within the Resource Study Area for the Light Maintenance Facility/Maintenance-of-Way Siding Facilities/Maintenance-of-Way Facility	3.11-30
Table 3.11-5 Regional Law Enforcement	3.11-31
Table 3.11-6 Crime Rates in the Region	3.11-33
Table 3.11-7 Regional Fire Departments and Equipment	3.11-34
Table 3.11-8 Wildfire Activity within the Two-County Region	3.11-36
Table 3.11-9 B-P Build Alternatives and LMF/MOIS/MOWF in LRA/SRA Fire Severity Zones.....	3.11-36
Table 3.11-10 Pedestrian and Bicyclist Victims Killed or Injured Within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions (2016).....	3.11-38
Table 3.11-11 Adopted Bicycle Master Plans within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions	3.11-38
Table 3.11-12 Airports and Heliports within the Resource Study Area	3.11-40
Table 3.11-13 Educational Facilities (Schools) within 0.25 Mile of Project Footprint.....	3.11-41
Table 3.11-14 Landfills within the Resource Study Area.....	3.11-44
Table 3.11-15 Government Buildings within the Resource Study Area	3.11-45
Table 3.11-16 Designed Bed Space and Count for the California State Prison (Los Angeles).....	3.11-45
Table 3.11-17 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Safety and Security	3.11-73
Table 3.11-18 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Safety and Security	3.11-76
Table 3.12-1 Local and Regional Plan Policy Consistency Analysis Summary.....	3.12-8
Table 3.12-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas	3.12-12
Table 3.12-3 Population Growth (2000–2040).....	3.12-27
Table 3.12-4 Number of Households and Average Household Size (2000).....	3.12-35
Table 3.12-5 Number of Households and Average Household Size (2009–2013 American Community Survey)	3.12-35
Table 3.12-6 Household Composition (2010)	3.12-36
Table 3.12-7 Community Cohesion Indicators.....	3.12-42
Table 3.12-8 Housing Characteristics (2009–2013 American Community Survey).....	3.12-44

Table 3.12-9 Housing Unit Tenure (2009–2013 American Community Survey) 3.12-46

Table 3.12-10 Foreclosure Rate (April 2018) 3.12-46

Table 3.12-11 Unemployment (April 2016) 3.12-52

Table 3.12-12 City Employment by Industry (2009–2013 American Community Survey).... 3.12-53

Table 3.12-13 Local Government Revenues in the Indirect Impacts Resource Study Area
for Population and Community Impacts 3.12-57

Table 3.12-14 School Districts in the Indirect Impacts Resource Study Area for
Population and Community Impacts 3.12-58

Table 3.12-15 Residential Displacements in the Palmdale Station Area..... 3.12-83

Table 3.12-16 Gap Analysis of Residential Displacements in the Palmdale Station Area.... 3.12-84

Table 3.12-17 Business Displacements in the Palmdale Station Area..... 3.12-84

Table 3.12-18 Gap Analysis of Business Displacements in the Palmdale Station Area..... 3.12-85

Table 3.12-19 Residential and Student Displacements in School Districts in the Palmdale
Station Area..... 3.12-88

Table 3.12-20 School District Revenue Losses in the Palmdale Station Area..... 3.12-88

Table 3.12-21 Estimated Property Tax Loss Associated with the Palmdale Station Site..... 3.12-90

Table 3.12-22 Estimated Changes in Property Tax Revenue Related to the Palmdale
Station Site 3.12-90

Table 3.12-23 Estimated Changes in Sales Tax Revenue Associated with the Palmdale
Station Site 3.12-91

Table 3.12-24 Construction Sales Tax Revenue per Year for the Palmdale Station Site..... 3.12-92

Table 3.12-25 Employment Impacts during Construction (in annual job years)¹ 3.12-108

Table 3.12-26 Residential Displacements Under Alternative 1 3.12-110

Table 3.12-27 Gap Analysis of Residential Displacements Under Alternative 1 3.12-111

Table 3.12-28 Residential Displacements Under Alternative 2 3.12-113

Table 3.12-29 Gap Analysis of Residential Displacements Under Alternative 2 3.12-113

Table 3.12-30 Residential Displacements Under Alternative 3 3.12-114

Table 3.12-31 Gap Analysis of Residential Displacements Under Alternative 3 3.12-115

Table 3.12-32 Residential Displacements Under Alternative 5 3.12-116

Table 3.12-33 Gap Analysis of Residential Displacements Under Alternative 5 3.12-117

Table 3.12-34 Business Displacements Under Alternative 1 3.12-118

Table 3.12-35 Gap Analysis of Business Displacements Under Alternative 1 3.12-119

Table 3.12-36 Vacant Commercial/Industrial Land in Lancaster and Palmdale..... 3.12-119

Table 3.12-37 Business Displacements Under Alternative 2 3.12-120

Table 3.12-38 Gap Analysis of Business Displacements Under Alternative 2 3.12-121

Table 3.12-39 Business Displacements Under Alternative 3 3.12-122

Table 3.12-40 Gap Analysis of Business Displacements Under Alternative 3 3.12-123

Table 3.12-41 Business Displacements Under Alternative 5 3.12-124

Table 3.12-42 Gap Analysis of Business Displacements Under Alternative 5 3.12-124

Table 3.12-43 Agricultural Displacements Under Alternative 1	3.12-126
Table 3.12-44 Agricultural Displacements Under Alternative 2	3.12-127
Table 3.12-45 Agricultural Displacements Under Alternative 3	3.12-128
Table 3.12-46 Agricultural Displacements under Alternative 5.....	3.12-129
Table 3.12-47 Community Facility Displacements by Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative.....	3.12-130
Table 3.12-48 Alternatives 1, 2 and 3: Temporary Effects to Community Facilities Within 500 Feet of the Temporary and Permanent Effect Limits During Construction	3.12-133
Table 3.12-49 Alternative 5: Temporary Effects on Community Facilities Within 500 Feet of the Temporary and Permanent Effect Limits during Construction	3.12-135
Table 3.12-50 Residential and Student Displacements in School Districts for Alternative 1	3.12-136
Table 3.12-51 School District Revenue Losses under Alternative 1	3.12-138
Table 3.12-52 Residential and Student Displacements in School Districts for Alternative 2	3.12-139
Table 3.12-53 School District Revenue Losses under Alternative 2	3.12-140
Table 3.12-54 Residential and Student Displacements in School Districts for Alternative 3	3.12-141
Table 3.12-55 School District Revenue Losses under Alternative 3	3.12-142
Table 3.12-56 Residential and Student Displacements in School Districts for Alternative 5	3.12-143
Table 3.12-57 School District Revenue Losses Under Alternative 5	3.12-145
Table 3.12-58 Existing Roads That Would Be Temporarily Closed at the High-Speed Rail Alignment During Construction of Alternatives 1, 3, and 5.....	3.12-146
Table 3.12-59 Locations of Temporary Detours during Construction of Alternative 2	3.12-147
Table 3.12-60 Crop Revenue and Job Losses in Kern County Related to Agricultural Production Affected by the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section ¹	3.12-148
Table 3.12-61 Estimated Changes in Property Tax Revenue Under Alternative 1.....	3.12-150
Table 3.12-62 Estimated Changes in Sales Tax Revenue Under Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.....	3.12-151
Table 3.12-63 Estimated Changes in Property Tax Revenue Under Alternative 2.....	3.12-152
Table 3.12-64 Estimated Changes in Property Tax Revenue Under Alternative 3.....	3.12-152
Table 3.12-65 Estimated Changes in Property Tax Revenue under Alternative 5	3.12-154
Table 3.12-66 Estimated Changes in Sales Tax Revenue Under Alternative 5	3.12-155
Table 3.12-67 Construction Sales Tax Revenue per Year under the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives.....	3.12-157
Table 3.12-68 Construction Sales Tax Revenue per Year for the Maintenance Facilities ..	3.12-158
Table 3.12-69 Regional Projected and Induced Population and Employment Growth	3.12-162
Table 3.12-70 Direct, Indirect, and Induced Jobs by 2040	3.12-163
Table 3.12-71 Alternatives 1, 2, and 3: Permanent Effects on Community Facilities Within 500 Feet of the Temporary and Permanent Effect Limits.....	3.12-167

Table 3.12-72 Alternative 5: Permanent Effects on Community Facilities Within 500 Feet of the Temporary and Permanent Effect Limits.....	3.12-168
Table 3.12-73 Summary of Findings on Effect of Rail Transit on Residential Real Estate Values	3.12-173
Table 3.12-74 Summary of Findings on Effect of Rail Transit on Commercial Real Estate Values	3.12-174
Table 3.12-75 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-183
Table 3.12-76 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Socioeconomics and Communities.....	3.12-188
Table 3.13-1 Local and Regional Plan Policy Consistency Analysis Summary	3.13-4
Table 3.13-2 Permanent Conversion of Existing Land Uses in the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative	3.13-18
Table 3.13-3 Permanent Conversion of Planned Land Uses in the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative	3.13-19
Table 3.13-4 Temporary Conversion of Existing Land Uses.....	3.13-25
Table 3.13-5 Permanent Conversion of Existing Land Uses.....	3.13-28
Table 3.13-6 Permanent Conversion of Planned Land Uses	3.13-29
Table 3.13-7 Temporary Conversion of Planned Land Uses	3.13-31
Table 3.13-8 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-39
Table 3.13-9 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	3.13-41
Table 3.14-1 Permanent Conversion of Important Farmland (acres)	3.14-2
Table 3.14-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies.....	3.14-7
Table 3.14-3 Policy Consistency Analysis Summary.....	3.14-8
Table 3.14-4 Summary of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Stakeholder Outreach Meetings—Farmland.....	3.14-14
Table 3.14-5 Important Farmland and Grazing Land Acreage in Kern and Los Angeles Counties (2014) (acres)	3.14-21
Table 3.14-6 Farmland Acres by Category within the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Farmland Resource Study Area.....	3.14-22
Table 3.14-7 Farmland Conversions in Kern and Los Angeles Counties (2004–2016).....	3.14-22
Table 3.14-8 Protected Farmland Acreage in Kern and Los Angeles Counties (2015).....	3.14-23
Table 3.14-9 Williamson Act Contract Land Acres by Category within the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Farmland Resource Study Area	3.14-23
Table 3.14-10 Affected Environment Summary by Region	3.14-31
Table 3.14-11 Important Farmland Temporarily Used for Construction of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives (Acres)	3.14-37
Table 3.14-12 Williamson Act Contract Land Temporarily Used for Construction of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives (Acres)	3.14-38

Table 3.14-13 Important Farmland Directly and Permanently Converted to Nonagricultural Use by Each Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Footprint (acres)	3.14-43
Table 3.14-14 Farmland Conversion Impact Rating (points)	3.14-43
Table 3.14-15 Permanent Impacts to Important Farmland from Direct Impacts and Parcel Severance for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives (Acres) ..	3.14-45
Table 3.14-16 Important Farmland to Remain in Agricultural Use after Parcel Severance—Step 2	3.14-46
Table 3.14-17 Permanent Impacts to Williamson Act Contract Land and Important Farmland under a Williamson Act Contract for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives (Acres).....	3.14-48
Table 3.14-18 Williamson Act Contract Land and Important Farmland under a Williamson Act Contract Reduced below the Minimum Parcel Size (Acres).....	3.14-48
Table 3.14-19 Permanent Impacts to Land Zoned for Agricultural Use and Important Farmland Zoned for Agricultural Use for the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives (Acres)	3.14-49
Table 3.14-20 Important Farmland Mitigation Calculations (Acres)	3.14-55
Table 3.14-21 Comparison of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Important Farmland	3.14-56
Table 3.14-22 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Agricultural Lands.....	3.14-63
Table 3.15-1 Plans and Policies of Local Jurisdictions.....	3.15-4
Table 3.15-2 Parks and Recreation Resources within the Resource Study Areas for Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5.....	3.15-13
Table 3.15-3 School Recreation Facilities within the Resource Study Areas for Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5.....	3.15-18
Table 3.15-4 Parks, Recreation, and Open-Space Resources and School Play Areas and Recreation Facilities in the Resource Study Area for the Bakersfield Station–Fresno to Bakersfield (Locally Generated Alternative)	3.15-21
Table 3.15-5 Construction and Operations Impacts on Parks, Recreation, and School Play Area Resources before and after Mitigation under Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5	3.15-31
Table 3.15-6 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Parks and Recreation Resources	3.15-55
Table 3.15-7 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Parks and Recreation Resources	3.15-61
Table 3.16-1 Regional and Local Policy Consistency Analysis Summary.....	3.16-4
Table 3.16-2 Key Visual Components and Affected Populations in the East Bakersfield Landscape Unit	3.16-12
Table 3.16-3 Key Visual Components and Affected Populations in the Edison/Rural Valley Landscape Unit.....	3.16-15
Table 3.16-4 Key Visual Components and Affected Populations in the Tehachapi Mountains West Landscape Unit	3.16-17
Table 3.16-5 Key Visual Components and Affected Populations in the Tehachapi Valley Landscape Unit	3.16-22

Table 3.16-6 Key Visual Components and Affected Populations in the Tehachapi Mountains East Landscape Unit.....	3.16-23
Table 3.16-7 Key Visual Components and Affected Populations in the West Mojave Landscape Unit.....	3.16-29
Table 3.16-8 Key Visual Components and Affected Populations in the Rosamond Rural Landscape Unit.....	3.16-31
Table 3.16-9 Key Visual Components and Affected Populations in the Lancaster-Palmdale Landscape Unit	3.16-33
Table 3.16-10 Summary of Visual Quality Changes and Impacts at Key Viewpoints	3.16-44
Table 3.16-11 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts for Aesthetics and Visual Quality.....	3.16-148
Table 3.16-12 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Aesthetics and Visual Quality.....	3.16-150
Table 3.17-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies.....	3.17-10
Table 3.17-2 Regional and Local Policy Consistency Analysis Summary	3.17-12
Table 3.17-3 Section 106 Technical Reports and Concurrence.....	3.17-14
Table 3.17-4 Potentially Interested Parties Contacted Via Letter as of September 11, 2015	3.17-16
Table 3.17-5 Public and Agency Meetings (January 2012–September 2017).....	3.17-18
Table 3.17-6 Section 106 Consulting Party Meetings.....	3.17-24
Table 3.17-7 Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Native American Consulting/Concurring Parties	3.17-27
Table 3.17-8 Consulting Parties in the Preparation of the Memorandum of Agreement	3.17-30
Table 3.17-9 Previously Recorded Archaeological Resources within the Area of Potential Effect.....	3.17-51
Table 3.17-10 Newly Identified Archaeological Resources within the Area of Potential Effect and Vicinity	3.17-53
Table 3.17-11 National Register of Historic Places-Listed and Eligible Built Resources Within the Area of Potential Effect.....	3.17-58
Table 3.17-12 Previously Identified Historic Properties (National Register of Historic Places) Bakersfield Station—F Street (Locally Generated Alternative).....	3.17-69
Table 3.17-13 Previously Identified “CEQA-Only” Cultural Resources, Bakersfield Station—F Street (Locally Generated Alternative)	3.17-70
Table 3.17-14 Summary of Project Ground-Disturbing Actions with the Potential to Cause Adverse Effects to Archaeological Historic Properties.....	3.17-75
Table 3.17-15 Summary of Section 106 Preliminary Effects Findings for Built Environment Historic Properties Within the Oswell Street to Palmdale Station Area of Potential Effect Segment.....	3.17-78
Table 3.17-16 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Effects on Historic Properties	3.17-93
Table 3.17-17 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources	3.17-102

Table 3.18-1 Summary of Project Consistency with Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.18-7
Table 3.18-2 Kern and Los Angeles Counties and Regional Employment by Industry 2000–2022 ¹	3.18-14
Table 3.18-3 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections, 2015 and 2040	3.18-15
Table 3.18-4 Labor Force Characteristics	3.18-15
Table 3.18-5 Population Increase in the Resource Study Area, 2000–2015	3.18-17
Table 3.18-6 Population Projections in the Resource Study Area, 2015–2040	3.18-17
Table 3.18-7 Existing and Projected Housing Units	3.18-18
Table 3.18-8 Employment Effects from B-P Build Alternatives during Construction (in annual job years) ¹	3.18-22
Table 3.18-9 Employment Effects from Design Options (in annual job years)	3.18-22
Table 3.18-10 Direct, Indirect, and Induced Jobs by 2040 ¹	3.18-25
Table 3.18-11 Projected and Induced Employment Growth	3.18-25
Table 3.18-12 Regional Projected and Induced Population Growth	3.18-27
Table 3.18-13 Regional Projected and Induced Housing Growth	3.18-28
Table 3.19-1 Resource Study Areas for Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.19-7
Table 3.19-2 Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District Air Quality Attainment Status	3.19-14
Table 3.19-3 San Joaquin Valley Air Basin Air Quality Attainment Status	3.19-14
Table 3.19-4 Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District Air Quality Attainment Status	3.19-15
Table 3.19-5 Parkland Standards by Jurisdiction	3.19-22
Table 3.19-6 Population Projections for Counties and Cities Traversed by the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section, 2010–2040	3.19-26
Table 3.19-7 Summary of Mitigation Measures	3.19-66
Table 3.19-8 Summary of Cumulative Construction Impacts	3.19-67
Table 3.19-9 Summary of Cumulative Operations Impacts	3.19-72
Table 4-1 Summary of the Section 4(f) Outreach Meetings, January 2012–August 2017	4-11
Table 4-2 Summary Section 4(f) Consultation with Officials with Jurisdiction	4-12
Table 4-3 Public Parks and Recreation Resources Evaluated under Section 4(f)	4-31
Table 4-4 Bakersfield Station Alternatives—Public Parks and Recreation Resources Evaluated under Section 4(f)	4-43
Table 4-5 Properties Listed in, or Determined Eligible for, the National Register of Historic Places Evaluated under Section 4(f)	4-43
Table 4-6 Bakersfield Station Alternatives-Properties Listed in, or Determined or Recommended Eligible for, the National Register of Historic Places Evaluated under Section 4(f)	4-48
Table 4-7 Park and Recreation Resources Not Subject to Section 4(f) Requirements	4-49
Table 4-8 Summary of Authority Preliminary Determinations under Section 4(f) at Park and Recreation Resources	4-59

Table 4-9 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of National Register of Historic Places Listed or Eligible Properties.....	4-63
Table 4-10 Summary of Critical Differentiators Analysis from the Supplemental Alternatives Analysis Report	4-83
Table 4-11 Measures to Minimize Harm for Public Parks and Recreation Resources Evaluated under Section 4(f).....	4-88
Table 4-12 Measures to Minimize Harm for Built Environment Historic Properties Evaluated under Section 4(f).....	4-93
Table 4-13 Measures to Minimize Harm for Archaeological Historic Properties	4-100
Table 4-14 Preliminary Least-Harm Analysis	4-106
Table 5-1 Local and Regional Plan Policy Compatibility Analysis Summary	5-7
Table 5-2 Population Within 0.5 Mile of the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives.....	5-9
Table 5-3 Environmental Justice Reference Community and Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics	5-13
Table 5-4 Summary of Areas of Concern.....	5-19
Table 5-5 Summary of Environmental Justice Effects during Construction—Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives (including the CCNM Design Option and the Refined CCNM Design Option).....	5-23
Table 5-6 Facilities of Concern for Low-Income Populations in Lancaster	5-34
Table 5-7 Summary of Visual Quality Changes and Impacts at Key Viewpoints	5-40
Table 5-8 Summary of Environmental Justice Effects during Operation	5-46
Table 5-9 Noise Receptor Impacts by Alternative at Sensitive Noise Receptors—Low-Income and/or Minority Populations	5-50
Table 5-10 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts (including the CCNM Design Option and the Refined CCNM Design Option) During Construction	5-90
Table 5-11 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternative Impacts (including the CCNM Design Option and the Refined CCNM Design Option) during Operation.....	5-95
Table 5-12 Summary of Palmdale Station Impacts during Construction	5-98
Table 5-13 Summary of Palmdale Station Impacts during Operation.....	5-100
Table 5-14 Summary of Environmental Justice Determinations.....	5-113
Table 6-1 Capital Costs of the B-P Build Alternatives from Bakersfield Station to Palmdale Station ¹ (2016\$ in millions)	6-3
Table 6-2 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs for Phase 1 (2015\$ in millions)	6-6
Table 6-3 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs Apportioned to the Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section (2015\$ in millions).....	6-7
Table 8-1 Comparison of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Build Alternatives	8-10
Table 8-2 Bakersfield to Palmdale Alignment Alternatives Differentiators.....	8-16
Table 9-1 Summary of Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section Key Stakeholder Outreach Meetings	9-17

This page intentionally left blank