

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 1: Report

S	SUMMARY	S-1
S.1	Introduction and Background.....	S-1
S.2	Tiered Environmental Review: Final Statewide Program EIR/EIS and San Francisco to San Jose Project Section.....	S-4
S.3	Issues Raised during the Scoping Process.....	S-5
S.4	Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	S-7
S.4.1	Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System	S-7
S.4.2	Purpose of the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	S-7
S.4.3	CEQA Project Objectives for the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	S-8
S.4.4	Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section.....	S-8
S.5	Alternatives.....	S-9
S.5.1	No Project Alternative	S-9
S.5.2	San Francisco to San Jose Project Section Alternatives.....	S-10
S.5.3	Common Design Features	S-11
S.5.4	Design Variations.....	S-13
S.5.5	Station Area Development.....	S-14
S.5.6	Maintenance Facilities	S-14
S.6	Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features.....	S-15
S.7	No Project Alternative Impacts	S-18
S.8	HSR Alternatives Evaluation	S-20
S.8.1	High-Speed Rail Benefits.....	S-20
S.8.2	Adverse Effects Common to All Alternatives	S-21
S.8.3	Comparison of Impacts for the Project Alternatives	S-21
S.8.4	Comparison of HSR Stations.....	S-80
S.8.5	Comparison of Maintenance Facilities.....	S-80
S.8.6	CEQA Summary of Impacts and Mitigation	S-80
S.8.7	Capital and Operations Costs.....	S-97
S.9	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f).....	S-97
S.9.1	Section 4(f).....	S-97
S.9.2	Section 6(f).....	S-98
S.10	Environmental Justice	S-98
S.11	Areas of Controversy.....	S-99
S.12	Environmental Process.....	S-99
S.12.1	Public and Agency Comment	S-99
S.12.2	Identification of Preferred Alternative.....	S-99
S.13	Next Steps in the Environmental Process	S-103
S.13.1	California High-Speed Rail Authority Decision-Making	S-103
S.13.2	Federal Railroad Administration Decision-Making.....	S-103
S.13.3	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Decision-Making.....	S-104
S.13.4	Surface Transportation Board Decision-Making.....	S-104
S.13.5	Project Implementation	S-104
1	PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES	1-1
1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.1.1	The High-Speed Rail System	1-1
1.1.2	The Decision to Develop a Statewide High-Speed Rail System	1-3
1.1.3	Implementation of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System.....	1-4
1.1.4	San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	1-9

1.1.5	Lead Agencies, Cooperating Agencies, and Responsible Agencies	1-11
1.1.6	Compatibility with Federal Transportation Policy	1-11
1.2	Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	1-12
1.2.1	Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System	1-12
1.2.2	Purpose of the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	1-13
1.2.3	CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	1-13
1.2.4	Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	1-14
1.3	Relationship to Other Agency Plans, Policies, and Programs	1-33
1.3.1	California Transportation Plan 2040	1-33
1.3.2	Plan Bay Area 2040	1-33
1.3.3	San Francisco Bay Area Regional Rail Plan	1-34
1.3.4	Metropolitan Transportation Commission Resolution No. 4056 Memorandum of Understanding	1-34
1.3.5	Valley Transportation Plan 2040	1-34
1.3.6	Caltrain Strategic Plan 2015–2024	1-35
1.3.7	Caltrain 2040 Business Plan	1-35
1.3.8	San Francisco Transportation Plan 2040	1-35
1.3.9	San Joaquin Corridor Strategic Plan	1-36
1.3.10	ACE Extension Lathrop to Ceres/Merced	1-36
1.3.11	Valley Link	1-37
1.3.12	Capitol Corridor 2014 Vision Plan Update	1-37
1.3.13	Bay Area Rapid Transit Vision Update	1-37
1.3.14	San Francisco International Airport Master Plan	1-38
1.3.15	San Jose International Airport Master Plan	1-38
1.3.16	Metropolitan Oakland International Airport	1-39
1.4	Relationship to Other Transportation Projects in the Study Area	1-39
1.4.1	Salesforce Transit Center and Downtown Rail Extension	1-39
1.4.2	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Central Subway Project	1-39
1.4.3	Bayshore Multimodal Terminal	1-39
1.4.4	Caltrain Modernization Program	1-40
1.4.5	Caltrain Grade Separations in San Mateo County	1-40
1.4.6	South San Francisco Caltrain Station Improvement Project	1-40
1.4.7	Bay Area Rapid Transit Silicon Valley Extension	1-40
1.4.8	Silicon Valley Express Lanes	1-41
1.4.9	ACE Extension Lathrop to Ceres/Merced	1-41
1.4.10	Valley Link	1-41
1.4.11	Bus Rapid Transit	1-41
2	ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Independent Utility	2-4
2.3	Background	2-4
2.4	High-Speed Rail System Infrastructure	2-5
2.4.1	System Design Performance, Safety, and Security	2-6
2.4.2	Vehicles	2-7
2.4.3	Stations	2-8
2.4.4	Infrastructure Components	2-10
2.4.5	Safety and Security Modifications	2-15
2.4.6	Traction Power Distribution	2-22
2.4.7	Signaling, Train-Control Elements, and Communication Facilities	2-23
2.4.8	Maintenance Facilities	2-24
2.4.9	Dedicated High-Speed Rail Infrastructure	2-24

2.5	Alternatives Considered during Alternatives Screening Process	2-25
2.5.1	High-Speed Rail Project-Level Alternatives Development Process	2-26
2.5.2	Alternatives Consideration Process and Chronology	2-28
2.6	Alignments, Station Sites, and Maintenance Facilities Evaluated in this Draft EIR/EIS	2-55
2.6.1	No Project Alternative—Planned Improvements	2-55
2.6.2	High-Speed Rail Alternatives for the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	2-67
2.7	Ridership	2-111
2.7.1	Travel Demand and Ridership Forecasts	2-111
2.7.2	Ridership and High-Speed Rail System Design	2-112
2.7.3	Ridership and Environmental Impact Analysis	2-113
2.7.4	Ridership and Station Area Parking	2-113
2.8	Operations and Service Plan	2-114
2.8.1	High-Speed Rail Service	2-114
2.8.2	Maintenance Activities	2-116
2.9	Additional High-Speed Rail Development Considerations	2-118
2.9.1	High-Speed Rail, Land Use Patterns, and Development around High-Speed Rail Stations	2-118
2.9.2	Right-of-Way Acquisition for Construction, Operation, and Maintenance of High-Speed Rail	2-118
2.9.3	High-Speed Rail Development within the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission Jurisdictional Areas	2-120
2.10	Construction Plan	2-126
2.10.1	General Approach	2-126
2.10.2	Pre-Construction Activities	2-130
2.10.3	Major Construction Activities	2-133
2.11	Permits	2-144
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES	3-1-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1-1
3.1.1	Federal and State Regulatory Context	3-1-1
3.1.2	State and Regional Policy Context	3-1-2
3.1.3	Chapter 3 Purpose	3-1-3
3.1.4	Chapter 3 Organization	3-1-3
3.1.5	Chapter 3 Content	3-1-4
3.1.6	Outreach to Local Agencies	3-1-12
3.1.7	Legal Authority to Implement Off-Site Mitigation	3-1-13
3.2	Transportation	3-2-1
3.2.1	Introduction	3-2-1
3.2.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3-2-3
3.2.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3-2-5
3.2.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3-2-7
3.2.5	Affected Environment	3-2-19
3.2.6	Environmental Consequences	3-2-50
3.2.7	Mitigation Measures	3-2-96
3.2.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3-2-99
3.2.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3-2-107
3.3	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases	3-3-1
3.3.1	Introduction	3-3-1
3.3.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3-3-3
3.3.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3-3-11
3.3.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3-3-12
3.3.5	Affected Environment	3-3-27
3.3.6	Environmental Consequences	3-3-43

3.3.7	Mitigation Measures	3.3-90
3.3.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.3-92
3.3.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.3-96
3.4	Noise and Vibration	3.4-1
3.4.1	Introduction	3.4-1
3.4.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.4-6
3.4.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.4-11
3.4.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.4-12
3.4.5	Affected Environment.....	3.4-28
3.4.6	Environmental Consequences	3.4-40
3.4.7	Mitigation Measures	3.4-81
3.4.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.4-121
3.4.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.4-126
3.5	Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference	3.5-1
3.5.1	Introduction	3.5-1
3.5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.5-6
3.5.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.5-10
3.5.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.5-11
3.5.5	Affected Environment.....	3.5-15
3.5.6	Environmental Consequences	3.5-29
3.5.7	Mitigation Measures	3.5-44
3.5.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.5-44
3.5.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.5-47
3.6	Public Utilities and Energy	3.6-1
3.6.1	Introduction	3.6-1
3.6.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.6-3
3.6.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.6-7
3.6.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.6-8
3.6.5	Affected Environment.....	3.6-14
3.6.6	Environmental Consequences	3.6-47
3.6.7	Mitigation Measures	3.6-78
3.6.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.6-78
3.6.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.6-84
3.7	Biological and Aquatic Resources	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction	3.7-1
3.7.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.7-7
3.7.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.7-11
3.7.4	Consultation with Regulatory Agencies for Federal Endangered Species Act Compliance	3.7-11
3.7.5	Consultation with the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission for the McAteer-Petris Act	3.7-13
3.7.6	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.7-13
3.7.7	Affected Environment.....	3.7-25
3.7.8	Environmental Consequences	3.7-47
3.7.9	Mitigation Measures	3.7-90
3.7.10	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.7-109
3.7.11	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.7-120
3.7.12	Preliminary Federal Endangered Species Act Findings	3.7-136
3.8	Hydrology and Water Resources	3.8-1
3.8.1	Introduction	3.8-1
3.8.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.8-2
3.8.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.8-11
3.8.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.8-12
3.8.5	Affected Environment.....	3.8-20
3.8.6	Environmental Consequences	3.8-40
3.8.7	Mitigation Measures	3.8-86

3.8.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.8-87
3.8.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.8-91
3.8.10	Vulnerability and Adaptation to Sea Level Rise.....	3.8-95
3.9	Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources	3.9-1
3.9.1	Introduction	3.9-1
3.9.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.9-2
3.9.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.9-5
3.9.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.9-6
3.9.5	Affected Environment.....	3.9-12
3.9.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-43
3.9.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-67
3.9.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.9-67
3.9.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.9-73
3.10	Hazardous Materials and Wastes	3.10-1
3.10.1	Introduction	3.10-1
3.10.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.10-3
3.10.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.10-6
3.10.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.10-6
3.10.5	Affected Environment.....	3.10-11
3.10.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-26
3.10.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-46
3.10.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.10-46
3.10.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.10-54
3.11	Safety and Security	3.11-1
3.11.1	Introduction	3.11-1
3.11.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.11-3
3.11.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.11-10
3.11.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.11-11
3.11.5	Affected Environment.....	3.11-17
3.11.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-46
3.11.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-83
3.11.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.11-86
3.11.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.11-94
3.12	Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction	3.12-1
3.12.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.12-3
3.12.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.12-5
3.12.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.12-7
3.12.5	Affected Environment.....	3.12-15
3.12.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.12-33
3.12.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.12-84
3.12.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.12-85
3.12.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.12-92
3.13	Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-1
3.13.1	Introduction	3.13-1
3.13.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.13-2
3.13.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.13-4
3.13.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.13-8
3.13.5	Affected Environment.....	3.13-11
3.13.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-37
3.13.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.13-72
3.13.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.13-76
3.13.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.13-82
3.14	Parks, Recreation, and Open Space	3.14-1
3.14.1	Introduction	3.14-1
3.14.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.14-2

3.14.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.14-4
3.14.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.14-5
3.14.5	Affected Environment.....	3.14-8
3.14.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.14-51
3.14.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.14-143
3.14.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.14-144
3.14.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.14-149
3.15	Aesthetics and Visual Quality	3.15-1
3.15.1	Introduction	3.15-1
3.15.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.15-2
3.15.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.15-4
3.15.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.15-5
3.15.5	Affected Environment.....	3.15-12
3.15.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.15-87
3.15.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.15-141
3.15.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.15-144
3.15.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.15-148
3.16	Cultural Resources	3.16-1
3.16.1	Introduction	3.16-1
3.16.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.16-2
3.16.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.16-8
3.16.4	Coordination of Section 106 Process with NEPA and CEQA Compliance	3.16-11
3.16.5	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.16-14
3.16.6	Affected Environment.....	3.16-18
3.16.7	Environmental Consequences.....	3.16-42
3.16.8	Mitigation Measures.....	3.16-92
3.16.9	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives	3.16-102
3.16.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.16-104
3.17	Regional Growth.....	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction	3.17-1
3.17.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.17-2
3.17.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.17-5
3.17.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.17-6
3.17.5	Affected Environment.....	3.17-10
3.17.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.17-18
3.17.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.17-32
3.17.8	Impacts Summary	3.17-32
3.18	Cumulative Impacts	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction	3.18-1
3.18.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.18-1
3.18.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.18-2
3.18.4	Cumulative Projects and Growth Forecasts	3.18-3
3.18.5	Organization of Cumulative Impacts Analysis	3.18-6
3.18.6	Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	3.18-7
3.18.7	Cumulative Impact Summary.....	3.18-80
3.19	Design Variant to Optimize Speed	3.19-1
3.19.1	Purpose.....	3.19-1
3.19.2	Description and Rationale for Design Options.....	3.19-1
3.19.3	Environmental Impact Differences of Diridon Design Variant Compared to Alternative A Without the Diridon Design Variant	3.19-3
4	SECTION 4(f)/6(f) EVALUATION	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.1.1	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	4-1
4.1.2	Resource Study Area.....	4-4

4.1.3	Section 4(f) Applicability.....	4-4
4.1.4	Section 4(f) Use Definition	4-6
4.2	Section 4(f) Coordination.....	4-8
4.3	Purpose and Need.....	4-9
4.4	Alternatives	4-10
4.4.1	No Project Alternative	4-13
4.4.2	Alternative A.....	4-13
4.4.3	Alternative B.....	4-14
4.5	Section 4(f) Applicability Analysis.....	4-14
4.5.1	Parks and Recreational Facilities	4-15
4.5.2	Cultural Resources	4-57
4.6	Preliminary Section 4(f) Use Assessment.....	4-72
4.6.1	Parks and Recreational Facilities	4-72
4.6.2	Cultural Resources	4-190
4.7	Avoidance Alternatives.....	4-252
4.7.1	Individual Resource Avoidance Assessments.....	4-253
4.8	Measures to Minimize Harm.....	4-255
4.9	Section 4(f) Least Harm Analysis	4-258
4.9.1	Least Harm Analysis for San Francisco to San Jose Project Alternatives	4-258
4.9.2	Net Harm to Section 4(f) Property	4-263
4.9.3	Impacts on Environmental Resources Outside of Section 4(f) Uses.....	4-263
4.10	Section 6(f)	4-263
5	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.1.1	Definition of Resources.....	5-2
5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	5-3
5.2.1	Federal.....	5-3
5.2.2	State.....	5-4
5.2.3	Regional and Local	5-5
5.3	Methods for Evaluating Effects.....	5-5
5.3.1	Definition of Reference Community and Resource Study Area.....	5-5
5.3.2	Methods for Effects Analysis	5-10
5.4	Affected Environment	5-13
5.4.1	Overview	5-13
5.4.2	Low-Income Populations	5-18
5.4.3	Minority Populations.....	5-28
5.4.4	Other Sensitive Populations	5-38
5.5	Environmental Justice Engagement and Documentation	5-41
5.5.1	Affected Populations and Communities.....	5-41
5.5.2	Issues and Concerns	5-54
5.6	Assessment of Effects	5-56
5.6.1	Overview	5-56
5.6.2	No Project Alternative	5-56
5.6.3	Project Alternatives	5-57
5.7	Summary of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects	5-95
5.8	Measures to Minimize Harm.....	5-95
5.9	California High-Speed Rail Authority's Draft Environmental Justice Determination	5-95
6	PROJECT COSTS AND OPERATIONS	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Capital Costs	6-1
6.2.1	High-Speed Rail Project Alternatives.....	6-2
6.2.2	Light Maintenance Facilities	6-4
6.3	Operations and Maintenance Costs	6-5

6.3.1	Operating Speeds	6-5
6.3.2	Development of Operation and Maintenance Costs	6-5
7	OTHER CEQA/NEPA CONSIDERATIONS	7-1
7.1	Unavoidable Adverse Effects and Significant and Unavoidable Impacts	7-1
7.1.1	Adverse Effects that Cannot Be Avoided under NEPA	7-1
7.1.2	Significant and Unavoidable Impacts under CEQA	7-4
7.2	Project Benefits	7-5
7.2.1	Transportation Benefits	7-5
7.2.2	Environmental Benefits	7-5
7.2.3	Safety and Security Improvements	7-6
7.2.4	Economic and Employment Benefits	7-6
7.3	Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	7-6
7.4	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	7-6
8	PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Summary of Key Stakeholder Input	8-3
8.2.1	Local Communities	8-4
8.2.2	Federal and State Resource Agencies	8-5
8.2.3	Native American Tribes	8-6
8.2.4	Transportation Agencies and Public Works Departments	8-6
8.2.5	Businesses	8-6
8.2.6	Environmental Justice Outreach	8-6
8.2.7	Feedback on the Staff-Recommended Preferred Alternative	8-7
8.3	Alternatives Considered	8-8
8.4	Preferred Alternative	8-9
8.4.1	Review of Alternative Key Differentiators by Subsection	8-14
8.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative	8-17
8.6	Environmentally Preferable Alternative	8-17
8.7	Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative	8-17
9	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT	9-1
9.1	Environmental Justice Outreach	9-2
9.2	Initial Planning for Four-Track System (2009 to 2010)	9-2
9.2.1	Public and Agency Scoping (2009)	9-3
9.2.2	Alternatives Analysis Process (2009 to 2010)	9-5
9.3	Transition to a Two-Track Blended System (2011 to 2016)	9-7
9.3.1	Alternatives Analysis Process for Two-Track Blended System	9-8
9.3.2	Public Outreach during the Transition to the Two-Track System	9-8
9.4	Current Planning for Two-Track Blended System (2016 to Present)	9-8
9.4.1	Public and Agency Scoping (2016)	9-8
9.4.2	Further Outreach, Consultation, and Alternatives Development for the Draft EIR/EIS (2016 to Present)	9-12
9.5	Notification and Circulation of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-25
10	DRAFT EIR/EIS DISTRIBUTION	10-1
10.1	Repository Locations	10-1
10.2	Federal Agencies	10-2
10.3	State Agencies	10-3
10.4	Elected Officials	10-5
10.4.1	Federal Elected Officials	10-5
10.4.2	State Elected Officials	10-5
10.4.3	County Boards of Supervisors	10-5
10.4.4	Mayors	10-6
10.4.5	City Council Members	10-7

10.5	Regional/Local Agencies	10-9
10.6	Organizations and Businesses	10-11
10.6.1	Commerce Organizations	10-11
10.6.2	Cultural and Historical Interest Groups and Agencies	10-12
10.6.3	Labor Organizations	10-13
10.6.4	Neighborhood Organizations	10-14
10.6.5	Public Interest/Advocacy Organizations	10-15
10.6.6	Rotary Clubs	10-16
10.6.7	Transportation Organizations	10-17
10.6.8	Other Organizations	10-17
10.7	Native American Contacts	10-18
10.8	Schools and Districts	10-18
10.8.1	Belmont-Redwood Shores Elementary School District, Belmont, CA ...	10-18
10.8.2	Brisbane Elementary School District, Brisbane, CA	10-18
10.8.3	Burlingame Elementary School District, Burlingame, CA	10-18
10.8.4	Millbrae Elementary School District, Millbrae, CA	10-19
10.8.5	Mountain View Whisman Elementary School District, Mountain View, CA	10-19
10.8.6	Palo Alto Unified School District, Palo Alto, CA	10-19
10.8.7	Redwood City Elementary School District, Redwood City, CA	10-19
10.8.8	San Bruno Park Elementary School District, San Bruno, CA	10-19
10.8.9	San Francisco Unified School District, San Francisco, CA	10-19
10.8.10	San Jose Unified School District, San Jose, CA	10-19
10.8.11	San Mateo Union High School District, San Mateo, CA	10-19
10.8.12	San Mateo-Foster City Elementary School District, Foster City, CA	10-19
10.8.13	Santa Clara Unified School District, Santa Clara, CA	10-19
10.8.14	Sequoia Union High School District, Redwood City, CA	10-20
10.8.15	Sunnyvale Elementary School District, Sunnyvale, CA	10-20
10.8.16	Private Schools	10-20
11	LIST OF PREPARERS	11-1
11.1	California High-Speed Rail Authority	11-1
11.2	Federal Railroad Administration (prior to the July 23, 2019 NEPA Assignment MOU)	11-2
11.3	Project Management Team – Rail Delivery Partners	11-2
11.4	Regional Consultant Environmental Team	11-7
12	REFERENCES	12-1
13	GLOSSARY OF TERMS	13-1
14	INDEX	14-1
15	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	15-1

Tables

Table S-1 Summary of Design Features for Project Alternatives S-10

Table S-2 Number and Locations of Four-Quadrant Gate Applications in the Project Section..... S-12

Table S-3 HSR Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features S-15

Table S-4 Comparison of Construction Impacts by Alternative S-24

Table S-5 Comparison of Operations Impacts by Alternative S-61

Table S-6 CEQA Summary of Resources with Significant Impacts and Applicable Mitigation Measures S-81

Table S-7 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts After Mitigation by Alternative S-97

Table S-8 Capital Cost by Alternative (2018\$, in millions)..... S-97

Table S-9 Community and Environmental Factors by Alternative S-100

Table S-10 San Francisco to San Jose Project Section Milestone Schedule S-104

Table 1-1 Population Growth in California and the Counties of the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section 1-15

Table 1-2 Unemployment and Income in California and in the Counties of the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section..... 1-16

Table 1-3 Jobs-to-Housing Ratio: San Francisco to San Jose Project Section Region, 2015 and 2040..... 1-20

Table 1-4 Current and Projected Vehicle Miles Traveled 1-23

Table 1-5 Travel Growth for Intercity Highways..... 1-24

Table 1-6 Commercial Air Travel in the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section Region 1-26

Table 1-7 Estimated Total Travel Times (Door-to-Door in Hours and Minutes) between City Pairs by Auto, Air, and Rail (Peak Conditions)..... 1-27

Table 2-1 Blended System Rail Performance Criteria 2-6

Table 2-2 2013 Evaluation of Passing Track Options..... 2-38

Table 2-3 2016 Evaluation of Passing Track Options..... 2-41

Table 2-4 Summary of Light Maintenance Facility Sites Evaluation..... 2-45

Table 2-5 Alternatives Refinement Process for the San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection 2-46

Table 2-6 San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection: Design Options Considered in the San Jose to Merced Project Section Alternatives Analysis, Checkpoint, and Other Alternatives Processes 2-49

Table 2-7 San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection: Design Options Considered for the Draft EIR/EIS 2-53

Table 2-8 Regional Projected Population and Employment, 2015 and 2040 2-55

Table 2-9 Planned Highway Improvements—No Project Alternative 2-58

Table 2-10 Planned Passenger Rail Projects—No Project Alternative 2-63

Table 2-11 Planned Passenger Bus Improvements—No Project Alternative..... 2-65

Table 2-12 Existing and Assumed Future Freight Train Operations—No Project Alternative..... 2-66

Table 2-13 Planned Port Improvements—No Project Alternative..... 2-67

Table 2-14 Number and Locations of Four-Quadrant Gate Applications within the Project Section..... 2-69

Table 2-15 New Right-of-Way Acquisitions Common to both Alternatives by City/Community and Project Element..... 2-70

Table 2-16 Summary of Design Features for Alternative A2-74

Table 2-17 Summary of Design Features for Alternative B2-97

Table 2-18 High-Speed Rail System Ridership Forecasts from the 2016 Business Plan
(in millions per year)2-111

Table 2-19 Total Daily Train Operations—San Francisco to San Jose Project Section.....2-115

Table 2-20 Right-of-Way Acquisitions (acres)2-119

Table 2-21 Project Elements within BCDC Jurisdictional Areas.....2-124

Table 2-22 Construction Schedule North of Scott Boulevard2-128

Table 2-23 Construction Schedule, Scott Boulevard to West Alma Avenue2-129

Table 2-24 Estimated Construction Durations by Project Component2-133

Table 2-25 Estimated Earthwork Volumes by Alternative (cubic yards).....2-134

Table 2-26 Potential Major Environmental Permits and Approvals2-145

Table 3.1-1 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Air Quality and Greenhouse
Gases (Example).....3.1-12

Table 3.1-2 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality
and Greenhouse Gases (Example).....3.1-12

Table 3.2-1 Definition of Transportation Resource Study Area3.2-8

Table 3.2-2 2029 and 2040 Ridership at High-Speed Rail Stations3.2-11

Table 3.2-3 2029 and 2040 Passenger Trip Generation at High-Speed Rail Stations.....3.2-11

Table 3.2-4 2029 and 2040 Passengers per Vehicle by Mode.....3.2-12

Table 3.2-5 2029 and 2040 Vehicle Trip Generation at High-Speed Rail Stations3.2-12

Table 3.2-6 2040 Vehicle Trip Generation at the Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility.....3.2-13

Table 3.2-7 Existing and Planned Future Train Service Levels.....3.2-15

Table 3.2-8 Signalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions3.2-16

Table 3.2-9 Unsignalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions3.2-17

Table 3.2-10 Existing Rail Ridership at Stations.....3.2-30

Table 3.2-11 Commercial Air Travel in the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section
Region.....3.2-50

Table 3.2-12 2029 and 2040 No Project Conditions Roadway Improvements.....3.2-52

Table 3.2-13 2029 and 2040 No Project Intersection Operations3.2-54

Table 3.2-14 2029 and 2040 No Project and Plus Project Vehicle Miles Traveled3.2-55

Table 3.2-15 Permanent Roadway Closures and Changes by Subsection and
Alternative.....3.2-59

Table 3.2-16 Temporary Displacement of Parking Adjacent to Diridon Station3.2-65

Table 3.2-17 Permanent Displacement of Parking Adjacent to Diridon Station3.2-68

Table 3.2-18 2029 and 2040 No Project Transit Improvements.....3.2-73

Table 3.2-19 Bus Performance Delays from Vehicle Trips and Increased Gate-Down
Time at At-Grade Crossings3.2-80

Table 3.2-20 Changes in Caltrain System Average Weekday Ridership with the Project,
2040.....3.2-82

Table 3.2-21 Average Operational Service Times for Caltrain (4th and King Street
Station to San Jose Diridon Station) during Peak Hour3.2-85

Table 3.2-22 2029 and 2040 No Project Conditions Bicycle and Pedestrian
Improvements.....3.2-86

Table 3.2-23 Existing and Estimated Future Freight Train Operations3.2-90

Table 3.2-24 Transportation-Specific Mitigation Measures3.2-96

Table 3.2-25 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Transportation.....	3.2-101
Table 3.2-26 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Transportation.....	3.2-107
Table 3.3-1 State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.3-3
Table 3.3-2 Definition of Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Resource Study Areas	3.3-12
Table 3.3-3 General Conformity <i>de minimis</i> Thresholds for the Project.....	3.3-22
Table 3.3-4 BAAQMD Regional Mass Emission Thresholds.....	3.3-24
Table 3.3-5 BAAQMD Cancer and Noncancer Health Risk Thresholds.....	3.3-26
Table 3.3-6 Ambient Criteria Pollutant Concentrations at Air Quality Monitoring Stations along the Project Section.....	3.3-31
Table 3.3-7 Federal and State Attainment Status in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin	3.3-35
Table 3.3-8 Sensitive Receptor Locations within 1,000 Feet of the 4th and King Street, Millbrae, and San Jose Diridon Stations, and the East and West Brisbane LMF	3.3-35
Table 3.3-9 State Implementation Plans	3.3-42
Table 3.3-10 Estimated Statewide Emissions, No Project Condition—Medium Ridership Scenario.....	3.3-44
Table 3.3-11 Estimated Statewide Emissions, No Project Condition—High Ridership Scenario.....	3.3-44
Table 3.3-12 Construction-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions under Alternative A.....	3.3-47
Table 3.3-13 Construction-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions under Alternative B.....	3.3-48
Table 3.3-14 Criteria Pollutant Concentration Effects from Construction of Alternative A ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) ¹ Compared to 1- to 24-hour California Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.3-54
Table 3.3-15 Criteria Pollutant Concentration Effects from Construction of Alternative A ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) ¹ Compared to 1- to 24-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.3-57
Table 3.3-16 Criteria Pollutant Concentration Effects from Construction of Alternative B ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) ¹ Compared to 1- to 24-hour California Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.3-60
Table 3.3-17 Criteria Pollutant Concentration Effects from Construction of Alternative B ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) ¹ Compared to 1- to 24-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.3-63
Table 3.3-18 Criteria Pollutant Concentration Effects from Construction of Alternative A ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) ¹ Compared to Annual National Ambient Air Quality Standards and California Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.3-66
Table 3.3-19 Criteria Pollutant Concentration Effects from Construction of Alternative B ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) ¹ Compared to Annual National Ambient Air Quality Standards and California Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.3-68
Table 3.3-20 Excess Cancer, Noncancer, and PM _{2.5} Concentration Health Risks Associated with Project Construction in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.....	3.3-72
Table 3.3-21 Changes in Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Project Operations (under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) Relative to the 2015 Existing Conditions.....	3.3-75
Table 3.3-22 Changes in Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of the Project (under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) Relative to 2029 No Project Conditions.....	3.3-76
Table 3.3-23 Changes in Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of the Project (under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) Relative to the 2040 No Project Conditions.....	3.3-77
Table 3.3-24 Carbon Monoxide Modeling Concentration Results at Roadway Intersections	3.3-79

Table 3.3-25 Summary of Changes in Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Freight Trains on Shifted Track Relative to Existing Conditions and No Project Conditions 3.3-83

Table 3.3-26 Maximum PM_{2.5} Concentrations from Operation of Emergency Generators at Project Stations and the Light Maintenance Facility 3.3-85

Table 3.3-27 Carbon Dioxide–Equivalent Construction Emissions 3.3-87

Table 3.3-28 Changes in Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Project Operations under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios Compared to Existing, 2029, and 2040 No Project Conditions 3.3-89

Table 3.3-29 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases 3.3-92

Table 3.3-31 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases 3.3-96

Table 3.3-32 Estimated Incidence of Health Outcomes Based on Total Directly Emitted NO_x, SO_x, and PM_{2.5} Emissions during Construction of Alternative B (Viaduct to I-880) 3.3-100

Table 3.4-1 Definition of Noise and Vibration Resource Study Areas 3.4-13

Table 3.4-2 Federal Railroad Administration-Recommended Screening Distances for Evaluation of High-Speed Rail Noise Impacts 3.4-13

Table 3.4-3 Federal Railroad Administration-Recommended Screening Distances for Vibration Assessments 3.4-14

Table 3.4-4 Key Assumptions for the Operational Noise and Vibration Analysis 3.4-15

Table 3.4-5 Detailed Assessment Criteria for Construction Noise 3.4-17

Table 3.4-6 Federal Railroad Administration Land Use Categories for Noise Exposure 3.4-17

Table 3.4-7 Assumed 2029 and 2040 HSR Operations for Noise Impact Assessment 3.4-22

Table 3.4-8 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Vibration Damage Criteria 3.4-25

Table 3.4-9 Ground-Borne Vibration and Ground-Borne Noise Impact Criteria for General Assessment 3.4-25

Table 3.4-10 Ground-Borne Vibration and Ground-Borne Noise Impact Criteria for Special-Use Buildings 3.4-26

Table 3.4-11 Ambient Noise Measurement Results 3.4-29

Table 3.4-12 Existing Vibration Measurement Locations 3.4-34

Table 3.4-13 Vibration Propagation Measurement Locations 3.4-38

Table 3.4-14 Construction Activity Noise Levels 3.4-44

Table 3.4-15 Summary of 2029 No Project and 2029 Plus Project Noise Impacts 3.4-47

Table 3.4-16 Summary of 2040 No Project and 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts 3.4-48

Table 3.4-17 2029 and 2040 Number of Roadway Segments with Traffic-Related Noise Increases More than 3 dBA above Existing Conditions 3.4-63

Table 3.4-18 Traction Power Facility Noise Analysis—Number of Affected Receptors 3.4-64

Table 3.4-19 2040 Plus Project Potential Vibration Impacts 3.4-68

Table 3.4-20 Vibration Mitigation Procedures and Descriptions 3.4-86

Table 3.4-21 Proposed Noise Barriers without Quiet Zones—Alternatives A and B 3.4-89

Table 3.4-22 Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones—Alternatives A and B 3.4-106

Table 3.4-23 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative A 3.4-120

Table 3.4-24 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative B 3.4-120

Table 3.4-25 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Noise and Vibration 3.4-122

Table 3.4-26 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Noise and Vibration 3.4-127

Table 3.4-27 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness 3.4-129

Table 3.5-1 Relationship between Typical Frequencies and Their Wavelengths 3.5-4

Table 3.5-2 Typical Magnetic Field Strengths 3.5-4

Table 3.5-3 Typical Electromagnetic Field Levels for Transmission/Power Lines 3.5-5

Table 3.5-4 IEEE C95.6 Magnetic Field Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels for the General Public 3.5-7

Table 3.5-5 IEEE C95.6 Electric Field Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels for the General Public 3.5-7

Table 3.5-6 Radio Frequency Emissions Safety Levels Expressed as Maximum Permissible Exposure 3.5-9

Table 3.5-7 Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels to Determine CEQA Significance 3.5-14

Table 3.5-8 Location and Description of Electromagnetic Field Measurement Sites 3.5-16

Table 3.5-9 Measured 60-Hertz Magnetic Field Strengths 3.5-23

Table 3.5-10 Estimated Electromagnetic Field Strength for Caltrain Operations 3.5-24

Table 3.5-11 Sensitive Receptors and Facilities Potentially Affected by Project Construction and Operations 3.5-25

Table 3.5-12 Predicted Magnetic Field Strengths at Measurement Locations 3.5-32

Table 3.5-13 Predicted Magnetic Field Strengths at Receptor Locations 3.5-33

Table 3.5-14 Summary of HSR Exterior Electromagnetic Field Levels 3.5-37

Table 3.5-15 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference 3.5-44

Table 3.5-16 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference 3.5-47

Table 3.6-1 Definition of Public Utilities and Energy Resource Study Area 3.6-9

Table 3.6-2 Summary of Utility and Energy Providers in the Resource Study Areas 3.6-14

Table 3.6-3 Major Utility Lines within the Public Utility Resource Study Area 3.6-19

Table 3.6-4 Wastewater Treatment Plant Capacity/Demand Summary for the Resource Study Area 3.6-33

Table 3.6-5 Solid Waste Volumes and Landfill Facility Summary 3.6-37

Table 3.6-6 Solid Waste Disposal Volumes and Diversion Summary 3.6-38

Table 3.6-7 Electricity Consumption in San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties, 2015 3.6-41

Table 3.6-8 Fuel Sources for Electric Power in California in 2017 3.6-42

Table 3.6-9 Natural Gas Consumption in San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties in 2016 3.6-45

Table 3.6-10 Major Utility Conflicts Resulting in Relocation or Protection in Place 3.6-51

Table 3.6-11 Daily Construction Water Use Summary by Alternative 3.6-54

Table 3.6-12 Solid Waste (Construction and Demolition Debris) Generation Estimates by Alternative in Cubic Yards 3.6-58

Table 3.6-13 Hazardous Waste Generation Estimates by Alternative in Cubic Yards 3.6-60

Table 3.6-14 Operational Water Use 3.6-62

Table 3.6-15 Operational Generation of Solid Waste 3.6-66

Table 3.6-16 Estimated Nonrecoverable Construction-Related Energy Consumption for the Project Alternatives 3.6-68

Table 3.6-17 Operational Electricity and Natural Gas Use 3.6-71

Table 3.6-18 HSR Operational Electricity Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios)¹ 3.6-72

Table 3.6-19 Estimated Changes in Vehicle Miles Traveled and Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios)..... 3.6-73

Table 3.6-20 Estimated Changes in Airplane Flights and Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios)..... 3.6-74

Table 3.6-21 Summary of Regional Changes in Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios)..... 3.6-76

Table 3.6-22 Summary of Statewide Changes in Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios)..... 3.6-77

Table 3.6-23 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Public Utilities and Energy 3.6-78

Table 3.6-24 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Public Utilities and Energy..... 3.6-85

Table 3.7-1 Definition of Biological and Aquatic Resource Study Areas 3.7-14

Table 3.7-2 General Soil Map Units Intersected by the Alternatives in the Aquatic Resource Study Area 3.7-28

Table 3.7-3 Land Cover Types within the Project Footprint and Habitat Study Area (acres)..... 3.7-30

Table 3.7-4 Biological Resource Summary for Watercourses or Open Waters in the Habitat Study Area 3.7-32

Table 3.7-5 Critical Habitat within 0.5 Mile of the Project Footprint..... 3.7-35

Table 3.7-6 Designated Essential Fish Habitat in the Habitat Study Area 3.7-35

Table 3.7-7 Roosting Patterns for Bat Species Potentially Occurring in the Habitat Study Area¹..... 3.7-39

Table 3.7-8 Special-Status Plant Communities Occurring or Potentially Occurring in the Special-Status Plant Study Area 3.7-40

Table 3.7-9 Aquatic Resources by Subsection..... 3.7-41

Table 3.7-10 Public Lands within the Project Footprint 3.7-42

Table 3.7-11 Land Cover Types within BCDC Jurisdiction..... 3.7-45

Table 3.7-12 Impacts on Special-Status Species Habitat by Alternative (acres) 3.7-49

Table 3.7-13 Impacts on Special-Status Plant Communities (acres) 3.7-69

Table 3.7-14 Impacts on Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and the State-Porter Cologne Act and Navigable Waters Regulated under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, by Project Alternative (acres) 3.7-72

Table 3.7-15 Impacts on Resources Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq. by Project Alternative (acres)..... 3.7-74

Table 3.7-16 Impacts on Special-Status Species Habitat within BCDC Jurisdiction by Project Alternative (acres) 3.7-83

Table 3.7-17 Impacts on Special-Status Plant Communities within BCDC Jurisdiction by Project Alternative (acres) 3.7-87

Table 3.7-18 Impacts on Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Regulated as Waters of the State that are within BCDC Jurisdiction by Project Alternative (acres)..... 3.7-87

Table 3.7-19 Impacts on Aquatic Resources Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq. within BCDC Jurisdiction by Project Alternative (acres) 3.7-88

Table 3.7-20 Potential Nonbiological Impacts of Compensatory Mitigation Implementation 3.7-96

Table 3.7-21 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Biological and Aquatic Resources..... 3.7-110

Table 3.7-22 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Biological and Aquatic Resources 3.7-120

Table 3.7-23 Summary of Effects for Federally Listed Species and their Critical Habitat 3.7-136

Table 3.8-1 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit Requirements 3.8-5

Table 3.8-2 Definition of Hydrology and Water Resources Resource Study Area 3.8-13

Table 3.8-3 Summary of Data Sources 3.8-16

Table 3.8-4 Temperature and Precipitation Summary 3.8-21

Table 3.8-5 Hydrologic Regions, Units, and Areas and Planning Watersheds in the Resource Study Area 3.8-22

Table 3.8-6 Aquatic Resources by Subsection 3.8-24

Table 3.8-7 Groundwater Basins and Subbasins in the Resource Study Area 3.8-30

Table 3.8-8 Beneficial Uses of Groundwater Basins 3.8-33

Table 3.8-9 Depth to Groundwater (2006–2016) 3.8-34

Table 3.8-10 Groundwater Recharge Areas 3.8-35

Table 3.8-11 Depths of Drinking Water Supply Wells by Groundwater Subbasin 3.8-35

Table 3.8-12 FEMA Flood-Hazard Zones in the Floodplain Resource Study Area 3.8-37

Table 3.8-13 Flood Risks Posed to Existing Caltrain Bridges, Culverts, and Railbed Sections 3.8-39

Table 3.8-14 Aquatic Resources Anticipated to Experience Minor Disturbances 3.8-43

Table 3.8-15 Anticipated Work in Aquatic Resources 3.8-45

Table 3.8-16 Earthwork Volumes for Major Construction Elements 3.8-47

Table 3.8-17 Aquatic Resources Anticipated to Experience Permanent Impacts 3.8-51

Table 3.8-18 Estimated Areas and Sources of New and Rebuilt Impervious Surfaces 3.8-53

Table 3.8-19 Aquatic Resources with Intermittent Bridge/Culvert Maintenance and Vegetation Management 3.8-57

Table 3.8-20 Maximum Estimated Amount of Disturbed Soil Areas 3.8-61

Table 3.8-21 Pre- and Post-Project Median Pollutant Concentrations 3.8-65

Table 3.8-22 Aquatic Resources with Continuous Impacts from the Release of Contaminants from Trains 3.8-71

Table 3.8-23 Estimates of Impervious Surfaces Built in Designated Groundwater Recharge Zones 3.8-76

Table 3.8-24 Proposed New or Modified Hydraulic Structures in 100-Year Floodplains 3.8-83

Table 3.8-25 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Hydrology and Water Resources 3.8-87

Table 3.8-26 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hydrology and Water Resources 3.8-92

Table 3.8-27 Sea Level Rise Projections in the Floodplain Resource Study Area 3.8-96

Table 3.8-28 Sea Level Rise Vulnerability Assessment using Probabilistic Projections 3.8-98

Table 3.9-1 Definition of Geology, Soils, and Seismicity Resource Study Areas 3.9-7

Table 3.9-2 Definition of Paleontological Resource Study Area 3.9-10

Table 3.9-3 Evaluation of Paleontological Sensitivity/Paleontological Potential 3.9-11

Table 3.9-4 Summary and Distribution of Geologic Units throughout Resource Study Area 3.9-19

Table 3.9-5 Association Characteristics throughout the Geology, Soils, and Seismicity Resource Study Area 3.9-24

Table 3.9-6 Summary of High to Very High Liquefaction Susceptibility 3.9-34

Table 3.9-7 Summary of Susceptibility to Lateral Spreading..... 3.9-36

Table 3.9-8 Paleontological Potential of the Geologic Units Underlying the Resource Study Area 3.9-40

Table 3.9-9 Previously Recorded UCMP Fossil Vertebrate Localities Near (within 1 mile) of the Resource Study Area 3.9-41

Table 3.9-10 Distribution of Geologic Units by Subsection in the Resource Study Area 3.9-42

Table 3.9-11 Potential for Construction below the Groundwater Table..... 3.9-45

Table 3.9-12 Potential for Construction to be Affected by Soft Soil Conditions..... 3.9-47

Table 3.9-13 Potential for Construction of New Structures in Areas with Expansive Soils 3.9-49

Table 3.9-14 Construction of Project Elements Involving Concrete or Steel in Contact with Potentially Corrosive Soils 3.9-51

Table 3.9-15 Potential for Construction of Project Elements to Result in Soil Erosion 3.9-53

Table 3.9-16 Potential for Construction of Project Elements to be Affected by Liquefaction 3.9-58

Table 3.9-17 Potential for Construction of Project Elements to be Affected by Lateral Spreading 3.9-60

Table 3.9-18 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources 3.9-68

Table 3.9-19 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources..... 3.9-73

Table 3.10-1 Definition of Hazardous Materials and Wastes Resource Study Areas 3.10-7

Table 3.10-2 Methodology for Risk Level Evaluation for Items of General Environmental Concern 3.10-10

Table 3.10-3 Summary by Subsection of Medium- and High-Risk Potential Environmental Concern Sites in the Resource Study Area..... 3.10-18

Table 3.10-4 Risk of Railway Effects by Subsection 3.10-18

Table 3.10-5 Risk of Lead-Based Paint by Subsection¹ 3.10-19

Table 3.10-6 Risk of Asbestos-Containing Materials by Subsection¹ 3.10-20

Table 3.10-7 Risk of Pesticides by Subsection..... 3.10-20

Table 3.10-8 Risk of Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Subsection..... 3.10-21

Table 3.10-9 Risk of Aerially Deposited Lead by Subsection 3.10-21

Table 3.10-10 Risk of Naturally Occurring Asbestos by Subsection 3.10-22

Table 3.10-11 Risk of Landfills by Subsection 3.10-22

Table 3.10-12 Risk of Oil and Gas Wells by Subsection 3.10-23

Table 3.10-13 Summary of Airport Occurrence by Subsection 3.10-23

Table 3.10-14 Risk of Airports by Subsection..... 3.10-23

Table 3.10-15 Schools within the Schools Resource Study Area 3.10-24

Table 3.10-16 Summary by Alternative of Medium- and High-Risk Potential Environmental Concern Sites in the Resource Study Area..... 3.10-31

Table 3.10-17 Summary by Alternative of Schools in the Resource Study Area 3.10-43

Table 3.10-18 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Hazardous Materials and Wastes..... 3.10-47

Table 3.10-19 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hazardous Materials and Wastes 3.10-55

Table 3.11-1 Definition of Safety and Security Resource Study Areas 3.11-12

Table 3.11-2 Service Areas and Response Times for Police and Sheriff Departments in the Resource Study Area 3.11-24

Table 3.11-3 Response Times for Municipal and County Fire Departments and Emergency Medical Services	3.11-27
Table 3.11-4 Required Response Times for Contracted Ambulance Services	3.11-32
Table 3.11-5 Airports and Heliports in the Airport Resource Study Area	3.11-37
Table 3.11-6 Schools within the Schools Resource Study Area by Subsection	3.11-38
Table 3.11-7 High-Risk Facilities within 2 Miles of the Project Footprint	3.11-42
Table 3.11-8 Existing Tall Structures within 2 Miles of the Project Footprint	3.11-43
Table 3.11-9 Number and Location of Roadway Modifications with the Potential to Result in Temporary Lane Closures or Periodic Road Closures Affecting Emergency Response Time	3.11-49
Table 3.11-10 Proposed Improvements to At-Grade Crossings in the Project Section	3.11-56
Table 3.11-11 Airport Influence Area Encroachment Area for the Project Alternatives	3.11-71
Table 3.11-12 Communication Towers Requiring Federal Aviation Regulation Part 77 Notification for the Project Alternatives	3.11-71
Table 3.11-13 Blended and Dedicated Track for Each Alternative (miles)	3.11-75
Table 3.11-14 Safety and Security Mitigation Measures	3.11-83
Table 3.11-15 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Safety and Security	3.11-86
Table 3.11-16 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Safety and Security	3.11-94
Table 3.12-1 Definition of Socioeconomics and Communities Resource Study Areas	3.12-7
Table 3.12-2 Cities/Communities by Subsection	3.12-17
Table 3.12-3 Community and Public Facilities within 0.5 Mile of the Project Alternatives	3.12-17
Table 3.12-4 School Year 2015–2016 Funding for School Districts in the Resource Study Area	3.12-31
Table 3.12-5 General Property Tax Levies by County for Fiscal Year 2014/2015	3.12-32
Table 3.12-6 Roadway Modifications with the Potential to Result in Temporary Lane Closures or Periodic Road Closures	3.12-35
Table 3.12-7 Number of Community and Public Facilities within 250 Feet of Project Construction	3.12-38
Table 3.12-8 Number of Residential and Business Displacements by Subsection and Alternative	3.12-49
Table 3.12-9 Summary in Intersection Congestion and Delay by Subsection	3.12-55
Table 3.12-10 Number of Schools/Childcare Facilities within 1,000 Feet of Project Construction	3.12-59
Table 3.12-11 Estimated Displacements	3.12-65
Table 3.12-12 Estimated Number of Displaced Residential Units by Housing Type and Alternative	3.12-65
Table 3.12-13 Estimated Number of Residential Displacements by Alternative	3.12-66
Table 3.12-14 Estimated Number of Displaced Commercial and Industrial Businesses	3.12-69
Table 3.12-15 Community and Public Facility Displacements by Alternative	3.12-72
Table 3.12-16 Estimated Annual School District Funding Losses from Acquisitions	3.12-77
Table 3.12-17 Annual Lost Property Tax Revenue (FY 2015/2016)	3.12-79
Table 3.12-18 Construction Spending within the Region, by Alternative and Economic Sector (2018\$, in millions)	3.12-80
Table 3.12-19 Taxable Sales within the Region, by Alternative and Economic Sector (2018\$, in millions)	3.12-81

Table 3.12-20 Projected Sales Tax Revenues Generated During Construction (2018\$, in millions)	3.12-82
Table 3.12-21 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-85
Table 3.12-22 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-92
Table 3.13-1 Definition of Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Areas	3.13-8
Table 3.13-2 Existing Land Uses Adjacent to the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	3.13-12
Table 3.13-3 East Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Components and Planned Land Uses	3.13-27
Table 3.13-4 West Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Components and Planned Land Uses	3.13-29
Table 3.13-5 Planned Development in HSR Station and Light Maintenance Facility Areas	3.13-35
Table 3.13-6 Project Population Growth, 2015–2040	3.13-37
Table 3.13-7 Permanent Right-of-Way Acquisition by City/Community and Project Element	3.13-39
Table 3.13-8 Temporary Use of Land outside the Right-of-Way for the Project Alternatives	3.13-42
Table 3.13-9 Land Use Permanently Converted by the Project Alternatives for Track Alignment	3.13-52
Table 3.13-10 Existing Land Use Permanently Converted by Stations	3.13-57
Table 3.13-11 Existing Land Uses Permanently Converted by the Light Maintenance Facility	3.13-61
Table 3.13-12 Planned Land Uses Permanently Converted by the Light Maintenance Facility	3.13-62
Table 3.13-13 Permanent Impacts of the Light Maintenance Facility on Brisbane Baylands Planned Development	3.13-62
Table 3.13-14 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-77
Table 3.13-15 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-82
Table 3.14-1 Definition of Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and School District Play Areas Resource Study Areas	3.14-5
Table 3.14-2 Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Open Space Resources by Subsection	3.14-21
Table 3.14-3 School District Play Areas by Subsection	3.14-46
Table 3.14-4 Noise, Vibration, and Construction Emissions Impacts on Use and User Experience of Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Open-Space Resources	3.14-54
Table 3.14-5 Construction-Related Impacts on Access to and Use of Parks	3.14-93
Table 3.14-6 Construction-Related Visual Impacts on Access to or Use of Parks	3.14-105
Table 3.14-7 Permanent Visual Impacts on Access or Use of Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Open-Space Resources	3.14-111
Table 3.14-8 Permanent Parks, Recreation, and Open-Space Acquisitions, San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection	3.14-115
Table 3.14-9 Operational Noise Impacts on Parks and Recreational Facilities	3.14-128
Table 3.14-10 Noise, Vibration, and Construction Emissions Impacts on Use and User Experience of School District Play Areas	3.14-131

Table 3.14-11 Construction-Related Reduction in Access to or Use of School District Play Areas 3.14-139

Table 3.14-12 Permanent Visual Impacts on Users of School District Play Areas..... 3.14-141

Table 3.14-13 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources 3.14-145

Table 3.14-14 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources 3.14-149

Table 3.15-1 Affected Viewer Groups and Associated Sensitivities 3.15-9

Table 3.15-2 Mission Bay Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-13

Table 3.15-3 Key Viewpoints in the Mission Bay Landscape Unit 3.15-17

Table 3.15-4 Southeast San Francisco Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-18

Table 3.15-5 Brisbane Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-23

Table 3.15-6 Key Viewpoints in the Brisbane Landscape Unit 3.15-27

Table 3.15-7 South San Francisco Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-28

Table 3.15-8 San Bruno–Millbrae Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-31

Table 3.15-9 Key Viewpoints in the San Bruno–Millbrae Landscape Unit 3.15-36

Table 3.15-10 Burlingame Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-37

Table 3.15-11 San Mateo–Redwood City Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-45

Table 3.15-12 Key Viewpoints in the San Mateo–Redwood City Landscape Unit 3.15-51

Table 3.15-13 Atherton–Mountain View Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-56

Table 3.15-14 Key Viewpoints in the Atherton–Mountain View Landscape Unit 3.15-64

Table 3.15-15 Sunnyvale Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-67

Table 3.15-16 Key Viewpoints Representing the Sunnyvale Landscape Unit 3.15-71

Table 3.15-17 Santa Clara Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality 3.15-71

Table 3.15-18 Key Viewpoints Representing the Santa Clara Landscape Unit 3.15-76

Table 3.15-19 Diridon Station Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.15-78

Table 3.15-20 Key Viewpoints Representing the Diridon Station Landscape Unit 3.15-80

Table 3.15-21 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.15-83

Table 3.15-22 Key Viewpoints Representing the San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit 3.15-86

Table 3.15-23 Summary of Visual Quality Change for Project Alternatives 3.15-88

Table 3.15-24 Landscape Unit-Specific Temporary Construction Activities 3.15-91

Table 3.15-25 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Aesthetics and Visual Quality 3.15-144

Table 3.15-26 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Aesthetics and Visual Quality 3.15-149

Table 3.16-1 Section 106 Technical Reports and Concurrence Dates 3.16-12

Table 3.16-2 Previously Identified Archaeological Resources in the Area of Potential Effect..... 3.16-21

Table 3.16-3 Significant Built Resources 3.16-25

Table 3.16-4 Summary of Mitigation Measures Applicable to Each Alternative 3.16-93

Table 3.16-5 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Cultural Resources..... 3.16-102

Table 3.16-6 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources..... 3.16-105

Table 3.16-7 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Impact CUL#4: Permanent Demolition, Destruction, Relocation, or Alteration of Built Resources or Setting 3.16-111

Table 3.17-1 RSA and County Characteristics, 2015 Estimates 3.17-10

Table 3.17-2 San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties: Regional Employment by Industry, 2000–2024 3.17-12

Table 3.17-3 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections, 2015, 2020, 2024, and 2040 3.17-13

Table 3.17-4 Labor Force Characteristics by County and City/Community in the RSA, 2000–2015..... 3.17-14

Table 3.17-5 Population Growth, 2000–2015 3.17-16

Table 3.17-6 Population Projections, 2015–2040 3.17-16

Table 3.17-7 Housing Units and Vacancy Rates, 2015 and 2040 3.17-17

Table 3.17-8 Project Alternatives Costs (2018\$, in millions) 3.17-21

Table 3.17-9 Alternative A Construction Employment Impacts 3.17-22

Table 3.17-10 Alternative B (Viaduct to I-880) Construction Employment Impacts 3.17-22

Table 3.17-11 Alternative B (Viaduct to Scott Boulevard) Construction Employment Impacts 3.17-23

Table 3.17-12 Total One-Time Economic Impact of Construction in the RSA 3.17-24

Table 3.17-13 Annual Employment Impacts during Operations and Maintenance 3.17-27

Table 3.17-14 Operations-Related Employment and Population Growth..... 3.17-28

Table 3.17-15 Operations-Related Employment and Population Growth, Including Increased Accessibility Impacts..... 3.17-29

Table 3.17-16 Summary of Regional Growth Impacts by Alternative 3.17-34

Table 3.18-1 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Construction of Either of the Project Alternatives in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District 3.18-16

Table 3.18-2 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Operation of Freight Trains on Shifted Track 3.18-18

Table 3.18-3 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Station and Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Operation..... 3.18-19

Table 3.18-4 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Combined Construction and Operations..... 3.18-20

Table 3.18-5 Summary of 2040 No Project, Plus Project, and Cumulative Plus Project Noise Impacts 3.18-28

Table 3.18-6 Summary of Cumulative Effects and Impacts..... 3.18-80

Table 3.19-1 Summary of Change in 2040 Project Noise Impacts with Diridon Design Variant Compared to Alternative A without Diridon Design Variant (before mitigation) 3.19-9

Table 4-1 Section 4(f) and 6(f) Evaluation Consultation Summary 4-8

Table 4-2 Summary of Design Features for Alternatives A and B 4-12

Table 4-3 Parks and Recreational Facilities not Considered Section 4(f) Resources 4-15

Table 4-4 Park and Recreation Resources Evaluated for Potential Section 4(f) Use 4-17

Table 4-5 Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect Listed or Eligible for Listing
in the National Register of Historic Places 4-58

Table 4-6 Parks and Recreational Facilities Over 200 Feet from the Project Footprint
with a Preliminary Determination of No Use under Section 4(f)..... 4-74

Table 4-7 Section 4(f) Preliminary Use Determinations for Parks and Recreational
Facilities within 200 feet of the Project Footprint..... 4-79

Table 4-8 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and
Waterfowl Refuges 4-190

Table 4-9 Built Historic Properties Evaluated for Potential Section 4(f) Use 4-192

Table 4-10 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of NRHP-Listed or Eligible Properties 4-252

Table 4-11 Summary of Section 4(f) Avoidance Alternatives 4-255

Table 4-12 Measures to Minimize Harm 4-256

Table 4-13 Preliminary Least Harm Analysis for the San Francisco to San Jose Project
Alternatives 4-259

Table 4-14 Section 6(f) Resources and Findings 4-264

Table 5-1 Overview of Reference Community and Resource Study Area Demographic
Characteristics (2010–2014 Estimates) 5-13

Table 5-2 Reference Community Demographic Characteristics (2010–2014 Estimates) 5-14

Table 5-3 Cities/Communities within the Resource Study Area 5-15

Table 5-4 Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (2010–2014 Estimates)..... 5-16

Table 5-5 Station and Light Maintenance Facility Resource Study Area Demographic
Characteristics (2010–2014 Estimates) 5-17

Table 5-6 Low-Income Populations within the Reference Community (2010–2014
Estimates)..... 5-18

Table 5-7 Median Household Incomes and Low-Income Populations within the
Resource Study Area (2010–2014 Estimates) 5-18

Table 5-8 Percentage of Households Participating in the Supplemental Nutrition
Assistance Program within the Resource Study Area (2010–2014 Estimates) 5-20

Table 5-9 Minority Group Representation in the Reference Community (2010–2014
Estimates)..... 5-28

Table 5-10 Minority Group Representation within the Resource Study Area (2010–2014
Estimates)..... 5-30

Table 5-11 Other Sensitive Populations within the Resource Study Area (2010–2014
Estimates)..... 5-38

Table 5-12 Outreach to Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations 5-42

Table 5-13 Interviews with Stakeholder Organizations and Community Service
Providers..... 5-52

Table 5-14 Income and Ethnicity by Transit Service Provider 5-67

Table 5-15 Displacements by Type 5-69

Table 5-16 Residential and Business Displacements by Subsection and
City/Community 5-70

Table 5-17 Temporary Localized Criteria Pollutant Violations by Subsection 5-76

Table 5-18 Mitigated Operational Noise Impacts with Noise Barriers and with Quiet
Zones and Noise Barriers by Alternative (Number of Receptors with Predicted
Noise Impact)..... 5-82

Table 5-19 Ground-Borne Operational Vibration Impacts by Alternative 5-89

Table 6-1 Capital Cost of the High-Speed Rail Project Alternatives (2018\$, in millions) 6-4

Table 6-2 Medium Scenario Revenue and Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs	6-7
Table 8-1 Community and Environmental Effects by Alternative	8-11
Table 8-2 Capital Costs of the Project Alternatives (2018\$ Millions).....	8-16
Table 9-1 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, April 2016–July 2016	9-10
Table 9-2 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, July 2016–December 2019.....	9-13

Figures

Figure S-1 California High-Speed Rail Statewide System.....	S-2
Figure S-2 San Francisco to San Jose Project Section.....	S-3
Figure 1-1 Statewide High-Speed Rail System—Implementation Phases	1-2
Figure 1-2 Statewide High-Speed Rail System, Phase 1 and Phase 2—Project Sections	1-8
Figure 1-3 San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	1-10
Figure 1-4 Population Growth for San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties.....	1-16
Figure 1-5 Long-Distance Intercity Trips in California (in millions)	1-17
Figure 1-6 Major Intercity Travel Routes and Airports	1-19
Figure 1-7 Most Congested Highway Segments	1-22
Figure 2-1 Proposed San Francisco to San Jose Project Section.....	2-2
Figure 2-2 Example of an At-Grade Profile Showing Overhead Contact System and Vertical Arms of the Pantograph Power Pickups.....	2-7
Figure 2-3 Examples of Japanese Shinkansen High-Speed Trains	2-7
Figure 2-4 Examples of Existing Stations	2-8
Figure 2-5 Two-Train Station Platform Cross Section	2-9
Figure 2-6 Four-Train Station Platform Cross Section.....	2-10
Figure 2-7 Typical At-Grade Cross Section for Blended System.....	2-11
Figure 2-8 Typical At-Grade Cross Section for Blended System in San Jose (Alternative A Only).....	2-12
Figure 2-9 Typical Retained-Fill Cross Section.....	2-13
Figure 2-10 Two-Track Viaduct.....	2-14
Figure 2-11 Typical Straddle Bent Cross Section.....	2-15
Figure 2-12 Applications of Four-Quadrant Gates (Applications A and B)	2-16
Figure 2-13 Applications of Four-Quadrant Gates (Applications B1 and C).....	2-17
Figure 2-14 Applications of Four-Quadrant Gates (Applications D and E).....	2-18
Figure 2-15 Proposed Caltrain Station Modifications	2-20
Figure 2-16 Illustration of Hold-Out Rule Stations	2-21
Figure 2-17 Photograph of Perimeter Fencing of Right-of-Way	2-22
Figure 2-18 Typical Cross Section of At-Grade Profile with Traction Power, Signaling, and Train Control Features.....	2-23
Figure 2-19 Typical Cross Section of Roadway Grade-Separated Beneath HSR Guideway.....	2-25
Figure 2-20 Project Alternatives Development and Screening Process	2-27
Figure 2-21 Alignment Alternatives Considered and Eliminated in Tier 1 Planning	2-29
Figure 2-22 Tier 1 Decision as Foundation for Range of Alternatives in Tier 2 EIR/EIS— San Francisco to San Jose Project Section	2-30
Figure 2-23 Alignment Alternatives and Station Locations Carried Forward from the Preliminary Alternatives Analysis	2-32

Figure 2-24 Alignment Alternatives, Station Locations, and Light Maintenance Facilities Carried Forward from the Supplemental Alternatives Analysis 2-34

Figure 2-25 Light Maintenance Facility Sites—San Francisco to San Jose Project Section 2-36

Figure 2-26 Passing Track Options Considered 2-39

Figure 2-27 Design Options Considered in the San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection 2-48

Figure 2-28 San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection—Alternative A 2-76

Figure 2-29 4th and King Street Station Site Plan—Alternatives A and B 2-78

Figure 2-30 4th and King Street Station Cross Section (Northern Portion)— Alternatives A and B 2-79

Figure 2-31 4th and King Street Station Cross Section (Southern Portion)— Alternatives A and B 2-79

Figure 2-32 East Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Layout—Alternative A 2-80

Figure 2-33 San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection—Alternatives A and B 2-83

Figure 2-34 Millbrae Station Site Plan—Alternatives A and B 2-84

Figure 2-35 Millbrae Station Cross Section (East Entrance)—Alternatives A and B 2-85

Figure 2-36 Millbrae Station Cross Section (West Entrance)—Alternatives A and B 2-85

Figure 2-37 San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Northern Portion)—Alternative A 2-87

Figure 2-38 San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Southern Portion)— Alternatives A and B 2-88

Figure 2-39 Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection—Alternative A and B 2-90

Figure 2-40 San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection—Alternatives A and B 2-93

Figure 2-41 Conceptual San Jose Diridon At-Grade Station Plan 2-94

Figure 2-42 San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection—Alternative B 2-99

Figure 2-43 West Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Layout 2-100

Figure 2-44 San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Northern Portion)—Alternative B 2-102

Figure 2-45 San Carlos Station Relocation—Alternative B 2-104

Figure 2-46 Conceptual Aerial San Jose Diridon Station Plan 2-106

Figure 2-47 Conceptual Aerial San Jose Diridon Station Cross Section 2-107

Figure 2-48 BCDC Jurisdictional and Priority Use Areas—Part 1 of 3 2-121

Figure 2-49 BCDC Jurisdictional and Priority Use Areas—Part 2 of 3 2-122

Figure 2-50 BCDC Jurisdictional and Priority Use Areas—Part 3 of 3 2-123

Figure 2-51 Tamping Machine for Minor Lateral Track Shifts (<1 foot) 2-136

Figure 2-52 Construction of Lateral Track Shifts (<10 feet) 2-136

Figure 2-53 Overhead Contact System Contact Wire Adjustments 2-137

Figure 2-54 Typical Aerial Structure Components 2-141

Figure 2-55 Full-Span Precast Construction on Taiwan HSR 2-143

Figure 2-56 Span-by-Span Precast Segmental Construction 2-143

Figure 2-57 Balanced Cantilever Segmental Construction 2-143

Figure 2-58 Cast-in-Place Construction on Formwork 2-143

Figure 3.1-1 Typical Resource Study Area 3.1-6

Figure 3.2-1 Regionally Significant Freeways, Expressways, and Arterial Roadways 3.2-21

Figure 3.2-2 Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (Off-Street within 1/2 Mile) 3.2-26

Figure 3.2-3 Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (Off-Street within 1/3 Mile) 3.2-27

Figure 3.2-4 Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (On-Street within 1/2 Mile) 3.2-28

Figure 3.2-5 Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (On-Street within 1/3 Mile) 3.2-29

Figure 3.2-6 4th and King Street Station Existing Transit Routes 3.2-33

Figure 3.2-7 Millbrae Station Existing Transit Routes 3.2-35

Figure 3.2-8 San Jose Diridon Station Existing Transit Routes 3.2-37

Figure 3.2-9 4th and King Street Station Existing Bicycle Facilities 3.2-39

Figure 3.2-10 Millbrae Station Existing Bicycle Facilities 3.2-41

Figure 3.2-11 San Jose Diridon Station Existing Bicycle Facilities 3.2-43

Figure 3.2-12 Railroad Control Points and Subdivisions in the RSA—Part 1 of 3 3.2-45

Figure 3.2-13 Railroad Control Points and Subdivisions in the RSA—Part 2 of 3 3.2-46

Figure 3.2-14 Railroad Control Points and Subdivisions in the RSA—Part 3 of 3 3.2-47

Figure 3.2-15 Daily Freight Service Routes 3.2-48

Figure 3.2-16 Summary of Transportation Effects by Subsection 3.2-100

Figure 3.3-1 Aggregate GHG Emissions Reductions That Would Result from the California HSR Project 3.3-9

Figure 3.3-2 Regional Air Quality Resource Study Area 3.3-13

Figure 3.3-3 Monitoring Station Locations 3.3-34

Figure 3.3-4 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the 4th and King Street Station 3.3-37

Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the Millbrae Station 3.3-38

Figure 3.3-6 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the San Jose Diridon Station 3.3-39

Figure 3.3-7 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the East Brisbane LMF (Alternative A) 3.3-40

Figure 3.3-8 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the West Brisbane LMF (Alternative B) 3.3-41

Figure 3.4-1 Typical A-Weighted Maximum Sound Levels 3.4-4

Figure 3.4-2 Propagation of Ground-Borne Vibration into Buildings 3.4-5

Figure 3.4-3 Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration and Response to Vibration 3.4-6

Figure 3.4-4 State of California Land Use Compatibility Guidelines 3.4-10

Figure 3.4-5 Allowable Increase in Combined/Cumulative Noise Levels (Land Use Categories 1 & 2) 3.4-19

Figure 3.4-6 Allowable Increase in Combined/Cumulative Noise Levels (Land Use Category 3) 3.4-19

Figure 3.4-7 Distance from Tracks within which Startle Can Occur for Train Passby 3.4-20

Figure 3.4-8 Existing Vibration Measurement Levels 3.4-37

Figure 3.4-9 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative A (San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection) 3.4-49

Figure 3.4-10 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative A (San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection) 3.4-50

Figure 3.4-11 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative A (San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection) 3.4-51

Figure 3.4-12 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative A (Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection) 3.4-52

Figure 3.4-13 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative A (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)	3.4-53
Figure 3.4-14 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative B (San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection).....	3.4-54
Figure 3.4-15 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative B (San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection).....	3.4-55
Figure 3.4-16 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative B (San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection).....	3.4-56
Figure 3.4-17 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative B (Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection)	3.4-57
Figure 3.4-18 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative B (Viaduct to I-880) (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection).....	3.4-58
Figure 3.4-19 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—Alternative B (Viaduct to Scott Boulevard) (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection).....	3.4-59
Figure 3.4-20 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative A (San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection)	3.4-70
Figure 3.4-21 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative A (San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection).....	3.4-71
Figure 3.4-22 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative A (San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection).....	3.4-72
Figure 3.4-23 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative A (Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection).....	3.4-73
Figure 3.4-24 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative A (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)	3.4-74
Figure 3.4-25 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative B (San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection)	3.4-75
Figure 3.4-26 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative B (San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection).....	3.4-76
Figure 3.4-27 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative B (San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection).....	3.4-77
Figure 3.4-28 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative B (Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection).....	3.4-78
Figure 3.4-29 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative B (Viaduct to I-880) (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection)	3.4-79
Figure 3.4-30 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—Alternative B (Viaduct to Scott Boulevard) (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection).....	3.4-80
Figure 3.4-31 Example of a Typical Noise Barrier	3.4-84
Figure 3.4-32 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—Alternative A (San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection)	3.4-93
Figure 3.4-33 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—Alternative A (San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection)	3.4-94
Figure 3.4-34 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—Alternative A (San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection).....	3.4-95
Figure 3.4-35 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—Alternative A (Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection)	3.4-96
Figure 3.4-36 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—Alternative A (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection).....	3.4-97
Figure 3.4-37 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—Alternative B (San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection)	3.4-98

Figure 3.4-38 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—
Alternative B (San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection) 3.4-99

Figure 3.4-39 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—
Alternative B (San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection) 3.4-100

Figure 3.4-40 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—
Alternative B (Viaduct to I-880) (Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection) 3.4-101

Figure 3.4-41 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—
Alternative B (Viaduct to I-880) (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-102

Figure 3.4-42 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—
Alternative B (Viaduct to Scott Boulevard) (Mountain View to Santa Clara
Subsection) 3.4-103

Figure 3.4-43 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts without Quiet Zones—
Alternative B (Viaduct to Scott Boulevard) (San Jose Diridon Station Approach
Subsection) 3.4-104

Figure 3.4-44 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
A (San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection) 3.4-108

Figure 3.4-45 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
A (San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection) 3.4-109

Figure 3.4-46 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
A (San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection) 3.4-110

Figure 3.4-47 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
A (Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection) 3.4-111

Figure 3.4-48 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
A (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-112

Figure 3.4-49 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
B (San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection) 3.4-113

Figure 3.4-50 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
B (San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection) 3.4-114

Figure 3.4-51 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
B (San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection) 3.4-115

Figure 3.4-52 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
B (Viaduct to I-880) (Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection) 3.4-116

Figure 3.4-53 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
B (Viaduct to I-880) (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-117

Figure 3.4-54 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
B (Viaduct to Scott Boulevard) (Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection) 3.4-118

Figure 3.4-55 Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones—Alternative
B (Viaduct to Scott Boulevard) (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-119

Figure 3.5-1 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI:
San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection 3.5-18

Figure 3.5-2 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI:
San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection 3.5-19

Figure 3.5-3 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI:
San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection 3.5-20

Figure 3.5-4 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI:
Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection 3.5-21

Figure 3.5-5 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI:
San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection 3.5-22

Figure 3.6-1 Electric Distribution, Power, Transmission Lines, and Substations in the
Resource Study Area—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection 3.6-20

Figure 3.6-2 Electric Distribution, Power, Transmission Lines, and Substations in the Resource Study Area—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection	3.6-21
Figure 3.6-3 Electric Distribution, Power, Transmission Lines, and Substations in the Resource Study Area—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection	3.6-22
Figure 3.6-4 Electric Distribution, Power, Transmission Lines, and Substations in the Resource Study Area—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection	3.6-23
Figure 3.6-5 Electric Distribution, Power, Transmission Lines, and Substations in the Resource Study Area—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....	3.6-24
Figure 3.6-6 Natural Gas Pipelines in the Resource Study Area.....	3.6-26
Figure 3.6-7 Major Utility Fuel Pipelines in the Resource Study Area	3.6-28
Figure 3.6-8 Water Distribution System Boundaries.....	3.6-30
Figure 3.6-9 California Energy Consumption by Sector, 2016	3.6-40
Figure 3.6-10 California Energy Consumption Estimates by Type, 2016	3.6-40
Figure 3.6-11 Historical Trends and Projected Statewide Annual Electricity Consumption Base Demand.....	3.6-43
Figure 3.6-12 Historical Trends and Projected Statewide Annual Electricity Consumption—Peak Demand	3.6-44
Figure 3.6-13 California Natural Gas Demand by Sector, 2016	3.6-45
Figure 3.7-1 Schematic of Biological and Aquatic Resource Study Areas	3.7-15
Figure 3.7-2 Watersheds and Major Hydrological Features	3.7-27
Figure 3.7-3 General Soil Map Units.....	3.7-29
Figure 3.8-1 Surface Water and Floodplain Resource Study Area.....	3.8-14
Figure 3.8-2 Groundwater Resource Study Area.....	3.8-15
Figure 3.8-3 Hydromodification Impact Susceptibility Map.....	3.8-26
Figure 3.8-4 2014–2016 Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List Impairments	3.8-29
Figure 3.8-5 Groundwater Basins, Subbasins, and Designated Recharge Areas.....	3.8-31
Figure 3.8-6 Floodplains in the Resource Study Area	3.8-36
Figure 3.8-7 Permanent Impacts of East Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility (Alternative A) on Existing Aquatic Resources.....	3.8-49
Figure 3.8-8 Permanent Impacts of West Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility (Alternative B) on Existing Aquatic Resources.....	3.8-50
Figure 3.8-9 Vulnerability of the Project Alternatives to Sea Level Rise	3.8-102
Figure 3.9-1 Geologic Map—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection	3.9-14
Figure 3.9-2 Geologic Map—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection	3.9-15
Figure 3.9-3 Geologic Map—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection	3.9-16
Figure 3.9-4 Geologic Map—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection	3.9-17
Figure 3.9-5 Geologic Map—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....	3.9-18
Figure 3.9-6 Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Associations	3.9-23
Figure 3.9-7 Summary Distribution of Slides and Earth Flows	3.9-27
Figure 3.9-8 Thickness of Young Bay Mud.....	3.9-29
Figure 3.9-9 Soil Corrosion of Concrete and Steel	3.9-30
Figure 3.9-10 Quaternary Faults.....	3.9-33
Figure 3.9-11 Liquefaction Susceptibility	3.9-35
Figure 3.9-12 Dams near Proposed Alignment.....	3.9-38
Figure 3.10-1 Adjacent Land Use along the Project Section	3.10-8

Figure 3.10-2 Potential Environmental Concern Sites and Schools—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection	3.10-13
Figure 3.10-3 Potential Environmental Concern Sites and Schools—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection	3.10-14
Figure 3.10-4 Potential Environmental Concern Sites and Schools—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection.....	3.10-15
Figure 3.10-5 Potential Environmental Concern Sites and Schools—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection.....	3.10-16
Figure 3.10-6 Potential Environmental Concern Sites and Schools—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection	3.10-17
Figure 3.11-1 Safety and Security Facilities in the Resource Study Area— San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection	3.11-18
Figure 3.11-2 Safety and Security Facilities in the Resource Study Area— San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection	3.11-19
Figure 3.11-3 Safety and Security Facilities in the Resource Study Area— San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection	3.11-20
Figure 3.11-4 Safety and Security Facilities in the Resource Study Area— Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection	3.11-21
Figure 3.11-5 Safety and Security Facilities in the Resource Study Area— San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....	3.11-22
Figure 3.11-6 Type of Railroad Accidents/Incidents by County, 2011–2017.....	3.11-36
Figure 3.11-7 Total Railroad Accidents/Incidents and Casualties by County, 2011–2017....	3.11-36
Figure 3.11-8 Violent Crime Rates Reported in San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties and in California, 2010 and 2015.....	3.11-44
Figure 3.11-9 Property Crime Rates Reported in San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties and in California, 2010 and 2015.....	3.11-44
Figure 3.11-10 Caltrain Transit Police Enforcement Events by Type, 2015.....	3.11-45
Figure 3.11-11 Existing Roadway Configuration for Brisbane Fire Station	3.11-53
Figure 3.11-12 Proposed Roadway Configuration and Brisbane Fire Station Relocation under Alternative A.....	3.11-54
Figure 3.11-13 Proposed Roadway Configuration and Brisbane Fire Station Relocation under Alternative B	3.11-55
Figure 3.11-14 Fire Station Screening Analysis Results (North)	3.11-62
Figure 3.11-15 Fire Station Screening Analysis Results (South).....	3.11-63
Figure 3.12-1 Communities in the Resource Study Area	3.12-16
Figure 3.12-2 Community Facilities in the San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection	3.12-19
Figure 3.12-3 Community Facilities in the San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection	3.12-21
Figure 3.12-4 Community Facilities in the San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection.....	3.12-23
Figure 3.12-5 Community Facilities in the Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection.....	3.12-25
Figure 3.12-6 Community Facilities in the San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection	3.12-27
Figure 3.13-1 Existing Land Uses—4th and King Street Station Area	3.13-15
Figure 3.13-2 Existing Land Uses—Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Area	3.13-17
Figure 3.13-3 Existing Land Uses—Millbrae Station Area.....	3.13-19
Figure 3.13-4 Existing Land Uses—San Jose Diridon Station Area.....	3.13-21
Figure 3.13-5 Planned Land Uses—4th and King Street Station Area.....	3.13-24
Figure 3.13-6 Planned Land Uses—Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Area.....	3.13-26

Figure 3.13-7 Planned Land Uses—East Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Area..... 3.13-28

Figure 3.13-8 Planned Land Uses—West Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Area.....3.13-31

Figure 3.13-9 Planned Land Uses—Millbrae Station Area 3.13-32

Figure 3.13-10 Planned Land Uses—San Jose Diridon Station Area 3.13-34

Figure 3.13-11 Temporary and Permanent Project Footprint—East Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Area 3.13-45

Figure 3.13-12 Temporary and Permanent Project Footprint—West Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility Area 3.13-46

Figure 3.13-13 Not-To-Preclude Transit-Oriented Development Massing Diagram at Millbrae Station 3.13-58

Figure 3.13-14 Project Features within BCDC Priority Use Area, Northern Brisbane Lagoon (Alternative A)..... 3.13-68

Figure 3.13-15 Project Features within BCDC Priority Use Area, Northern Brisbane Lagoon (Alternative B)..... 3.13-69

Figure 3.13-16 Realignment of Lagoon Road with Mitigation Measure LU-MM#2..... 3.13-75

Figure 3.14-1 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection (Northern Portion) 3.14-10

Figure 3.14-2 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection (Southern Portion) 3.14-11

Figure 3.14-3 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection (Northern Portion) 3.14-12

Figure 3.14-4 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection (Southern Portion) 3.14-13

Figure 3.14-5 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Northern Portion) 3.14-14

Figure 3.14-6 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Central Portion) 3.14-15

Figure 3.14-7 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Southern Portion) 3.14-16

Figure 3.14-8 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection (Northern Portion) 3.14-17

Figure 3.14-9 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection (Southern Portion) 3.14-18

Figure 3.14-10 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Northern Portion) 3.14-19

Figure 3.14-11 Parks, Recreational Facilities, Open Space, and School District Play Areas in the Resource Study Area—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Southern Portion) 3.14-20

Figure 3.14-12 Permanent Acquisition at Reed Street Dog Park—Alternative B (Viaduct to Scott Boulevard) 3.14-116

Figure 3.14-13 Permanent Acquisition at Los Gatos Creek Trail—Alternative A 3.14-117

Figure 3.14-14 Permanent Acquisition at Los Gatos Creek Trail—Alternative B 3.14-118

Figure 3.14-15 Permanent Acquisition at Guadalupe River Trail (Reach 6)—Alternative B 3.14-119

Figure 3.14-16 Permanent Acquisition at Fuller Park—Alternative A..... 3.14-120

Figure 3.14-17 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North— Alternative A 3.14-121

Figure 3.14-18 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North— Alternative B 3.14-122

Figure 3.14-19 Permanent Acquisition at Tamien Park (Phase II Planned)—Alternative B 3.14-123

Figure 3.15-1 Project Alternatives, Key Viewpoints, and Regional Scenic Resources 3.15-6

Figure 3.15-2 Visual Effects..... 3.15-11

Figure 3.15-3 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Mission Bay Landscape Unit..... 3.15-14

Figure 3.15-4 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Southeast San Francisco Landscape Unit 3.15-19

Figure 3.15-5 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Brisbane Landscape Unit 3.15-24

Figure 3.15-6 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— South San Francisco Landscape Unit..... 3.15-29

Figure 3.15-7 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— San Bruno–Millbrae Landscape Unit..... 3.15-32

Figure 3.15-8 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Burlingame Landscape Unit..... 3.15-38

Figure 3.15-9 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— San Mateo–Redwood City Landscape Unit (Northern Portion) 3.15-42

Figure 3.15-10 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— San Mateo–Redwood City Landscape Unit (Central Portion)..... 3.15-43

Figure 3.15-11 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— San Mateo–Redwood City Landscape Unit (Southern Portion)..... 3.15-44

Figure 3.15-12 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Atherton–Mountain View Landscape Unit (Northern Portion)..... 3.15-53

Figure 3.15-13 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Atherton–Mountain View Landscape Unit (Central Portion) 3.15-54

Figure 3.15-14 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Atherton–Mountain View Landscape Unit (Southern Portion) 3.15-55

Figure 3.15-15 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Sunnyvale Landscape Unit (Northern Portion)..... 3.15-65

Figure 3.15-16 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Sunnyvale Landscape Unit (Southern Portion) 3.15-66

Figure 3.15-17 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Santa Clara Landscape Unit..... 3.15-72

Figure 3.15-18 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— Diridon Station Landscape Unit..... 3.15-77

Figure 3.15-19 Key Viewpoints, Visual Resources, and Viewers— San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit 3.15-82

Figure 3.15-20 KVP 1—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, Fourth Street to 4th and King Street Station 3.15-96

Figure 3.15-21 KVP 2—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, 4th and King Street Station Platform Four..... 3.15-97

Figure 3.15-22 KVP 3—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative A, Bayshore Boulevard to Brisbane Baylands	3.15-99
Figure 3.15-23 KVP 4—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative A, East Brisbane LMF from Kings Road, Brisbane	3.15-101
Figure 3.15-24 KVP 3—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, Bayshore Boulevard to Brisbane Baylands	3.15-102
Figure 3.15-25 KVP 4—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, West Brisbane LMF from Kings Road, Brisbane	3.15-103
Figure 3.15-26 KVP 5—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, El Camino Real, Millbrae	3.15-106
Figure 3.15-27 KVP 6—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, Historic Millbrae Depot Building, Millbrae	3.15-107
Figure 3.15-28 KVP 7—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, South B Street, San Mateo.....	3.15-109
Figure 3.15-29 KVP 8—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, El Camino Real at 39th Avenue, San Mateo.....	3.15-110
Figure 3.15-30 KVP 9—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, San Carlos Caltrain Station, San Carlos	3.15-111
Figure 3.15-31 KVP 10—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, Broadway, Redwood City	3.15-112
Figure 3.15-32 KVP 11—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, Menlo Park Caltrain Station.....	3.15-114
Figure 3.15-33 KVP 12—Baseline and Simulation with HSR Alternatives A and B, El Palo Alto	3.15-115
Figure 3.15-34 KVP 13—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, Peers Park	3.15-116
Figure 3.15-35 KVP 14—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, Sunnyvale Avenue.....	3.15-118
Figure 3.15-36 KVP 15—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, Main Street	3.15-120
Figure 3.15-37 KVP 16—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, I-880.	3.15-121
Figure 3.15-38 KVP 17—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternatives A and B, West Hedding Street.....	3.15-122
Figure 3.15-39 KVP 18—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative A, Caltrain from The Alameda	3.15-124
Figure 3.15-40 KVP 19—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative A, Caltrain from West Santa Clara Street.....	3.15-125
Figure 3.15-41 KVP 20—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative A, Diridon Station.....	3.15-126
Figure 3.15-42 KVP 18—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, Caltrain from The Alameda	3.15-127
Figure 3.15-43 KVP 19—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, Caltrain from West Santa Clara Street.....	3.15-129
Figure 3.15-44 KVP 20—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, Diridon Station.....	3.15-130
Figure 3.15-45 KVP 21—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative A, San Jose Skyline	3.15-132
Figure 3.15-46 KVP 23—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative A, Fuller Avenue.....	3.15-133

Figure 3.15-47 KVP 24—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative A, Delmas Avenue..... 3.15-135

Figure 3.15-48 KVP 21—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, San Jose Skyline from I-280..... 3.15-136

Figure 3.15-49 KVP 22—Baseline and Simulation with HSR: Alternative B, Gardner School..... 3.15-137

Figure 3.16-1 Potentially Affected Historic Property Locations—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection..... 3.16-37

Figure 3.16-2 Potentially Affected Historic Property Locations—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection..... 3.16-38

Figure 3.16-3 Potentially Affected Historic Property Locations—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection..... 3.16-39

Figure 3.16-4 Potentially Affected Historic Property Locations—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection..... 3.16-40

Figure 3.16-5 Potentially Affected Historic Property Locations—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection..... 3.16-41

Figure 3.19-1 Extent of Diridon Design Variant..... 3.19-2

Figure 4-1 Proposed San Francisco to San Jose Project Section..... 4-11

Figure 4-2 Parks and Recreational Facilities—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection (Northern Portion)..... 4-46

Figure 4-3 Parks and Recreational Facilities—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection (Southern Portion)..... 4-47

Figure 4-4 Parks and Recreational Facilities—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection (Northern Portion)..... 4-48

Figure 4-5 Parks and Recreational Facilities—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection (Southern Portion)..... 4-49

Figure 4-6 Parks and Recreational Facilities—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Northern Portion)..... 4-50

Figure 4-7 Parks and Recreational Facilities—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Central Portion)..... 4-51

Figure 4-8 Parks and Recreational Facilities—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Southern Portion)..... 4-52

Figure 4-9 Parks and Recreational Facilities—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection (Northern Portion)..... 4-53

Figure 4-10 Parks and Recreational Facilities—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection (Southern Portion)..... 4-54

Figure 4-11 Parks and Recreational Facilities—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Northern Portion)..... 4-55

Figure 4-12 Parks and Recreational Facilities—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Southern Portion)..... 4-56

Figure 4-13 Built Historic Resources—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection (Northern Portion)..... 4-61

Figure 4-14 Built Historic Resources—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection (Southern Portion)..... 4-62

Figure 4-15 Built Historic Resources—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection (Northern Portion)..... 4-63

Figure 4-16 Built Historic Resources—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection (Southern Portion)..... 4-64

Figure 4-17 Built Historic Resources—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Northern Portion) 4-65

Figure 4-18 Built Historic Resources—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Central Portion) 4-66

Figure 4-19 Built Historic Resources—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection (Southern Portion) 4-67

Figure 4-20 Built Historic Resources—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection (Northern Portion) 4-68

Figure 4-21 Built Historic Resources—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection (Southern Portion) 4-69

Figure 4-22 Built Historic Resources—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Northern Portion) 4-70

Figure 4-23 Built Historic Resources—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Southern Portion) 4-71

Figure 4-24a San Francisco Bay Trail (Northern Portion) 4-139

Figure 4-24b San Francisco Bay Trail (Central Portion) 4-140

Figure 4-24c San Francisco Bay Trail (Southern Portion) 4-141

Figure 4-25 Mission Creek Park and Mission Bay Dog Park 4-142

Figure 4-26 Mariposa Park 4-143

Figure 4-27 Pennsylvania Garden 4-144

Figure 4-28 Tunnel Top Park 4-145

Figure 4-29 Palou and Phelps Park 4-146

Figure 4-30 Florence Fang Asian Community Garden 4-147

Figure 4-31 Crocker Park Recreational Trail 4-148

Figure 4-32a Brisbane Lagoon Fisherman’s Park 4-149

Figure 4-32b Brisbane Lagoon Fisherman’s Park 4-150

Figure 4-33 Brisbane Community Park, Skate Park and Basketball Courts, City Hall Dog Park, and Old Quarry Road Park and Trail 4-151

Figure 4-34 Herman Park 4-152

Figure 4-35 Posy Park 4-153

Figure 4-36 Lions Park 4-154

Figure 4-37 Lomita Park Elementary School 4-155

Figure 4-38 Village Park 4-156

Figure 4-39 Laguna Park 4-157

Figure 4-40 Alpine Park 4-158

Figure 4-41 Washington Park 4-159

Figure 4-42 Hayward Park Square 4-160

Figure 4-43a Trinta Park 4-161

Figure 4-43b Trinta Park 4-162

Figure 4-44 John S. Roselli Memorial Park 4-163

Figure 4-45 Main Street Dog Agility Park 4-164

Figure 4-46 Reading Park 4-165

Figure 4-47 Holbrook-Palmer Park 4-166

Figure 4-48 Burgess Park 4-167

Figure 4-49 El Palo Alto and El Camino Parks 4-168

Figure 4-50 Peers Park 4-169

Figure 4-51 Jerry Bowden Park 4-170

Figure 4-52 Robles Park 4-171

Figure 4-53 Rengstorff Park..... 4-172

Figure 4-54 Centennial Plaza 4-173

Figure 4-55 Stevens Creek Trail 4-174

Figure 4-56 Plaza Del Sol 4-175

Figure 4-57 Bracher Park..... 4-176

Figure 4-58 San Tomas Aquino Creek Trail 4-177

Figure 4-59 Guadalupe River Park 4-178

Figure 4-60 Reed Street Dog Park 4-179

Figure 4-61 Larry J. Marsalli Park..... 4-180

Figure 4-62 Newhall Park..... 4-181

Figure 4-63 College Park 4-182

Figure 4-64 Theodore Lenzen Park..... 4-183

Figure 4-65 Cahill Park 4-184

Figure 4-66 Los Gatos Creek Trail..... 4-185

Figure 4-67 Guadalupe River Trail (Reach 6)..... 4-186

Figure 4-68 Biebrach Park 4-187

Figure 4-69 Fuller Park 4-188

Figure 4-70 Tamien Park 4-189

Figure 4-71 San Francisco Auxiliary Water Supply System 4-222

Figure 4-72 Central Waterfront Historic District 4-223

Figure 4-72a Central Waterfront Historic District, Southern Pacific Railroad Tunnel No. 1 4-224

Figure 4-72b Central Waterfront Historic District, Southern Pacific Railroad Tunnel No. 2 4-225

Figure 4-73 Southern Pacific Railroad Tunnel No. 3 4-226

Figure 4-74 Southern Pacific Railroad Tunnel No. 4 4-227

Figure 4-75a Southern Pacific Railroad Bayshore Roundhouse 4-228

Figure 4-75b Southern Pacific Railroad Bayshore Roundhouse 4-229

Figure 4-76 Airport Boulevard Underpass/South San Francisco Subway 4-230

Figure 4-77 Southern Pacific Railroad Depot/Millbrae Station 4-231

Figure 4-78 Jules Francard Grove/Francard Tree Rows 4-232

Figure 4-80a Southern Pacific Railroad Depot/San Carlos Station 4-234

Figure 4-80b Southern Pacific Railroad Depot/San Carlos Station 4-235

Figure 4-81a Southern Pacific Railroad Dumbarton Cutoff Linear Historic District 4-236

Figure 4-81b Dumbarton Cutoff Railroad Line 4-237

Figure 4-82 Willie Mays Jr. House 4-238

Figure 4-83 Southern Pacific Railroad Depot/ Atherton Station 4-239

Figure 4-84 Carriage House and Water Tower, Holbrook-Palmer Estate (Elmwood)..... 4-240

Figure 4-85 Southern Pacific Railroad Depot/Menlo Park Railroad Station 4-241

Figure 4-86 Southern Pacific Railroad San Francisquito Creek Bridge..... 4-242

Figure 4-87 El Palo Alto 4-243

Figure 4-88 Palo Alto Southern Pacific Railroad Depot..... 4-244

Figure 4-95 415 Illinois Avenue 4-251

Figure 5-1 Environmental Justice Reference Community and Resource Study Area 5-6

Figure 5-2 Cities and Communities within the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area 5-8

Figure 5-3 Population Density within the Environmental Justice Reference Community 5-9

Figure 5-4 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection 5-23

Figure 5-5 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection 5-24

Figure 5-6 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection 5-25

Figure 5-7 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection 5-26

Figure 5-8 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection 5-27

Figure 5-9 Minority Population Distribution 5-32

Figure 5-10 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area—San Francisco to South San Francisco Subsection 5-33

Figure 5-11 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area—San Bruno to San Mateo Subsection 5-34

Figure 5-12 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area—San Mateo to Palo Alto Subsection 5-35

Figure 5-13 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area—Mountain View to Santa Clara Subsection 5-36

Figure 5-14 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection 5-37

Figure 5-15 Locations of Environmental Justice Outreach Activities 5-50

Figure 5-16 Residential Displacements—Proportional Representation by Alternative and Community 5-71

Figure 5-17 Business Displacements—Proportional Representation by Alternative and Community 5-72

Figure 5-18 Adverse Effects on Emergency Response during Operations—Part 1 of 2 5-80

Figure 5-19 Adverse Effects on Emergency Response during Operations—Part 2 of 2 5-81

Figure 5-20 Operational Noise Impacts with Noise Barriers—Part 1 of 4 5-84

Figure 5-21 Operational Noise Impacts with Noise Barriers—Part 2 of 4 5-85

Figure 5-22 Operational Noise Impacts with Noise Barriers—Part 3 of 4 5-86

Figure 5-23 Operational Noise Impacts with Noise Barriers—Part 4 of 4 5-87

Figure 5-24 Operational Ground-Borne Vibration Impacts—Part 1 of 4 5-90

Figure 5-25 Operational Ground-Borne Vibration Impacts—Part 2 of 4 5-91

Figure 5-26 Operational Ground-Borne Vibration Impacts—Part 3 of 4 5-92

Figure 5-27 Operational Ground-Borne Vibration Impacts—Part 4 of 4 5-93

Figure 8-1 Preferred Alternative 8-2