## Bakersfield to Palmdale Project Section

Final Environmental Impact Report/ Environmental Impact Statement

Appendix 8-A: Bakersfield to Palmdale Alignment Alternatives Analysis

**May 2021** 





The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being or have been carried out by the State of California pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated July 23, 2019, and executed by the Federal Railroad Administration and the State of California.



## This page intentionally left blank



Table 8-A-1 Bakersfield to Palmdale Alignment Alternatives Analysis

Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Constructability						
Total alignment miles, Bakersfield to Palmdale	79.8–81.3 miles	79.8–81.3 miles	79.7–81.2 miles	79.8–81.3 miles	+ 124 linear feet	+ 844 linear feet
Tunnel length	Total length: 5.4 miles	Total length: 5.4 miles	Total length: 6.3 miles	Total length: 5.4 miles	+ 0.1 miles	+ 1.46 miles
Capital costs	\$19.2 billion	\$18.9 billion	\$19.8 billion	\$19.3 billion	-180 million	+815 million
Constructability	SR 58 realignment in Edison	SR 58 will not be realigned, but HSR alignment will require two elevated structures over SR 58: at SR 58/ Edison Road interchange and SR 58/ Towerline Road	SR 58 realignment in Edison	SR 58 realignment in Edison	No change	SR 58 realignment just north of Tehachapi
	Requires realignment of Sierra Highway south of Avenue K, in Lancaster	Requires realignment of Sierra Highway south of Avenue K, in Lancaster	Requires realignment of Sierra Highway south of Avenue K, in Lancaster	Sierra Highway realignment in Lancaster to avoid UPRR and Metrolink facilities	No change	No change
	Requires viaduct over Los Angeles Aqueduct	Requires viaduct over Los Angeles Aqueduct	Requires viaduct over Los Angeles Aqueduct	Requires viaduct over Los Angeles Aqueduct	No change	No change
	Construction around Kern#1 Transmission Line	Construction around Kern#1 Transmission Line	Construction around Kern#1 Transmission Line	Construction around Kern#1 Transmission Line	-	-
	Sierra Highway Bike     Path realignment in     Lancaster	Sierra Highway Bike Path realignment in Lancaster	Sierra Highway Bike     Path realignment in     Lancaster	Sierra Highway Bike     Path realignment in     Lancaster		
	Avenue K-8 Bike Path realignment in Lancaster	Avenue K-8 Bike Path realignment in Lancaster	Avenue K-8 Bike Path realignment in Lancaster	Avenue K-8 Bike Path realignment in Lancaster		
	59 grade separations	52 grade separations	58 grade separations	59 grade separations	_	_



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
	Realign approximately 2,110 linear feet of the Pacific Crest Trail. The HSR alignment would be immediately adjacent to and in an aerial alignment (1,500-footlong viaduct) above the trail. The proposed viaduct would span the trail with a 19.1-foot clearance.	Realign approximately 2,110 linear feet of the Pacific Crest Trail. The HSR alignment would be immediately adjacent to and in an aerial alignment (1,500-footlong viaduct) above the trail. The proposed viaduct would span the trail with a 19.1-foot clearance.	The HSR track would be on an embankment with an approximately 500-foot, short viaduct over the Pacific Crest Trail and Oak Creek Road. The proposed viaduct under Alternative 3 would span the trail with a 12-foot clearance.	Realign approximately 2,110 linear feet of the Pacific Crest Trail. The HSR alignment would be immediately adjacent to and in an aerial alignment (1,500-footlong viaduct) above the trail. The proposed viaduct would span the trail with a 19.1-foot clearance.	No change	No change
Land Use						
Compatibility with other planning efforts	The alternative is incompatible with portions of the following Land Use Plans:  Kern County General Plan and appendices  Keene Rural Community Plan  Kern County Golden Hills Specific Plan  Rosamond Specific Plan  Tehachapi General Plan  Keene Ranch Specific Plan	The alternative is incompatible with portions of the following Land Use Plans:  Kern County General Plan and appendices  Keene Rural Community Plan  Kern County Golden Hills Specific Plan  Rosamond Specific Plan  Tehachapi General Plan  Keene Ranch Specific Plan	The alternative is incompatible with portions of the following Land Use Plans:  Kern County General Plan and appendices  Keene Rural Community Plan  Kern County Golden Hills Specific Plan  Rosamond Specific Plan  Tehachapi General Plan  Keene Ranch Specific Plan	The alternative is incompatible with existing land uses in Lancaster, as realignment of Sierra Highway may displace existing residences and businesses along Sierra Highway.  The alternative is inconsistent with portions of the same plans as Alternative 1.	No change	No change
	Metropolitan     Bakersfield General     Plan	Metropolitan     Bakersfield General     Plan	Metropolitan     Bakersfield General     Plan			



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
	<ul><li>Lancaster Specific Plan</li><li>Palmdale General Plan</li></ul>	<ul><li>Lancaster Specific Plan</li><li>Palmdale General Plan</li></ul>	<ul><li>Lancaster Specific Plan</li><li>Palmdale General Plan</li></ul>			
	Los Angeles County     General Plan	<ul> <li>Los Angeles County General Plan</li> </ul>	Los Angeles County     General Plan			
	Los Angeles County     Bicycle Master Plan	<ul> <li>Los Angeles County Bicycle Master Plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Los Angeles County Bicycle Master Plan</li> </ul>			
Disruption to Communi	ities					
Acres temporarily impacted outside of the permanent right-of-way	1,750	1,728	1,745	1,758	+14 acres	-75 acres
Acres permanently converted to transportation use	5,962	5,979	6,046	5,858	-52	+673
Number of general plan designated land uses subject to permanent conversion	6,464	6,381	6,529	6,359	-50	+670
Acres of general plan designated land uses subject to temporary conversion	1,837	1,849	1,831	1,845	+15	-86
Residential displacements	243 units	243 units	244 units	338 units	No change	No change
Business displacements	231	231	231	285	No change	No change
Community facility displacements	4	4	4	4	No change	No change



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Disruption to existing intermodal connections for transportation	Alignment requires relocation of:  Sierra Highway Bike Path Avenue K-8 Bike Path Proposed Avenue K-8 Bike Path Bridge	relocation of:  Sierra Highway Bike Path  Avenue K-8 Bike Path			J	No change
Disruption to and relocation of utilities	•	High-risk utilities crossings:  Natural gas: 9  Petroleum/fuel: 5	High-risk utilities crossings:  Natural gas: 7  Petroleum/fuel: 5	High-risk utilities crossings:  Natural gas: 7  Petroleum/fuel: 5	No change	No change
Utilities crossings (Divided into high-risk and low-risk facilities based on HSR standards) (The numbers shown are the total number of utility crossings within 100 feet on each side of the HSR centerline alignment.)	Low-risk utilities crossings:  • Electrical substation: 46  • Telecom: 646  • Fiber-optic: 21  • Water: 364  • Sewer: 75  • Stormwater: 94	Low-risk utilities crossings:  Electrical substation: 46  Telecom: 562  Fiber-optic: 21  Water: 361  Sewer: 72  Stormwater: 94		Low-risk utilities crossings:  • Electrical substation: 46  • Telecom: 646  • Fiber-optic: 21  • Water: 364  • Sewer: 75  • Stormwater: 94		Low-risk utilities crossings: 1 fewer for stormwater
Disruption to and relocation of wind turbines (within 100 feet of each side of HSR alignment)	wind turbine impacts	Existing: 11 potential wind turbine impacts Proposed: 0	Existing: 11 potential wind turbine impacts Proposed: 0	Existing: 11 potential wind turbine impacts Proposed: 0	No change	No change
Proximity to schools (in project footprint)	Existing: 6	Existing: 5	Existing: 6	Existing: 6	No change	No change



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Proximity to hazardous materials and waste (PEC sites)	101 sites (52 high-ranked) 30 oil and gas wells	98 sites (51 high-ranked) 29 oil and gas wells	99 sites (51 high-ranked) 31 oil and gas wells	90 sites (49 high-ranked) 30 oil and gas wells	No change	No change
Section 4(f)/6(f)						
Park, recreation, and school play area properties evaluated under Section 4(f) (preliminary determinations are noted in parentheses)	Pacific Crest Trail (De minimis ~2,110 linear feet of trail realignment, permanent maintenance easement, temporary occupancy)     Avenue K-8 Bike Path (de minimis ~ 0.3 mile)     Rex Parris High School (permanent use ~ 4.58 acres)     Dr. Robert C. St. Clair Parkway (de minimis—Footings for pedestrian overcrossings in parkway)	minimis ~2,110 linear feet of trail realignment, permanent maintenance easement, temporary occupancy)  Avenue K-8 Bike Path (de minimis ~ 0.3 mile)  Rex Parris High School (permanent use ~ 4.58 acres)  Dr. Robert C. St. Clair Parkway (de	<ul> <li>Pacific Crest Trail (<i>De minimis</i> permanent maintenance easement, temporary occupancy)</li> <li>Avenue K-8 Bike Path (<i>de minimis</i> ~ 0.3 mile)</li> <li>Rex Parris High School (permanent use ~ 4.58 acres)</li> <li>Dr. Robert C. St. Clair Parkway (<i>de minimis</i>—Footings for pedestrian overcrossings in parkway)</li> </ul>	minimis ~2,100 linear feet of trail realignment, permanent maintenance easement, temporary occupancy)  • Whit Carter Park (permanent use ~ 6.86 acres, temporary occupancy)		No change



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Properties listed in, or determined or recommended eligible for, the NRHP evaluated under Section 4(f)	Big Creek     Hydroelectric System     – Historic District     (permanent use)	Big Creek     Hydroelectric System     – Historic District     (permanent use)	Big Creek     Hydroelectric System     – Historic District     (permanent use)	Big Creek     Hydroelectric System     – Historic District     (permanent use)      Denny's Restaurant     #30 (Village Grille)     (diner) (permanent     use)	No change	No change
<b>Environmental Justice</b>						
Low-income or minority communities affected	<ul><li>Northeast Bakersfield</li><li>Edison</li><li>Lancaster</li></ul>	<ul><li>Northeast Bakersfield</li><li>Edison</li><li>Lancaster</li></ul>	<ul><li>Northeast Bakersfield</li><li>Edison</li><li>Lancaster</li></ul>	<ul><li>Northeast Bakersfield</li><li>Edison</li><li>Lancaster</li></ul>	No change	No change
Disproportionate effects on low-income or minority communities	Displacements:     96 residential units reserved for low-income/55 and older     Majority of displaced residential units are in census block groups with substantial EJ populations     155 motel rooms/defacto affordable housing units	Displacements:  96 residential units reserved for low-income/55 and older  Majority of displaced residential units are in census block groups with substantial EJ populations  155 motel rooms/defacto affordable housing units	Displacements:  96 residential units reserved for low-income/55 and older  Majority of displaced residential units are in census block groups with substantial EJ populations  155 motel rooms/defacto affordable housing units	Displacements:  96 residential units reserved for low-income/55 and older  36 residential units reserved for low-income housing  Majority of displaced residential units are in census block groups with substantial EJ populations  Grace Resource Center  527 motel rooms/defacto affordable housing units	No change	No change

May 2021 California High-Speed Rail Authority



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Demographics, socioeconomic composition, and communities of environmental justice	Low-income populations: 23.4% of population within the EJ RSA is considered low-income	population within the	Low-income populations: 23.4% of population within the EJ RSA is considered low-income	Low-income populations: 23.4% of population within the EJ RSA is considered low-income		No change
concern	Minority populations: 61.7% of population within the EJ RSA is considered minority	Minority populations: 61.7% of population within the EJ RSA is considered minority	Minority populations: 61.7% of population within the EJ RSA is considered minority	Minority populations: 61.7% of population within the EJ RSA is considered minority		
	Limited English     Proficiency (LEP)     households: 7.2% of     households within the     EJ RSA are     considered LEP	Limited English     Proficiency (LEP)     households: 7.2% of     households within the     EJ RSA are     considered LEP	Limited English     Proficiency (LEP)     households: 7.2% of     households within the     EJ RSA are     considered LEP	Limited English     Proficiency (LEP)     households: 7.2% of     households within the     EJ RSA are     considered LEP		
	Elderly populations:     11.6% of population     within the EJ RSA is     65 and older	Elderly populations:     11.6% of population     within the EJ RSA is     65 and older	Elderly populations:     11.6% of population     within the EJ RSA is     65 and older	Elderly populations:     11.6% of population     within the EJ RSA is     65 and older		
<b>Environmental Resource</b>	ces					
Biological Resources	851.9 acres	851.9 acres	851.9 acres	851.9 acres	-50.8 acres	+472.7 acres
Special-status plant communities						
Blue Oak Woodland						
Desert Riparian	15.6 acres	15.6 acres	15.7 acres	14.8 acres	No change	+0.7 acre
Desert Scrub	76.4 acres	76.4 acres	75.8 acres	76.4 acres	No change	No change
Desert Wash	31.0 acres	35.0 acres	28.9 acres	31.0 acres	No change	No change



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Joshua Tree	320.0 acres	320.0 acres	285.1 acres	317.9 acres	No change	No change
Mixed Chaparral	0.0 acres	0.0 acres	0.0 acres	0.0 acres	No change	No change
Perennial Grassland	0.0 acres	0.0 acres	0.0 acres	0.0 acres	No change	+1.8 acres
Valley Foothill Riparian	21.8 acres	1.8 acres	1.8 acres	1.8 acres	0.1 acres	-1 acre
Valley Oak Woodland	2.1 acres	2.1 acres	0.8 acres	2.1 acres	No change	+1.1 acres
BLM West Mojave Planning Area (within the California Desert Conservation Area boundary)	959 acres	959 acres	958 acres	959 acres	No change	No change
Western Mojave Desert Tortoise Recovery Plan area	957 acres	957 acres	955 acres	956 acres	No change	No change
Upland species of the San Joaquin Valley Recovery Plan area	<ul><li>Linkage: 377 acres</li><li>Satellite: 122 acres</li></ul>	<ul><li>Linkage: 377 acres</li><li>Satellite: 122 acres</li></ul>	Linkage: 377 acres     Satellite: 122 acres	Linkage: 377 acres     Satellite: 122 acres	Linkage: +15.91 acres	Linkage: +15.47 acres
Biological resources	716 acres	716 acres	716 acres	716 acres	No change	No change
PG&E San Joaquin Valley Operations and Maintenance HCP						



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Kern County Valley Floor HCP	Green Zone: 254     acres	Green Zone: 254     acres	Green Zone: 254     acres	Green Zone: 254     acres	Green Zone: +96.10 acres	Green Zone: +76.36 acres
	Metropolitan     Bakersfield HCP: 274     acres	Metropolitan     Bakersfield HCP: 274     acres	Metropolitan     Bakersfield HCP: 274     acres	Metropolitan     Bakersfield HCP: 274     acres		
	A memorandum of under Department of Fish and WEnergy Commission, and Geothermal Resources, tonservation strategy tha allowing economic growth Kern County. The bounds 2,000-foot elevation conto VFHCP area would be dinabitat value. Red Zones contiguous blocks of undiclassification, contain sor Zones. The White Zones conservation.	nd Game), the California of Oil, Gas, and JFHCP uses a g natural lands while still 110 square miles within to an approximately to the west. The land white based on represent the best second highest in priority pecies among the Red	No change	No change		
Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan area	1,181 acres	1,181 acres	1,181 acres	1,180 acres	No change	No change
Tejon Ranch Conservation Lands	Tejon Ranch White Wolf acquisition	Tejon Ranch White Wolf acquisition	Tejon Ranch White Wolf acquisition	Tejon Ranch White Wolf acquisition	No change	No change
( within 100 feet)	Area + conservation easement (Ranchwide Management Plan): 171 acres	Area + conservation easement (Ranchwide Management Plan): 171 acres	Area + conservation easement (Ranchwide Management Plan): 171 acres	Area + conservation easement (Ranchwide Management Plan): 171 acres		



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Aquatic Resources—O	HWM or Edge of Wetl	and	·	•		
Seasonal Wetland	2.1 acres	2.1 acres	1.2 acres	2.1 acres	No Change	-0.1 acre
Forested Wetland	0.9 acre	0.9 acre	10.8 acre	0.9 acre	No Change	No Change
Claypans	7.3 acres	87.3 acres	87.3 acres	87.1 acres	No Change	No Change
Streams and Washes	19.8 acres	19.8 acres	20.4 acres	19.8 acres	+0.1 acre	+2.1 acres
In-Stream Impoundments	0.5 acre	0.6 acre	0.5 acre	0.5 acre	No Change	No Change
Artificial Watercourses	28.0 acres	29.1 acres	28.0 acres	23.7 acres	No Change	No Change
Aquatic Resources—To	op of Bank or Edge of	Riparian				
Streams and Washes	44.2 acres	44.1 acres	45.1 acres	44.2 acres	+0.1 acres	+3.6 acres
In-Stream Impoundments	0.5 acre	0.6 acre	0.5 acre	0.5 acre	No Change	No Change
Riparian	11.6 acres	11.6 acres	11.7 acres	11.6 acres	-0.1 acres	+2.0 acres
Artificial Watercourse	36.5 acres	37.6 acres	36.5 acres	31.8 acres	No Change	No Change
<b>Biological Resources</b>						
Special-status plant species (acres of overall habitat)	10,631.9	*10,393.1	10,795.6	10,592.9	-206.3	1,543.2
Special-status wildlife species (acres of overall habitat affected)	50,382.6	*49,573.0	50,293.1	49.894.8	-756.7	9,102.2
Modeled federal and state threatened/endangered species habitat (acres)	23,476.6	*22,804.7	23,595.4	23,344	-216.3	3,997.9
Special-status plant communities (acres of overall habitat)	1,298.8	1,302.8	*1,259.9	1,295.9	-50.7	476.0



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Wetlands and other waters—OHWM or edge of wetland (acres)	58.6	59.8	58.1	*54.2	0.1	2.0
Waters of the state—top of bank or edge of riparian (acres)	96.5	97.5	96.3	*91.9	0.1	5.44
Cultural Resources						
Cultural resources (within 100 feet)	10	10	11	11	No change	Two fewer archaeological properties (-2)
Paleontological resources (linear miles crossed by alignment)	8.9 miles of geologic units with High sensitivity for paleontological resources	8.9 miles of geologic units with High sensitivity for paleontological resources	8.35 miles of geologic units with High sensitivity for paleontological resources	8.9 miles of geologic units with High sensitivity for paleontological resources	-0.02 mile	+ 0.15 mile
	48.32 miles of geologic units with "high at-depth" paleontological sensitivity	48.32 miles of geologic units with "high at-depth" paleontological sensitivity	474 miles of geologic units with "high at-depth" paleontological sensitivity	48.32 miles of geologic units with "high at-depth" paleontological sensitivity	+0.01 mile	+ 0.15 mile
Agricultural						
Agricultural lands (within 100 feet)	Agricultural land:     Prime, Statewide, and     Unique: 1,056 acres     Grazing land: 930.3	<ul> <li>Agricultural land:         Prime, Statewide, and Unique: 1,065 acres     </li> <li>Grazing land: 930.3</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Agricultural land:         Prime, Statewide, and Unique: 1,054 acres     </li> <li>Grazing land: 930.3</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Agricultural land:         Prime, Statewide, and Unique: 1,056 acres     </li> <li>Grazing land: 930.3</li> </ul>	Agricultural land:     Prime, Statewide,     and Unique: No     change	Agricultural land:     Prime, Statewide,     and Unique: No     change
	acres  • Williamson Act land: 416.5 acres	acres  • Williamson Act land: 416.5 acres	acres  • Williamson Act land: 416.5 acres	acres  • Williamson Act land: 416.5 acres	<ul> <li>Grazing land: +9.6 acres</li> <li>Williamson Act land: +9.8 acres</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Grazing land: +701.7 acres</li> <li>Williamson Act land: +109.8 acres</li> </ul>
Temporary use of Important Farmland	250 acres, 20 acres of which are under Williamson Act contracts	252 acres, 25 acres of which are under Williamson Act contracts	*249 acres, 20 acres of which are under Williamson Act contracts	Same as Alternative 1	Same as Preferred Alternative	Same as Preferred Alternative



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
nonagricultural use, including Important Farmland under Williamson Act contracts	604 acres converted from project construction:	*565 acres converted from project construction:	611 acres converted from project construction:	Same as Alternative 1	Same as Preferred     Alternative	Same as Preferred     Alternative
	71 acres are under Williamson Act contracts	86 acres are under Williamson Act contracts	71 acres are under Williamson Act contracts			
or zoned for agricultural use	552 acres are zoned for agricultural use	621 acres are zoned for agricultural use	559 acres are zoned for agricultural use			
<b>Community Resources</b>						
Community resources potentially significant to affected communities (within 100 feet)	Existing:  2 government facilities  2 bike routes  6 low-income housing facilities (motel facilities potentially utilized for temporary housing)  1 healthcare facility  1 retirement facility  White Wolf-Bodfish Road Trail –1,500	<ul> <li>Existing:</li> <li>2 government facilities</li> <li>2 bike routes</li> <li>6 low-income housing facilities (motel facilities potentially utilized for temporary housing)</li> <li>1 healthcare facility</li> <li>1 retirement facility</li> </ul>	Existing:  2 government facilities  2 bike routes  6 low-income housing facilities (motel facilities potentially utilized for temporary housing facilities) 1 healthcare facility  1 retirement facility	<ul> <li>Existing:</li> <li>1 religious facilities</li> <li>2 government facilities</li> <li>1 school</li> <li>2 bike routes</li> <li>6 low-income housing facilities (motel facilities potentially utilized for temporary housing)</li> <li>1 park</li> <li>1 retirement facility</li> </ul>	No change	No change
Displacement of community resources potentially significant to affected communities	feet Proposed: 2 bike routes	Proposed:  2 bike routes	Proposed: - 2 bike routes	Proposed:  • 2 bike routes  • 1 park	No change	No change



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option
Aesthetics and Visual (	Quality					•
Construction Impacts—	-No differentiating impacts	among the B-P Build Alter	natives			
Operations Impacts						
Number of key viewpoints with significant and unavoidable decreased visual quality	*9	10	*9	*9	-1	-4
Noise and Vibration						
Noise and Vibration (within 2,500 feet) Number of severe	Residential: 1,845 Non-residential: 12 Total number of sensitive	Non-residential: 12	Residential: 1,843 Non-residential: 12 Total number of sensitive	Residential: 1,943 Non-residential: 12 Total number of sensitive	Alternative but with no impact on La Paz	One (1) fewer severe residential impact with no impact on La Paz
operational impacts to sensitive receptors	noise receptors: 1,857		noise receptors: 1,855	noise receptors: 1,955		
Number of operational vibration impacts to sensitive receivers.	0	0	0	0	No change	No change
Hydrology and Geomo	phology					
Fire hazard at the state classification level	Very High: 0 mile High: 19.6 miles	Very high: 0 mile High: 19.6 miles	Very high: 0.4 mile High: 20.9 miles	Very high: 0 mile High: 19.6 miles	No change	No change
(Total number of miles within 100 feet on either side of the HSR centerline)	Moderate: 25.1 miles	"	Moderate: 23.4 miles	Moderate: 25.1 miles		
Floodplains (Total number of miles flood zones crossed)	19.50 miles	19.52 miles	19.40 miles	19.52 miles	-0.01 mile	-0.02 mile



Measurement Criteria	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 5	CCNM Design Option	Refined CCNM Design Option			
Stormwater (Disturbed soil area during construction)	9,825	8,753	8,864	8,733	+4.0 acres	+577 acres			
Stormwater	764 acres	771 acres	743 acres	760 acres	-1 acre	-5.9 acres			
(Net permanent increase in impervious surface area)									
Groundwater (miles/area of groundwater basins crossed)	61 miles/6,833 acres	61 miles/6,764 acres	60.5 miles/6,761 acres	61 miles/6,732 acres	No change	No change			
Oil, gas, and geothermal resources	There are seven wells and their associated sedimentary basins with oil, gas, and geothermal production.	There are six wells and their associated sedimentary basins with oil, gas, and geothermal production.	There are seven wells and their associated sedimentary basins with oil, gas, and geothermal production.	There are seven wells and their associated sedimentary basins with oil, gas, and geothermal production.	No change	No change			
(Total number of wells within 100 feet on either side of the HSR centerline)									
Regional Growth									
Construction Impacts									
Number of short-term jobs created by project construction (annual job years, including direct, indirect, and induced)	152,400	150,100	*157,100	153,800	No change	+3500			

## **Operations Impacts**—No differentiating impacts among the B-P Build Alternatives

No change = This classification is being used for the CCNM Design Option and the Refined CCNM Design Option. No change means that the related design option does not change (positive or negative) the analysis of the alternative. This is mostly due to the fact that the resource being analyzed does not exist in the area of the CCNM Design Option or the Refined CCNM Design Option. If a change in the impacts was identified, then the number is presented indicated the change.

BLM = Bureau of Land Management

CCNM = César E. Chávez National Monument

CRHR = California Register of Historical Resources

EJ = environmental justice

HCP = Habitat Conservation Plan

HSR = high-speed rail

LEP = Limited English Proficiency

NRHP = National Register of Historic Places

OHWM = ordinary high water mark

PG&E = Pacific Gas and Electric Company

PEC = Potential Environmental Concern

RSA = resource study area

SR = State Route

UPRR = Union Pacific Railroad

USFWS = U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

VFHCP = Valley Floor Habitat Conservation Plan