12 REFERENCES/SOURCES USED IN DOCUMENT PREPARATION

12.1 General

Federal and state legislation are listed in the body of the document following their first use in each chapter (for example: Department of Transportation Act of 1966 (49 U.S. Code § 1653(f)). These public documents are not included in this section but can be found online. Following is a list of these agencies and their abbreviations (as used in this Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbr.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>California Assembly Bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO</td>
<td>California Executive Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal. Fish and Game Code</td>
<td>California Fish and Game Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>California Senate Bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal. Water Code</td>
<td>California Water Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.F.R.</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USEO</td>
<td>U.S. Presidential Executive Order</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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