CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL AUTHORITY

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

August 24, 2023 10:00 a.m.

Main Location

Department of Food and Agriculture
Auditorium

1220 N. Street,
Sacramento, CA 95814

Location
The Original FX Mayr
Golfstraße 2 9082
Oberdellach, Austria

Location
The Penstar Group
855 M Street, Suite 1110
Fresno, CA 93721

Public Comment by Zoom: https://hsr-ca-gov.zoom.us/j/82726172478

Webcast available at www.hsr.ca.gov.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11133, the California High-Speed Rail Authority's August 24, 2023, board meeting will be conducted in-person and via webinar. Board Members will participate in the meeting from The Department of Food and Agriculture, 1220 N Street, Sacramento, CA, and individual remote locations. Members of the public can view the board meeting either in-person or online at https://hsr.ca.gov/.

Reported by: M. Nelson

APPEARANCES

BOARD MEMBERS

Lynn Schenk, Acting Chair

Tom Richards, Chair

Nancy Miller, Vice Chair

Anthony Williams

Ernest Camacho

James Ghielmetti

Henry Perea

Martha Escutia

Emily Cohen (Absent.)

EX OFFICIO BOARD MEMBERS

Assembly Member, Dr. Joaquin Arambula

STAFF

Brian C. Annis, Chief Financial Officer

Alicia Fowler, Chief Counsel

Bruce Armistead, Chief of Rail Operations & Maintenance

Boris Lipkin, Northern California Regional Director

Margaret Cederoth, Director of Planning and Sustainability

Dennis Kim, Director of Real Property

Daniel Horgan, Deputy Chief Operating Office

Britton Snipes, Board Secretary

APPEARANCES (Cont.)

PRESENTERS:

Bruce Armistead, Chief of Rail Operations & Maintenance

Boris Lipkin, Northern California Regional Director

Margaret Cederoth, Director of Planning and Sustainability

Brian C. Annis, Chief Financial Officer

Ernest Camacho, Acting Finance & Audit Committee Chair, Board Member

PUBLIC COMMENT: (*for Zoom / Online Participants)

- *Roland Lebrun, Self
- *David Schwegel, North America for True High-Speed Rail
- *Laura Uden, High-Speed Rail Business Advisory Council
- *Pamela Martinez, Climate Center
- *Kate Unger, California Solar and Storage Association
- *Frank Quintero, City of Merced

INDEX PAGE 5 Roll Call 7 Public Comment 1. Consider Approving the July 27, 2023, 14 Board Meeting Minutes 2. 21 Consider Providing Approval to Release a Request for Qualifications for a Supply-Maintain Contract for High-Speed Trainsets and Related Services 3. Northern California Regional Update 38 4. 56 Solar Update 5. CEO Report 70 • Program Update • Personnel Update 81 6. Finance and Audit Committee Report Adjourned 83

1	
1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	10:00 a.m.
3	PROCEEDINGS BEGIN AT 10:00 A.M.
4	CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, AUGUST 24, 2023
5	A/CHAIR SCHENK: You can tell I'm not the
6	Chairman Tom Richards. He is on the line. Nor our Vice
7	Chair Nancy Miller, who is out of the country, but I
8	believe also on the line, so I will be the Acting Chair
9	this morning.
10	So calling the meeting to order. Mr. Secretary
11	would you read the roll?
12	MR. SNIPES: Thank you. Acting Chair Schenk?
13	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Present.
14	MR. SNIPES: Chair Richards?
15	CHAIR RICHARDS: Here.
16	MR. SNIPES: Director Camacho?
17	BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Here.
18	MR. SNIPES: Vice Chair Miller?
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Here.
20	MR. SNIPES: Assemblymember Arambula?
21	EX OFFICIO BOARD MEMBER ARAMBULA: (No audible
22	response.)
23	MR. SNIPES: Director Perea?
24	BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Here.
25	MR. SNIPES: Director Ghielmetti?

```
1
              BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Present.
 2
              MR. SNIPES: Director Escutia?
 3
              BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: Here.
 4
              MR. SNIPES: Director Williams?
 5
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: Here.
              MR. SNIPES: Senator Gonzalez?
 6
 7
              EX OFFICIO BOARD MEMBER Gonzalez: (No audible
 8
    response.)
9
              MR. SNIPES: Director Cohen?
10
              BOARD MEMBER COHEN: (No audible response.)
11
              MR. SNIPES: Acting Chair Schenk we have a
    quorum.
12
13
              A/CHAIR SCHENK:
                                Thank you.
14
              Member Ghielmetti would you do the honors of
15
    leading us in the Pledge?
              BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Mm-hmm.
16
17
               (Whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
18
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you. Before we go to
19
    public comment, two happy announcements to make. One, our
20
    Member Emily Cohen has given birth to an additional member
21
    of our Board, baby Eleanor, beautiful baby Eleanor.
22
    congratulations to Emily on the birth of her daughter.
23
              And today, although he's not here with us in
24
    person it's Brian Kelly's birthday, so on behalf of the
25
    entire Board and the audience, Brian, we wish you a very
```

happy birthday.

All right, so we'll turn to public comment, Mr. Secretary. MR. SNIPES: Thank you, Acting Chair Schenk.

Good morning, everybody. Before we begin the public comments for the High-Speed Rail Board Of Directors Meeting I would like to go over some important information. For members of the public who have joined us in person and wish to provide public comment, you will be called on in the order we have received your card. If you're joining the meeting via Zoom and wish to provide public comment, please use the raise your hand feature located in the bottom of your screen. Or if you are dialing in by phone, pressing the #2 will raise your hand and put you into our queue.

Speakers will be called upon in the order that their hands are raised. Once you are in the queue, and your name is called, please click the prompt at the bottom of your screen to allow the microphone to be unmuted. If you are joining the meeting by phone, we will call on you on the last four digits of your phone number. At that point, you will hear a message that your phone is being unmuted.

Each speaker will be given two minutes to speak.

And I will remind you when you have 15 seconds remaining.

When it is your turn speak clearly, please slowly say and

spell your first and last name, and if applicable, state the organization you are representing.

Acting Chair Schenk, we do not have any in-person commenters.

5 A/CHAIR SCHENK: No in-person. How about via 6 Zoom?

7 MR. SNIPES: Online we do have. Our first 8 speaker will be Roland.

9 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you. Roland are you 10 there?

MR. LEBRUN: Good morning, Director Schenk.

12 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Good morning.

MR. LEBRUN: Roland Lebrun (indiscernible).

So this morning what I would like to do is to attract your attention to the startling difference between the quality of the Finance and Audit Committee meeting minutes, which is quite frankly are outstanding. And that of the Board meeting minutes, which point to various sections of the transcript which is nowhere to be found. In closing I'm wondering if it would be possible to improve the Board meeting minutes to either summarize what was

22 said? Or at the very minimum provide hyperlinks pointing 23 directly to the specific sections of the transcript. Thank

24 you.

3

4

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you for your comment.

1 Are there any others? 2 MR. SNIPES: Yes. Our next speaker is David 3 Schwegel. 4 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you. Mr. Schwegel, 5 welcome. MR. SCHWEGEL: Yes, great. Can you hear me? 6 7 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Yes, we can hear you. 8 MR. SCHWEGEL: Great. All right, so David, D-A-9 V-I-D Schwegel, S-C-H-W-E-G-E-L, spelled like Schwegel 10 rhymes with bagel, underscoring the value in workshops. 11 Check with James Corless who is the Executive Director of 12 the Sacramento Area Council of Governments, how those 13 workshops play out as he brings in speakers from all over 14 the nation. 15 Specifically, I recommend a workshop on 16 collaboration with Brightline West to see if there's any 17 way possible we can make Palmdale to Burbank a collaborative effort. Let's face it Palmdale to Burbank is 18 19 the engineering and public relations challenge of the 20 century. And I'm sure we remember the hundreds of people 21 who have come down to participate in public comment who 22 were opposed to how that project section was being managed. 23 Specifically, since it's such a huge leap, it 24 would be helpful if Palmdale to Burbank was a collaborative 25 effort between Brightline West and the Authority.

Specifically, what this means is that Brightline West will be able to provide a one-seat ride between Los Angeles and Las Vegas without having to share right-of-way with Metrolink.

So that being said, workshops are highly recommended. Especially one on Palmdale to Burbank, the engineering and public relations challenge of the century. Thank you.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you, Mr. Schwegel.

Are there any other?

MR. SNIPES: Our next speaker is Laura Uden.

MS. UDEN: Good morning, Laura Uden. Thank you to the Board. I appreciate the continued opportunities to speak in front of you on many issues, mostly related to small business concerns as I'm on the High-Speed Rail Business Advisory Council representing disabled veterans. I'm calling today because of the Trainsets RFQ that is coming out. I don't know if that's going through, I know it was intended to go through an approval today. I want to speak about RFQs in general and their impact on small businesses.

On this particular one I appreciate that the small business goals are laid out in the RFQ. However, they appear to be the old small business goals, not the new ones that I believe have been either agreed to or approved

for the high-speed rail, which included a 25 percent goal for small business and a 5 percent goal for microbusiness. Neither of which I see listed here in that fashion. I see the old 3010 three (phonetic) goals and I'm concerned that we're not implementing the goals that we spent over a decade trying to get modified to more accurately address and support the very small end of the small business spectrum. And so I'm concerned about that.

And as I look at the RFQ I also don't see anything related to inclusion of those particular small business goals or the construction of the teams that are bidding on this in the RFQ. And I'd like to state that if we don't put those goals in the RFQ phase I realize there'll be an RFP to follow. But what happens is those teams get formed and they get formed without very many small businesses.

And without an opportunity even for small businesses to speak to the potential primes until the RFP phase, which is too late. I've had many instances where I've applied for being on teams are tried to get on teams for design-build contracts or install contracts like this. And the primes say to us, "Well, we don't really need to talk to you right now, because we don't have to sort that out till later." And that's much too late for us and for them.

1 MR. SNIPES: Thirty seconds. 2 MS. UDEN: And what happens is they'll end up 3 with a team that doesn't include a lot of people that could 4 have otherwise benefited the Authority and the program. 5 I'd like you to reconsider adding those into the scoring 6 criteria. Thank you. 7 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Madam Chair, could we make 8 sure those questions are answered during that presentation? 9 A/CHAIR SCHENK: We will. 10 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Okay. 11 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you. 12 Are there any other public comments? Yes. 13 MR. SNIPES: Our next speaker is Pamela Martinez. 14 MS. MARTINEZ: Hi. Good morning, my name is 15 Pamela Martinez. That's P-A-M-E-L-A M-A-R-T-I-N-E-Z. I am 16 the Community Energy Resilience Project Manager with the 17 Climate Center and I am calling in support of Agenda Item 18 Number 4. And I'd like to thank the Authority for pursuing 19 a plan to develop a behind-the-meter strategy of solar PV 20 and battery storage. Thank you. 21 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you. 22 MR. SNIPES: Our next speaker is Kate Unger. 23 MS. UNGER: Good morning, my name is Kate Unger, 24 K-A-T-E U-N-G-E-R. And I'm speaking on behalf of the 25 California Solar and Storage Association. I just have a

brief comment. We support the High-Speed Rail Authority's efforts to pursue solar and storage to power the train with 100 percent clean energy to assure a resilient infrastructure solution and support California's energy transition. Thank you very much.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you.

MR. SNIPES: Our next speaker will be Frank Quintero.

MR. QUINTERO: Good morning Authority members.

My name is Frank Quintero, F-R-A-N-K Q-U-I-N-T-E-R-O. I'm the Deputy City Manager for the City of Merced, and a very long-time staffer for the City of Merced on the High-Speed Rail Project.

I just wanted to report to you that concerning the Merced Integrated Multimodal Station, we submitted in a letter in support for the MEGA grant, partnering with High-Speed Rail, ACE, and the San Joaquin. That was turned in by staff on behalf of Mayor Matthew Serratto. And I'm also happy to report our youth council wants to get involved with California High-Speed Rail activities. And they too submitted in a letter of support for the MEGA grant application. And we look forward to our continued partnership and integrating our youth council into these efforts. Thank you.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you.

1 MR. SNIPES: Acting Chair Schenk that appears to 2 be the last person for public comment. 3 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you. 4 I just want to comment, both to those who have 5 taken the time to offer us public comment and those who think about it, that the Board and the staff listens and 6 7 takes into consideration everything that is said and particularly those who have offered suggestions, they will 8 9 be responded to, either in the course of our meeting today 10 or at some other time. But I want to assure everyone that 11 you are heard and are listened to, so thank you. 12 With that I'd like to move to Agenda Item Number 13 1, which is approval of the July 27th meeting minutes. 14 BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Approved. 15 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Second. 16 A/CHAIR SCHENK: All right. It's been moved and 17 seconded. Mr. Secretary. 18 MR. SNIPES: Acting Chair Schenk? A/CHAIR SCHENK: 19 Yes. 20 MR. SNIPES: Chair Richards? Chair Richards? 21 CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes. 22 MR. SNIPES: Director Camacho? 23 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yes. 24 MR. SNIPES: Vice Chair Miller? 25 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.

1	MR. SNIPES: Director Perea?
2	BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yes.
3	MR. SNIPES: Director Ghielmetti?
4	BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: (No audible response.)
5	MR. SNIPES: Director Escutia?
6	BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: (No audible response.)
7	MR. SNIPES: Director Williams?
8	BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: Abstained, I was absent.
9	MR. SNIPES: Director Cohen?
10	BOARD MEMBER COHEN: (No audible response.)
11	Acting Chair the motion carries.
12	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you.
13	Okay, so we'll move on to our next action item
14	action item, Number 2 on the agenda with Mr. Armistead.
15	MR. ARMISTEAD: Good morning.
16	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Yeah. And Bruce, if possible,
17	if you could incorporate into your presentation perhaps a
18	response to what you heard in public comment.
19	MR. ARMISTEAD: Absolutely.
20	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you.
21	MR. ARMISTEAD: We'll do that at the end if
22	that's all right.
23	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you.
24	MR. ARMISTEAD: We are pleased to update the
25	Board on the progress of the trainsets and related services

RFQ, and seek Board approval to release the RFQ. This represents the first step in a two-step procurement that will lead to the selection of a contractor deemed to have best value for the Authority. Next slide, please.

Today's presentation will cover the following items: talk about the objective, trainset procurement scope overview, trainset procurement timeline, relationship of trainsets to the track and systems, and Board actions.

Next slide, please.

We are seeking Board approval to release a Request For Qualifications for high-speed trainsets and services to industry. We are targeting the release of the RFQ this month. And we'll evaluate the SOQs received in response to the RFQ to create a short list of manufacturers capable of delivering high-speed trainsets. The SOQs are due on November 14th, 2023.

It is important to note that no funding is required for the RFQ process. The timely release of the RFQ and subsequent development of the short list of contractors will position the Authority to be able to release the Request For Proposals early next year. We will request the Board approval of the shortlist and the Request For Proposals once funding sources have been confirmed.

The trainsets and related services contract will be delivered as a supply-maintain contract with an

estimated budget of \$561 million as identified in the 2023 Project Update Report. Next slide, please.

We presented a suite of rail systems and trainset contract packages at the July Board meeting. The trainset contract is referred to as Package 3. You may recall, during a prior meeting we reviewed supporting contracts and how each of their respective responsibilities support the certification process and will be separate contracts. Next slide, please.

The schedule is currently being refined and will be presented to you at a future meeting. This refinement will spread the procurement in a way that acknowledges the capacity of staff. Initiating the trainset procurement process will allow the Authority to meet the Federal Railroad Administration ARRA grant December 2028 dynamic testing deadline. And to achieve operational availability in the Central Valley. This contract approach is aligned with the 2023 Project Update Report. Next slide, please.

The scope of the contract includes procurement of 6 trainsets capable of operating at 220 miles per hour and tested at 242 miles per hour. The two prototypes will support the static and dynamic testing scheduled for 2028. Four additional production trainsets will support revenue operations of the early operating segment Merced to Bakersfield, by the end of 2030.

This contract will procure a driving simulator and will require a trainset contractor to develop design criteria for the maintenance facilities and track and systems contracts. Next slide, please.

Trainset procurement scope overview. In addition to the trainsets and driving simulator, the contractor will be required to participate in the testing and commissioning of facilities track and systems. And support the certification and commissioning of the trainsets. Maintain each trainset for 30 years, and operate and maintain the facilities which are being built by others. Next slide, please.

The Authority's timeline from RFQ to revenue service is projected to be seven years. The Authority's trainset procurement is programmed to span one year from the RFQ to contractor selection.

We are seeking Board approval to release the RFQ this quarter and position the Authority to be able to initiate an RFP soon after funding sources are identified.

As we look to the Amtrak high-speed trainset procurement there are lessons learned regarding trainset testing that we will put into practice for our procurement. First, we will prioritize the early development of a validated model that simulates the vehicle-track interaction and we will do this with FRA's involvement.

Second, we will co-locate the team responsible for performing the vehicle-track interaction testing with the Authority personnel to drive accountability and efficiencies. Next slide, please.

During the industry outreach sessions we were advised of the benefits of having the procurement of trainsets aligned with the track and systems procurement. This approach will allow the selected trainset contractor to identify critical interfaces to perform maintenance, to inform maintenance facilities, track and systems, and stations design. The most important is that this approach reduces the risk to the Authority by confirming that the interface and integration requirements will be complete and without conflicts. Next slide, please.

This procurement will be the first procurement of 220-mile-an-hour trainsets that are compliant with FRA Tier III and Buy America requirements. While the procurement schedule we have developed is reasonable, we need to adhere to our deadlines for RFQ and future RFP releases to have the trainsets on property to achieve operational availability and the support trial running in December of 2029.

In addition to preparing for an Authority-led procurement we are also evaluating opportunities to participate in a joint procurement. There are several

benefits to the Authority should it be able to participate in a joint procurement, including decreased costs attributed to the economies of scale; an increase in the base order of the trainsets, which will drive competition. And the ability to spread non-recurring costs over a larger quantity of trainsets. In addition, a joint procurement of trainsets promotes interoperability. Next slide, please.

To achieve our trainset procurement goals for the program we are seeking Board approval to release the RFQ package with a target release date timeframe of third quarter 2023. Upon creation of the short list of qualified firms, we will return to the Board to seek approval of the shortlisted firms and also seek approval to release the RFP. This target release timeframe is the first quarter of 2024, contingent on the identification of funding sources.

Thank you for your consideration of this item.

And I am happy to hear your comments and answer any questions you may have.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you.

Yes, Commissioner Perea had a question during the public comment period.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yes. Thank you for the presentation. And thank you yesterday for the briefing. It cleared a lot of questions up that I had, some pretty exciting stuff. Just first, maybe the question that was

asked by the individual on the phone.

MR. ARMISTEAD: Regarding small business?

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yes.

MR. ARMISTEAD: Yes, we're intending to revise the scoring track criteria to include a small business option or criteria. We're going to revise the criteria to include small business as a scoring option.

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yeah, Madam Chairman?

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Yes, Directory Camacho.

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: (Overlapping colloquy.)

Just to add to your question about that. The CEO or his designee has the authority to authorize any necessary modifications to the RFQ during the procurement process. I would like to make a motion that the draft RFQ prior to release to include a scored component of the Statement Of Qualifications that addresses the author's (phonetic) plan to meet the Authority's small business program goals including small, disadvantaged, and veteran-owned businesses. That will help ensure that they are able to participate.

I think that one of the comments made by the public speakers was that during this process by the time the RFP is released, teams have already been formed. And they're basically excluded from participating in any meaningful way. So if we are to include them in the RFQ

and then also in the RFP, once the selected firms are shortlisted we'll have a better opportunity to gain with the participation of more small, disadvantaged firms.

BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: I second that motion. And I have a question for Mr. Camacho.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay. But first, are you're offering that as an amendment to the resolution; is that correct? Okay. And you're seconding it Martha?

BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: Yes, second. I will second that motion. But I would like for clarification purposes, Mr. Camacho, you're indicating that that we should add a scored component to the question of diversity and procurement basically. How many points are we talking about, what is your intent?

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: I think that's something that we still need to discuss. When we look at the past procurements we've seen that they've been -- the difference between the winning score and the score that scored second or third are probably within one to three points. So I think that even by acknowledging that we are seeking this type of involvement, and aggressive effort, that we are supporting what the Governor has wanted, asked us to do, as well as our CEO is promoting to do with small businesses. This will ensure that we are committed to doing that as well.

BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: All right. I thank you first of all for bringing up the issue, Commissioner Camacho, because I think that as an Authority this will be the first RFQ of many in the near future. And we either are committed to diversity in procurement, or we're not. And I hope that we are committed to it. And this is the first, this is our opportunity right now to really show that commitment by making this an element that is scored and part of the procurement process.

And then after that it's frankly two players who are operating here, not only us as a High-Speed Rail Authority, but also everybody out there in the audience who's watching who represents some major private corporation. You also have commitments to diversity, and you show those commitments in your ESG end-of-the-year reports. And so now you're really going to see firsthand that we're serious about this.

So I hope that it's a -- it's two stakeholders, the High-Speed Rail Authority as well as the private sector, have really got to be committed to this as well as staff. Because ultimately it's going to come down to enforcement. And if staff is not committed then this conversation is moot, so I really do hope that the commitment lies there.

And with that, I would definitely second the

1 motion. 2 A/CHAIR SCHENK: As usual, well-stated. BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay, can I finish my ---3 4 A/CHAIR SCHENK: (Overlapping colloquy.) Oh 5 yes. 6 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay, thank you. right. 7 And I agree with what you both have presented. I just want to go back to our discussion yesterday. And especially 8 9 considering that we have a December 2028 FRA deadline to have these strengths tested. And there's so many moving 10 11 pieces that have to move in together to make sure that that 12 happens. 13 Going to your slide on Page 4, which is the 14 overview of packaging and anticipated dates, we have 15 Package 1 through 4. And of course, we're moving 3 today. 16 But I was just wondering if you could briefly talk about 17 the additional contracts portion of it. There's four 18 components: the independent cost estimator, construction 19 manager, integration support, and independent safety. 20 a little bit about that, and if that's going to be one 21 company, one entity overseeing all or if they're going to 22 be separate. And basically, if these four activities 23 function as an umbrella over Packages 1, 2, 3 and 4. 24 And the last question tied to that is will these

folks be brought on ASAP, so that they will be a part of

25

```
the discussions and designs etcetera for what goes into
 1
 2
    1,2, 3 and 4?
              MR. ARMISTEAD: Right. Yeah, the supporting
 3
 4
    contracts that you mentioned, we will be bringing those --
 5
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: Madam Chair, just I don't
 6
    know if there's a -- I don't mean to make a point of order,
 7
    but I know we have a motion and a second before us right
    now. Is this related to that discussion of that motion and
 8
9
    second or is this separate?
10
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: I don't (indiscernible).
11
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: It is.
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: I believe it (indiscernible)
12
13
               (Overlapping colloguy - multiple people.)
14
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yes. It's tied to the whole
15
    item.
16
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Yes.
17
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: No, I got it. But this
18
    is a specific motion and a second related to adding a
19
    provision to the resolution related (indiscernible)
20
    procurement item.
21
                                    (Overlapping colloguy.)
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA:
22
              Oh, you want to vote on that first and then have
    the discussion?
23
24
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: I just wonder if we
25
    should finish that discussion before --
```

```
1
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay. Well what happened was
 2
    that Henry was interrupted --
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yeah, by the motion.
 3
 4
    Yeah.
 5
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: -- and so we wanted to be
    courteous to him. But if you don't mind waiting for that.
 6
 7
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA:
                                  (Overlapping colloquy.) No,
         That's fine. Yeah.
 8
9
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: But I do have one. Anybody
10
    else?
11
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: Actually, I had a
12
    question about that.
13
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: You do?
                                        Okay.
14
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: The motion and the second
15
    too, yeah. So go ahead.
16
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Please go ahead then.
17
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: So my question was you
18
    did state that it was the staff's intention, I think, to
19
    accomplish what --
20
              MR. ARMISTEAD:
                              Yes.
21
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: -- the motion. So I just
22
    want to make sure that we're consistent. Do you see the
23
    difference between what we proposed, what's been proposed
24
    as a motion to be added, and what your intentions were
25
    anyway?
```

MR. ARMISTEAD: No, I don't. 1 2 BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, that sounds good. 3 BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: But you do welcome it? 4 MR. ARMISTEAD: I do welcome it. Yes. 5 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Are there any other member 6 comments or questions? Or anyone on the phone that would 7 like to make a comment or question? No? 8 CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes. I would only say Chair 9 Schenk, the clarification I think is important for the 10 reasons that we heard from both Director Escutia and 11 Director Camacho. And I do believe in the preparation of 12 this, and in frankly other RFQs that we've looked at, this 13 clarification will really make it easier for those who are 14 responding to it, to understand clearly what it is that 15 we're looking for. And to put a number to a part of the 16 scoring number to this specific area I think is a huge step 17 forward and will be very helpful for both sides. A/CHAIR SCHENK: Agreed. Thank you for that 18 Chairman Richards. 19 20 Just one quick question, please, Bruce. 21 MR. ARMISTEAD: Yes. 22 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Laura Uden, who made the comment 23 said that what was in there were old criteria and we're 24 looking for the new criteria. I am assuming that you 25 understand that of course, and that will be incorporated in

```
1
    what we do here. (Overlapping colloquy.) Yes, Alicia.
 2
    Our Counsel has a comment.
 3
              MS. FOWLER: Yes, Madam Director. The Board
 4
    hasn't actually approved those new standards yet, so Ms.
 5
    Uden is correct there's been a lot of work done. I think
    the intent is to bring those standards to this Board
 6
 7
    ideally next month (indiscernible) --
 8
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Until then how will it be
9
    incorporated into the motion and amendment that we just
10
    heard, because it --
              MS. FOWLER: Well the motion --
11
12
               (Overlapping colloquy - multiple people.)
13
              BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: Well I think we could
14
    identify, subject to the criteria that will be presented to
15
    the Board.
16
              MS. FOWLER: -- and the motion is really that
17
    these, as part of the RFQ these companies put forward a
18
    plan to meet this. And so I think they can lay out a plan
19
    to meet what's currently been approved. So by the time
20
    they're doing that you guys will have seen the new numbers
21
    and approved them or not, if you don't agree.
               (Overlapping colloquy - multiple people.)
22
23
              A/CHAIR SCHENK:
                               Okay.
24
              MS. FOWLER: Does that makes sense?
25
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay, I just want to make sure
```

1 that we're going to be dealing with that. 2 Okay. If there are no other comments or questions 3 4 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Can I get back to the answer 5 to my questions? A/CHAIR SCHENK: Well we have the motion and 6 7 second outstanding. (Overlapping colloquy.) Yes, we will. 8 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. 9 A/CHAIR SCHENK: But if there are no other questions or comments on the motion and the amendment, then 10 11 we'll entertain a vote on that. All right. Okay. Good. 12 MS. FOWLER: Can we make the record super-clear? 13 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Oh, yes. If you would read the 14 amendment into the record. 15 MS. FOWLER: And correct me if I'm wrong, but the 16 amendment is to add another paragraph to the existing 17 resolution that would say as paragraph two, "That staff 18 shall amend the draft RFQ prior to release to include a 19 scored component of the Statement Of Qualifications that 20 addresses and offers a plan to meet the Authority's small 21 business program goals including small, disadvantaged, and 22 disabled veteran business entities." 23 BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: Yes. 24 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yes. 25 A/CHAIR SCHENK: And so this would be

1	incorporated into Resolution 23-06?
2	MS. FOWLER: Correct.
3	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay, so we're all on the same
4	page. Okay.
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Just a point of
6	clarification. Are we voting on the resolution itself?
7	BOARD MEMBER COMACHO: No.
8	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Yes.
9	BOARD MEMBER COMACHO: No, it's not.
10	A/CHAIR SCHENK: (Overlapping Colloquy.) Oh,
11	it's the amendment, and then the resolution? Is that
12	right, Alicia? Yes.
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
14	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay.
15	So Mr. Secretary, if you'd call the roll.
16	MR. SNIPES: Acting Chair Schenk?
17	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Yes.
18	MR. SNIPES: Chair Richards?
19	CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes.
20	MR. SNIPES: Director Camacho?
21	BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yes.
22	MR. SNIPES: Vice Chair Miller?
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
24	MR. SNIPES: Director Perea?
25	BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yes.

MR. SNIPES: Director Ghielmetti? 1 2 BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: 3 MR. SNIPES: Director Escutia? 4 BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: Yes. 5 MR. SNIPES: Director Williams? BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: Aye. 6 7 MR. SNIPES: Director Cohen? BOARD MEMBER COHEN: 8 (Absent.) 9 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. MR. SNIPES: 10 Sure. 11 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay, so Henry I think your 12 comment is towards the resolution? 13 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yes, that's right. 14 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay, yes. Thank you. 15 MR. ARMISTEAD: Yes. Well the supporting 16 contracts have their own distinct responsibilities during 17 the certification process. The ISA, the Independent Safety 18 Assessor, assures that we're mitigating all the hazards and 19 risks to their lowest possible level. And that ISA 20 activity will continue from design all the way through 21 testing and commissioning until we reach revenue service. 22 The integrator contract will assure that all the 23 designs are integrated properly, and assure that that 24 objective evidence is recorded in our database. So that's 25 the integrator. He will assure that the designs are

integrated properly and any manufacturing and construction.

All that integration happens with our integrator to be

hired.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay.

MR. ARMISTEAD: The construction manager, those are our eyes and ears in the field and in the facility. So they will confirm that the requirements are being met in the facility. They will do a report.

And what's not there is the rail systems engineering contractor, which is our requirements manager. They'll help us to assure that the design requirements and the construction requirements are being met by checking those requirements back to the specifications.

So that's the way those all work together towards certification.

We have the manufacturer. Then we have the checker in the lab. Then we add a verifier, which will be our requirements manager. And we have the safety assessor that assures that everything is being done safely in a way consistent with our threat and vulnerability analysis and hazard analysis. And all those laws that we mitigate the hazards, the independent safety assessor confirms that the design mitigates the hazard and the risk is transferred to the right place.

And then the next step in construction or

manufacturing, the hazards are reduced again.

And then in the last step we write a rule to mitigate any residual risks that might be occurring for a particular part.

And a good example is a door in a car. The door is not supposed to open while a car's above zero speed. So we design that door not to be open if it's zero speed; somebody verifies that yes, that design works then we do to testing in the factory. The car is at zero speed, the door can only open at that time. And then we do dynamic testing. We run the train, make sure that the door doesn't open. And then the independent safety assessor is active throughout that process, along with the early train operator that is writing the rulebook all the way throughout that process.

The requirements manager is assuring that the design requirements are being met all the way throughout that process.

So we have a number of checks and balances that assure that we're building a safe railroad for 220-mile-an-hour operation. It follows the European Normatives, which is what I've talked about in this room before when I came and brought the rail systems engineering contract. So the ENs which are used -- don't let the name fool you -- it's used in in Europe and in Asia to bring high-speed trains

into certification.

I've been working with the FRA to adopt those normatives in what we're doing for certification. They do exist in the CFRs under Texas Central's rule of a particular applicability. So the FRA is familiar with this process, but we're working with them to share the process that I just mentioned to you. We'll meet up. We'll confirm that we've met all the requirements of the CFRs, and we're doing that by the process of the European Normatives.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay.

MR. ARMISTEAD: CFRs are designed-based. The Ens are the process-based.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Good. The last two questions just to confirm. These four activities, when we go out to RFP or RFQ for these four activities we will be asking one person, one company to do all four or will they be done separately? And if they're done separately who will oversee all of their activities to coordinate?

And the second question is, is there any value in any one of these four? Or all four being a part of design and discussion of 1,2,3, and 4 before we actually go out for them? Is there value in having any components of these teams as a part of those discussions for design and all?

MR. ARMISTEAD: Okay, I'll answer the first

question first. The integration team the staff here at the Authority will oversee the interfaces and the integration of the work of the contractors. So we have a team that includes Dominique RULENS and myself and a few others that will oversee the work that everyone is doing.

The requirements manager assures, again, that the requirements are being met.

The independent safety assessor assures that the safety requirements are being met, and they have a channel directly to FRA.

So our oversight within the Authority, me and my team, will be overseeing all the work that's being done.

And your second question was why can't they be combined? Because each of these have separate and distinct responsibilities in the certification process. They have to report to, for lack of a better term, a different stock price. So I can't have the person that's checking this person report -- somebody can't check their own homework. Somebody else has to check the homework.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. And the last question just is there value in bringing them on any component of these four on now to help in design or discussions of 1,2,3, and 4?

MR. ARMISTEAD: Yeah, that's exactly the procurement strategy that we have, which is bringing on the

```
1
    right disciplines at the right time.
 2
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Got it.
                                             Okay.
 3
              MR. ARMISTEAD: The next thing, next time I come
 4
    before you, will be in the order in which we think they
 5
    need to happen to support the requirements management, to
 6
    support the design, the vehicle track interaction,
 7
    etcetera.
 8
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Mm-hmm, all right.
9
    you.
10
              Thank you, Madam Chair.
11
              A/CHAIR SCHENK:
                                Thanks.
12
              MR. ARMISTEAD: And we are committed to small
13
    business, my staff is, and inclusion.
14
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you for that.
15
              So now any other comments or questions? If not,
16
    we'll entertain a motion.
17
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA:
                                   Moved.
18
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: I second it.
19
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: A motion and a second question.
20
              BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: Question, question.
21
    You're talking about to approve the resolution?
22
              BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: As amended.
23
               (Overlapping colloquy.)
24
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: As amended.
25
              BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: As amended, yes.
```

1	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Any other comments? No.
2	Then Mr. Secretary, call the roll.
3	MR. SNIPES: Acting Chair Schenk?
4	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Yes.
5	MR. SNIPES: Chair Richards?
6	CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes.
7	MR. SNIPES: Director Camacho?
8	BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yes.
9	MR. SNIPES: Vice Chair Miller?
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
11	MR. SNIPES: Director Perea?
12	BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yes.
13	MR. SNIPES: Director Ghielmetti?
14	BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Yes.
15	MR. SNIPES: Director Escutia?
16	BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: (No audible response.)
17	MR. SNIPES: Director Williams?
18	BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: Aye.
19	MR. SNIPES: Director Cohen?
20	BOARD MEMBER COHEN: (Absent.)
21	MR. SNIPES: Acting Chair Schenk, the motion
22	carries.
23	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay the motion carries. And
24	thank you very much Bruce, excellent report. And you heard
25	a whole Board's strong commitment to small business and

diversity. So thank you very much.

MR. ARMISTEAD: Yeah. Thank you.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay, so we'll move on now to Agenda Item Number 3. Boris Lipkin, if you would come to the microphone please.

MR. LIPKIN: Good morning Acting Chair Schenk,
Chair Richards and Members of the Board. My name is Boris
Lipkin, I'm the Northern California Regional Director. And
I'm here to give you an informational item and update on
our regional updates as we do these from time to time.

Just as a kind of launching-off point the last time I was before the Board was August of last year when we were asking you to approve the final environmental document for the San Francisco to San Jose project section. So my report is going to focus on things that have happened since that time. So if we'd go to the next slide.

I'll start off by just giving you a little bit of an overview of our Northern California team sections and status of those. I'll talk a little bit about our collaborations and partnerships that we have in the region. And then a little bit about our outreach.

So this is our small but mighty team in Northern California where we have a combination of program management staff, planning, outreach, communications, and of course, myself and Morgan Galli as the leadership team

1 for the region. Next slide, please. 2 And then when we talk about Northern California, 3 and I'm sure that folks will remember the two project 4 sections that we talked about last year, but we kind of --5 about 150 miles of the alignment starts. A/CHAIR SCHENK: I'm sorry Boris, could you speak 6 7 closer to the mic, so people in the back can hear? MR. LIPKIN: Sure. 8 9 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you. MR. LIPKIN: I'm not very tall, so 10 11 ((indiscernible). 12 A/CHAIR SCHENK: (Overlapping colloquy.) 13 these mics are not very sensitive. You have to swallow it. 14 MR. LIPKIN: Well I'll get a little closer. 15 Thank you, Chair Schenk. So our Northern California section started in San 16 17 Francisco at the Salesforce Transit Center. That's our 18 Northern Terminus. We then continue on the pink line, 19 which is the Caltrain corridor, which we're currently 20 helping to electrify. We have additional improvements that 21 we need for high-speed rail service in that quarter that 22 was part of our San Francisco to San Jose project section. 23 We then continue that blended system down to 24 Gilroy and modernization of that line, which is currently

owned by Union Pacific. That's the green on the chart.

25

And then we turn to the east and get onto a dedicated alignment going through Pacheco Pass including our two tunnels sections, Tunnel 1 and Tunnel 2. And then getting out towards Madera basically in the Central Valley Wye where we have our current construction expansions happening.

So if you click one more time, just to kind of give the update on the status of our two project sections. The Board approved the environmental documents, and we finalized the Notice Of Determination, which is the end of the CEQA process in April and August of last year. The Board then asked us to finalize the Record Of Decision, which is the federal NEPA process. And we did that in June and October.

And then in terms of where things stand since that time in San Francisco to San Jose, we've had three entities filed litigation. We have currently stayed two of those in order to work collaboratively with those entities to resolve the issues that they raised, and we're working on the third one as well.

And then in San Jose to Merced we didn't have any litigation. But we do have two tolling agreements that we're continuing to work through to resolve the last kind of bits of questions that we have in that project section. So we've continued to engage with those stakeholders and I

think we're making good progress in those conversations.

Next slide, please.

The other thing that's been really important for us in Northern California, and I know the Board has over the last few months talked many times about the Federal State Partnership Program and the importance of those federal grant applications, and so of course we as you'll remember that there's two applications that we submitted. So Application 1 is our really big one; that's the Central Valley construction, the trainsets, etcetera.

But if you click again, kind of for Northern

California the focus is really on Application 2. That one
lets us, if we are awarded, take the next steps in
advancing our work, advancing the design specifically in
the San Jose to Merced project section. Obviously also in
Bakersfield to Palmdale on the southern end of the
alignment.

And for us that's a critical path. That's being able to do the geotechnical studies we need to do in the Pacheco Pass and really take the next steps in preparing to get a look at expansion towards the Bay Area. And so we're eagerly awaiting the results of that federal grant application. And that will really drive the amount of work and the type of work that we can do in 2024 for Northern California. Next slide, please.

Just one note for the Board, when we submitted that federal state grant application the Bay Area really came out in full support for us. And so we had over 60 letters of support from everybody, from the local cities, the region itself, transit partners, business, labor, environmental groups.

There's an incredibly strong coalition that really wants to see high-speed rail come to the Bay Area. And so they were excited for both of us hopefully receiving federal funds towards the Central Valley, but also the second application of the path towards advancing the work in the region. And so there's a lot of collaboration, a lot of backing that we have. That we really want to continue to show that we're moving the program forward in the region. Next slide, please.

And then kind of the last update on things that have happened in the region in the last few months is we actually received a series of awards. So first the Women's Transportation Seminar. I think Brian might have mentioned in his CEO Report that we were selected for their international organization as the Employer of the Year. We also received the same award for the San Francisco Bay Area Chapter. And of course that shows just the strong commitment to women leaders that we have in this organization from top to bottom.

We also received an award from the American Planning Association, their Northern California section, which was focused on advancing diversity and social change in honor of Paul Davidoff. That one was for our environmental justice work in our San Jose-to-Merced project section, and was part of the approval of the environmental documents last year where we really took an affirmative step in advancing that. And then the last two awards were for our public information. So from PRNEWS and the California Association of Public Information Officers. And that was for -- if you click one more time -- we have a flyover video that we created, which has been our number-one-watched YouTube video on our YouTube channel, which has now I think over 120,000 views. So people are incredibly interested in the project and it's being recognized quite broadly. So switching to updates on our work with --BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: Yes, yes, a question before he goes into the updates. A/CHAIR SCHENK: Sure. BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: If I can take you back to Slide Number 4? MR. LIPKIN: Yep. BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: In paying close attention

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
    to the pink lines that show basically Caltrain
 2
    electrification, you kind of briefly mentioned about
 3
    Millbrae. Are those litigation issues already taken care
 4
    of so that this can be a seamless process? Can you answer
 5
    that question?
                           (Overlapping colloquy.) Looking to
              MR. LIPKIN:
 6
 7
    our Chief Counsel.
 8
                           This will be a good closed-session
              MS. FOWLER:
9
    conversation for next month.
10
              BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: All right. Okay.
11
    you.
12
              MS. FOWLER:
                           Sure.
13
              BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: So the answer is no?
14
    of you -- and you're still not ready. Okay, all right.
15
    All right.
16
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: No, not yet.
17
              BOARD MEMBER ESCUTIA: All right.
18
              MR. LIPKIN: (Overlapping colloquy.) Okay. So if
19
    we can go to the next section. It's really focused on, as
20
    I mentioned, we have a number of places where we're plugged
21
    in to regional cooperations and multi-agency partnerships
22
    that we have. So those are also all advancing in their own
23
    ways. And so I want to kind of tick through some of those
24
    and give you the updates on where each of those stands.
25
              So the first one is with the Transbay Joint
```

Powers Authority. This is a JPA that we are a part of. I serve on their board at the Board's behest. And this is the agency charged with the Salesforce Transit Center in San Francisco and the tunnel that was formerly called The Downtown Extension, now called The Portal. They'll connect the Salesforce Transit Center to the Caltrain corridor. So we have an existing MOU with a number of local agencies to collaboratively advance that project. We've extended that to December 2023. And the goal of that is to, as the project advances through its design and federal process, to then be able to update the governance accordingly for the next stages of development.

So if you -- just the alignment there is shown on the bottom right for this project. But if you go to next slide, please.

So there's two phases to their programs. So the Phase 1 was the Salesforce Transit Center. That's already been completed. It includes the train box underneath the transit center, which is on the bottom-right photo. That's for our service and Caltrain to be able to come in and serve that facility.

So the next part is the portal, the tunnel extension. And so that project has recently completed their 30 percent design. And just in the last two weeks they have taken the step to go into the next stage called

Engineering as part of their federal grant application. They're looking for about \$4 billion in federal transit money for that project. And so there's a process set up for that and they're taking kind of the next big step in that process.

The total project costs as they've been doing the risk reviews, just like any other project going through the process, is now \$7.5 billion. They're about 75 they've identified, and been able to secure, including the federal grant that they're pending on about 75 percent of that. And of course the last 25 is going to be the hardest part. So that's their kind of target over the next couple of years as they go through that federal grant process is they'll need to be able to figure out the last 25 percent of the funding to actually build the project.

But we are very much at the table. And as I mentioned, we're going to be updating the governance model for the next stages. And as they are going towards procurement and then into construction, how all of the agencies have a role in helping to advance the project.

Next slide, please.

Next one kind of going down the corridor is

Caltrain electrification. So I know this is one that the

Board has been following closely for a long time, but this

is really an important project for us. It lays the

foundation for most of the infrastructure that we're going to need between San Francisco and San Jose. And you can see the timeline on the bottom, they started construction in 2017. And at this point they're very close to having finished the major construction pieces. Now they're beginning to test and commission their new electric trains so that their trains have arrived onsite.

They actually did a recent public tour to show those off to people. And there's lots of excitement for what a new electric train looks like. And of course, you know we're following that very closely, because it's very important for us to make sure that both their trains are successful, our trains are able to use the corridor as well. Next slide, please.

The other update that I want to make sure to make the Board aware of it as part of our Proposition 1A contribution towards electrification projects, we have our contract, which is the project management and funding agreement that was developed back in 2018. And it really kind of governs both our contribution, and provides for what our rights are and abilities to use the corridor later on.

So we're finalizing an amendment to that, because as many projects they have had both schedule and cost issues. So you can see the revisions that they've made to

the budget was about another \$400 million. And they've pushed the schedule out a couple of years. So we need to update our projects and cost and budget information in that agreement to match that new project schedule and new project information.

They were fortunately able to identify new funding sources for that extra \$400 million, so there's no additional Authority funds that they need to complete the project. But it's still an important amendment for us to go through the process. It requires Department of Finance approval, and so we're very close to achieving that and being able to continue to make the necessary contributions towards electrification. Next slide, please.

So continuing to move south along the corridor at Diridon Station this is sort of where a lot of things come together. There's, of course, electrification of the corridor today. There's our environmental clearance, which covers the improvements needed at Diridon Station for high-speed rail. There's the BART project that's coming in, in sort of the dashed black line on the graphic.

And then there's all the planning for land use in transit or in developments with the Diridon Station area plan, including Google's project right in that vicinity.

And so a few years ago we started the process of creating an integrated station concept plan with partner

agencies. And so just to give a quick update on where that is, if you go to the next one, and I know Director Ghielmetti was on our committee overseeing that yesterday. But the planning work is continuing. The vision is kind of have an integrated land use, transactions that are in development, station design, and access planning process.

They've developed an original concept a couple of years ago. And that's now being further refined to define the project, really identify through a business case what its benefits and costs are, and then align the organizational governance approach so the project can move into an environmental clearance process. That's their next step. And make sure that there's a path forward for how that will be overseen by the collective five partner agencies and what the roles and responsibilities are between each of us in that process. Next slide, please.

And then again continuing south in Gilroy we're continuing to work with the city on stationary planning. So this has been kind of a strengthened collaboration that we've had, really focusing on aligning both the City and Authority goals when it comes to the integration of land use and transportation, anything in Gilroy. The station can really transform that community and we want to make sure we're working closely with the City. So we've had our planning team, Meg and others, have been very engaged in

this making sure that we're working collaboratively, there's been a series of workshops focused on this question.

And then they also had folks out to the construction sites to really get them a firsthand experience of what our construction looks like. Also have a chance for them to interact with folks in Fresno and other places to understand kind of how those cities have worked with us. And what that -- kind of the cities that are a little bit further ahead -- what that means for Gilroy down the line.

And then the last kind of corridor-wide effort has been, and we talked about this last year during the environmental clearance process, is there's a huge emphasis on grade separations in the corridor. So there's over 20 of these at various stages of planning and development, usually led by -- or not usually -- they're all led by the local jurisdictions in collaboration with the rail agencies.

And so just as a reminder if you click the first one, we did fund and contribute towards the 25th Avenue grade separation project. This was our first bookend project that actually finished its construction. So what you see there is the rebuilt Hillsdale Station and the grade separation there. So that's been put into service in

2021. That's the first piece of High-Speed Rail funded infrastructure that's in use today for rail service.

But also a recent announcement from the state in terms of new investments that are being made in the corridor, so as part of last year's Budget Act there was an appropriation of \$350 million towards grade separations across the state. A number of cities applied up and down the Caltrain corridor. And in total, they received about \$110 million for the Broadway grade separation project, which has been a longtime one that we've advocated for in Burlingame. It helped with the most dangerous rail crossing in the state at this point, as well as an important facility that alleviates our need to do some improvement work in that area.

Mountain View got funds for Rengstorff and Castro streets to fill the funding pot for those two grade separations. And then Palo Alto also got funding for the final design of Churchill Avenue. So the state's making substantial investment in the corridor and really being part of the solution to the grade separation question.

And then the last thing I'll mention on this one is just that Caltrain has started a corridor-crossing strategy. And really looking at the situation as having 20 different cities looking at individual grade separations they're starting to come with an overlay of how does the

entire corridor operate in this? How do we align? How the projects are being planned? How do we streamline them? Where can they all kind of come together? So again we're involved in that. We're not sort of the leading entity here, but we are very much following and making sure that we understand how each of these things fit together with what our needs are in the corridor.

And then just to close out with some recent outreach updates, so over the course of last year we've continued to engage the public. We think it's incredibly important to be out there and making people aware that the system is coming. The first question we get in Northern California is always, "When can we ride a train?" Essentially, is the number one.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Same question in Southern California.

Y MR. LIPKIN: Yeah. Well, I think it's good people are continuing to be excited, because I think the idea of high-speed rail makes a lot of sense to people.

So we've had over 4,400 folks that we've engaged through our tabling events. We've done over 40 presentations. We've done construction tours. And we've also been active on social media with all of our outreach efforts as well.

And so just to give a few examples from the last

kind of few months, this is our team out at the Benicia

Farmers Market, the Burlingame Fresh Market, those are kind
of some of the tabling things that we do.

And then some bigger events, I mentioned the Caltrain Electric Train Tour, so this was when they were showing off their new trainsets. And you can see on the table there, there's a mockup of a high-speed rail trainset. So in your package you should have gotten a fact sheet, which is our new High-Speed Rail Train Fact Sheet that you can fold up. It folds together and creates one of the ones that's there. Of course, we're very excited for Bruce's actual trains to come, but this might have to do for now.

And then the last one I'll mention here is the North Beach Festival, which was a huge event in San Francisco. So San Francisco's not dead despite what you might have read and heard about in the news. But at that event our staff, over two days, talked to 1,300 members of the public. One of them was actually the Lieutenant Governor, who saw our booth and stopped by to wish our team well and appreciate the work that they were doing out there.

And then all of that also has been transformed into social media. And so we have a little video that we've put up from the San Francisco Pride Festival. So if

you click again, I think it should play.

Caltrain 4th & King for SF Pride (Short Music/Visual Video plays music briefly.)

So anyways, that's a very short one that I guess that's from Instagram, I believe. I am not quite the expert on that one. But we're continuing to be out there in the communities.

And then just to give some of the highlights from our tours, we've been joined by a variety of folks.

So Assemblymember Gail Pellerin and her staff were out with us. We had the mayors of Gilroy, Morgan Hill, and Santa Clara County supervisor visit the Central Valley.

And we actually met up with Director Perea at the graduation for the Summer Training Center. We have staff from various offices, legislative offices, that we have in the region: Representative Costa, Ash Kalra, Kevin Mueller, who is now a Congressmember, at the time he was an Assemblymember. And as well as staff from Dianne
Feinstein's Office, Silicon Valley Leadership Group, MTC and then also our high school folks out of the Mineta
Summer Transportation Institute and UC Berkeley Planning graduate students. So we really take advantage of and work closely with Tony and Augie (phonetic) and others in
Central Valley who have been kind enough to host our team as we bring folks down to give them an on-the-ground

```
1
    experience, understanding what the system starts to look
    like.
 3
              And with that, that's my report. I'm happy to
 4
    take any questions.
 5
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you, excellent report.
              Any questions? Yes.
 6
 7
              BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Boris, under your
 8
    awards I think you missed something. 2023 under 40.
9
    (Laughter.)
10
              MR. LIPKIN: So that happened yesterday. See the
11
    PowerPoint was made a week ago, so we weren't allowed to
12
    say that. But I was part of the Mass Transit Magazine's
13
    "40 Under 40" class. That was just announced yesterday.
14
              BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI:
                                         Congratulations.
15
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Congratulations on that. Thank
16
    you for bringing that up.
17
              Any other comments, questions?
18
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Real quick, Boris, first
19
    thank you for your presentation. You do great work.
20
    Remind us or remind me that our trains, will they be -- how
21
    far north will they actually be traveling into the Bay?
22
              MR. LIPKIN: So the Northern Terminus is the
23
    Salesforce Transit Center in San Francisco.
24
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. Thank you.
25
              MR. LIPKIN: Yeah.
```

```
1
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Any other comments or questions?
 2
         If not, thank you. Excellent report. See you next
 3
    August if not before then.
 4
              MR. LIPKIN: Whatever you like.
 5
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: We'll put you on our August
 6
    agenda whether we meet or not.
 7
              Oh, yes, thank you. So Member Arambula has
 8
    arrived.
              Thank you very much for joining us.
9
              And we'll move to Item Number 4, the Solar
10
    Update.
             Margaret, Hi.
11
              MS. CEDROTH: Good morning.
12
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Morning.
13
              MS. CEDEROTH: Can you hear me, okay?
14
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: Yes.
15
              MS. CEDEROTH: Okay, different height from Boris,
16
    right?
17
              A/CHAIR SCHENK: But still, the closer you can
18
    speak to the mic the better on these mics, okay. Thank
19
    you.
20
              MS. CEDEROTH: Thank you, I'm happy to.
21
              So good morning, it's a pleasure to see the Board
22
            I know annually I'm usually here talking about our
23
    environmental, social, and governance topics underneath the
24
    umbrella of our sustainability program. And I'm pleased
25
    today to be able to take you through a more detailed update
```

on one of our critical strategies, which is our approach to running the system entirely on renewable energy, really focused on a resilient and renewable power supply for the high-speed rail system. So let's go to the first slide.

Since in 2008, of course, the Board had the foresight to commit to a truly carbon-free high-speed rail travel experience. And over the course of the past decade the Board has maintained this very clear target. Next slide.

So 100 percent clean, renewable energy for operations is a very clear goal towards which to work. Staff effort has been on unpacking the details of including regulatory and statutory hurdles of a cost-effective approach. And the end goal of which has always been an optimal strategy for the Authority that we can implement in time for train testing.

Since 2008, of course, the regulatory context of renewable energy in the state has shifted considerably for state agencies as well as more broadly. And it's included advances in the technical context of renewable energy storage, energy storage broadly, and it reflects the driver of climate resilience as well. I'd say California policy has increasingly focused on broad state decarbonization by 2045, with some interim milestones of 2035. Toward's which, of course, we were working to achieve this from day

one.

There's been a continued tight focus on utilityspecific renewable energy requirements. And electricity
tariffs that accommodate the scale of high-speed rail have
also emerged and evolved over this time period. So staff
work has adjusted to these changes while keeping a very
core focus on the 100 percent renewable energy goal for
system operations.

I'd say the other critical driver for staff work has been achieving this goal while also reducing operating costs, given the aim of course to operate this system without a subsidy.

So there are a few ways that we can approach the operations of the system entirely on renewable energy. While the team has explored some standard power purchase agreements as well as means of accessing the wholesale market over the past several years, given the broad trends on storage and generation and how they have coalesced the team has been focused on considerable detailed technical research on a behind-the-meter strategy that's been enabled by recent CPUC tariff policy. Next slide.

So this strategy is informed by our unique assets. We have a property portfolio and an area with the best solar capacity in the world. We are in a state with a robust renewable energy and battery storage industry. We

are a railroad that requires electricity so that we can reach the speeds of travel and hence the travel times that transform mobility in the state. And we're a very peak heavy load. We're in a state where electricity is expensive.

So these opportunities and requirements and the Board policy, which is of course very closely aligned with state policy and priorities, are the bedrock of this strategy. The behind-the-meter strategy is fairly simple, and it relies on proven technology, which are brought together in a way that best serves our railroad.

This strategy involves producing energy via solar photovoltaic arrays, which are located on well-configured parcels and connected to a battery-energy storage system. Both of which are connected to the traction power substation locations for the system, and via these substations to the transmission grid interconnection points. Next slide.

So the system's sizing and layout are informed by performance characteristics that have been developed through extensive cross-functional staff collaboration.

The functional teams across the Authority have provided fundamental guidance on how parameters help this system achieve critical business objectives, and statutory targets.

So work with the rail operations team has provided clarity on the service plan and the necessary duration for battery storage.

The rail systems engineering team has worked very closely to coordinate the technical elements at the traction power substation locations, and to support the proper integration of these resources into the configured project.

Our financial advisors in the financial services team have worked both to interrogate the financial modeling of the systems as well as the time period for payback. And have an understanding of how these assist with reducing our operating expenses. While the legal team has provided valuable insight into statute, state code, tariff structures and contractual interfaces.

Our work with the right-of-way team and thirdparty and environmental teams have helped with the personal review and clearance and we have integrated this strategy into the configured project. Next slide.

In addition to advancing the studies that are necessary in order for interconnection the Authority staff have conducted a thorough feasibility on the solar PV-and-battery-energy storage systems, consisting of three separate phases.

So Phase 1 was the creation of a comprehensive

projection or model of the energy consumption at each traction power substation location in the Merced to Bakersfield corridor. And we've done that at 15-minute increments using the anticipated train model for the Merced Bakersfield system, as well as the train schedule, the physics as well of that train route.

In Phase 2 we've determined an optimal grid interconnection configuration and tariffs to identify the best approach of working with the local utility grid, which is PG&E, in the first segment.

Phase 3 was the creation of detailed and refined projections of project economics, using the tariffs and the rates provided by the local electric utility to confirm the financial viability of the proposed projects.

We've also done a detailed analysis of the Authority's right-of-way portfolio within the first 119 miles of construction for the suitability of parcels for energy generation. And to date, this analysis is focused on solar generation, given the project's location in the Central Valley. Though, of course, as we move out into the rest of the state we'll also be looking at wind and other renewable energy generation options.

So this geographic-information-systems-based analysis looked for parcels that were greater than five acres and were of a very good configuration, which is code

for they were mostly square or rectangular, and that were in proximity to the traction power substation locations.

We also screened the parcels to make sure that there were no fatal environmental flaws, including locations and floodplains and slope issues as well.

So understanding the Authority's operations profile, including the requirements for system reliability, has also been a part of sizing these assets. The battery energy storage can supply resilience for the system in the event of a grid outage. So these battery energy storage facilities combined with the solar photovoltaic system enables uninterrupted rail service in the summer months, and sufficient hours of backup power in winter to maintain rail service.

So on the next slide you'll see -- hmm, that slide came out funny. This is an illustration of the modelled load against the generation capacity. So the team have utilized the technical and business requirements inputs to size the battery storage adjacent to the TPSS stations.

And this graph really illustrates the benefit of solar paired with battery storage. It shows how combining these two together at the right size and configuration to match our load over the course of the year, has additional capacity in summer. And the sizing also ensures that we

have a minimum of six hours of backup power to be provided even in winter conditions when the solar PV system would be anticipated to produce probably the least amount of energy, depending on the weather.

On the next slide you'll see that the sizing in the strategy provides some vital benefits to the Authority. First and foremost, it reduces our annual energy-related operating expenses to the tune of 75 percent, or an annual savings of something between \$10 and \$14 million.

It also provides us with a reserve source of power, which is critical in the event of grid shutoffs. And in turn, this provides grid benefits as well. We're providing a much more balanced load profile to the grid thanks to the smoothing of the service phase.

We're creating the opportunity to support the grid during times of high congestion. Our system can prevent brownouts and blackouts through coordination with PG&E to use the battery storage and the solar PV resources to supply capacity to the grid during those times when energy is most in demand, which is roughly 4:00 to 9:00 p.m., certainly in the summer and in the winter as well.

Of course, this significantly reduces our peak demand, which I know everyone understands helps to reduce our energy costs at large. And it reduces the load overall to the transmission system. And it significantly reduces

the intermittency of that load and the generation. I know that is another classic complaint around renewable energy.

So using the batteries to store and then release that energy as required presents a much more even load profile to the grid.

On the next slide over the past decade the Authority has engaged with core stakeholders. most specifically and most intensively with PG&E, the independent owned utility operator for the first segment. As well as with regulatory bodies, including the California Independent System Operator to make sure that the required technical resources are meeting their requirements, as well as with the California Public Utilities Commission who oversees policy relative to tariffs and rate structures.

We also coordinate with state agencies on matters of state policy and technical exchange. And this includes working with the California Energy Commission, with the Department of General Services, and with GO-Biz.

On the next slide, to advance the strategy in the next year includes several core activities. We will be working to adjust the power load model to flexibly and quickly accommodate consideration of shifting drivers. So as the Authority moves into considering procurement options an updated model actually allows us to explore a range of configurations. And it allows us to adjust the model

parameters quickly in response to industry information, as well as updated Authority information such as trainsets and the train loads. And to communicate that model to prospective contractors and bidders.

The model will also cover the whole state, as we have been refining the environmental alignments and selecting locally preferred alternatives. And we have updated clarity as well on the service models coming out of the business plan work. And, of course, we will be continuing to look at wind and other generation opportunities.

We'll also be confirming a procurement strategy. I've mentioned that staff across functional areas are in regular consultation on the specific technical dynamics of the behind-the-meter strategy. And we're considering the optimal procurement methods including the standard range of procurement opportunities.

This work also considers interfaces among those contracts and optimizing these to minimize both technical and financial risks to the Authority.

And we'll be seeking sources of funding. I know in May of 2023 as CFO Annis discussed in June of 2023 at the Finance and Audit Committee meeting, we actually applied for a Department of Energy Grid Resilience and Innovation, or GRIP Program. Given the unique nature of

high-speed rail and its behind-the meter-strategy as well as given the operational cost benefit that comes with a capital investment the Authority has sought these new funding capital dollars to support this strategy. And staff will continue to explore potential funding opportunities as they arise. Next slide.

So in summary, the work ahead continues across functional areas of the Authority and it incorporates more mature information about the system. It's going to include the entire Phase 1 alignment.

We'll have more details on stations and other facilities and understanding how those interplay with the overall traction power system opportunity.

We'll be reviewing and refining the resource sizing to understand the matching of our demand with renewables on a more granular, including an hourly, basis.

And then we'll be investigating additional value opportunities for revenue, including financial opportunities associated with recent federal legislation.

Thank you for your time this morning. And thank you for the opportunity to review the renewable energy strategy in summary with you.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Well we thank you. Thank you.

Any questions? Comments? Henry.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah, thank you for the

1 presentation. And thanks for the briefing yesterday, there 2 was a lot of good information. Is there anywhere in the country or in the world where there's a train system or a 3 4 high-speed rail system that's 100 percent electrified? 5 MS. CEDEROTH: One hundred percent electrified? Yes. There are many examples of entirely electric rail 6 7 systems, both in the U.S. and globally. 8 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. For example? 9 MS. CEDEROTH: For example, SEPTA in Philadelphia. The electric rail system in Germany and 10 11 Italy and Spain and France, parts of the United Kingdom, 12 Japan, Korea. 13 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. And they're powered 14 by solar? 15 MS. CEDEROTH: Oh, they are powered by 16 electricity. 17 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: I apologize, I missed it. 18 MS. CEDEROTH: Yes, in terms of renewable energy 19 many of those operators are looking at solar and battery, 20 or renewable energy tied with battery storage in order to 21 provide additional resilience capacity. 22 In London the UK systems are looking at 23 resilience supply tied to battery storage, and solar. 24 And in Germany, they're also looking at 25 additional kind of about half of the level of capacity

we're looking at. And that is also to help with their goal of reducing their carbon emissions associated with their electricity.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. And as we move to the December 2028 testing of our trains, how is what you're doing interfaced with the presentation Bruce made? And that chart on Page 4 that talked about these four folks who we'll be bringing on to oversee all these packages to make sure that everything's working, everything works.

MS. CEDEROTH: Right, great question. It interfaces with the traction power aspect of those packages. So we've been working very closely for the past several years to really make sure that the proposed solution relative to the batteries and the solar interconnects specifically in a technical manner with the traction power substation.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. And the last question is at what point are we confident in the decision of 100 percent solar versus do we need to have PG&E electrifying our system, etcetera so we don't lose time?

MS. CEDEROTH: Oh, of course. So we're pursuing both of those. We're in active conversation and engaged in active research with PG&E on the traction power interconnection points. And so we tie to the PG&E grid. The solar and battery resources provide us with a means of

reducing our peak demand and they also provide us with a means of backup power. And so ideally, we draw on our batteries, and so we're not drawing extensive electricity. And over time we match that load completely one-to-one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. Thank you.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you. Any other questions or comments? No? And anyone on the phone? Chair Richards or Vice Chair Miller, any questions or comments?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Just a great presentation by both staff. Thanks.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you. Margaret I wanted, as the resident historian I want to give you a historical factoid, building on deep roots with this Authority Board of course. But even going back to 1981, when I first presented this to Governor Brown and his Chief of Staff, Gray Davis, was in the room. And one of their first questions was, "Can this be powered by clean energy?" So we didn't have the knowledge we have today, but that's what they were thinking back then too. So thank you very much.

> MS. CEDEROTH: Of course. Thank you.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Okay. Before we get to the CEO Report, Mr. Camacho, you had a report you wanted to share with us?

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yes. It'll be somewhat of a brief report. But I just wanted to let the Board know

that I was invited to attend a team meeting of CP4 in Bakersfield. And I guess the two real takeaways that I came back with is the enduring heat, 110 degrees that they have to work in.

But secondly I was able to introduce myself, and met each one of the team members with CP4. And I was so impressed by number one, their enthusiasm and the sense of pride that they had in working on this project. And from the project engineer to the program leader that they had, each one of them were just beaming with pride. And they said that they wanted to be part of this legacy, that one day, either they or their kids will be able to ride highspeed rail. And I was very, very impressed by that.

The working conditions are less than desirable. But I'll tell you, they were there plugging and doing the right thing. And I just thought I'd like to share that, because we talked about their last comments as I left was saying, "We want to ride high-speed rail." And I compliment them for that and thank them on behalf of the Board. Thank you.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you for going there in the heat. And thank you for the report.

I will now have the CEO Report presented by the CFO from our C Suite. (Laughter.)

MR. ANNIS: Well, thank you. I'm happy to

present the CBO report on behalf of Brian Kelly. Just a few items to cover today.

On the next slide you'll see that the Authority has been very active in submitting new federal grant applications. So we did submit two in August. The first was for a program called the Wildlife Crossings Pilot Program, which is a new federal program. We applied for \$2 million to cover about half of the -- excuse me -- cover about 80 percent of the \$2.5 million cost of a monitoring activity, which we're committed to do with our resource agencies.

As part of our 119-mile construction project we actually have over 300 wildlife crossings along that corridor. And one of the primary species that we'll be monitoring is the kitfox. So we're hoping to partner with the federal government on this five-year program of monitoring. It's not just academic. The hope is that in studying these over 300 crossings for five years, and these crossings do have variable design at variable locations, that we'll be better informed in building future animal crossings that may occur at the state highways or future high-speed rail segments to better serve the crossings of wildlife along the corridor.

The second one is the federal program called -- shorthand is "MEGA," for MEGA project. And there we're

asking for \$232 million for a project with a total cost of \$386 million. I think that's a 60/40 Maximum Federal Contribution Program. And that's for the Merced Integrated Multimodal Station. We think that'll be a competitive project scope for this MEGA program.

We have consulted with the USDOT and FRA over the past year to find out what's really optimal for the criteria they consider for that program. And we think that Merced Integrated Station is a good match. The federal program is multimodal, the Merced Station is multimodal. We'll have the ACE commuter system connect there, the Amtrak service will connect there, as well as high-speed rail into that three -- that rail union station serving those three rail operators as well as community and regional bus services. So we're excited about that one. That was just submitted, I believe, on Monday of this week. So that one's freshly submitted.

And on the general grant area we are looking to have a federal decision on our CRISI grant applications probably over the next month. There were two there. One was to fund grade separations in the City of Shafter. And the second one was for the Selma Workforce Center to receive another two years of funding for that program through the federal grant. Next slide.

The Authority has opened a number of structures

1 so far in 2023 and they're listed here. Merced Avenue and 2 McCombs Road are both CP4. Elkhorn Avenue, Idaho Avenue, 3 Dover Avenue are in CP2-3. And Cedar Viaduct, of course, 4 in CP1. 5 And if you go to the next slide the seventh is the Poso Avenue Undercrossing. I'm not sure if this is a 6 7 video or not. It is a video, all right. 8 (Video playback begins.) 9 CEO BRIAN KELLY: "(Music and sirens.) The opening of the Poso Avenue Undercrossing." 10 11 MR. ANNIS: There you see our birthday boy CEO 12 (laughs). 13 VIDEO NARRATION: "One, two, three. (People 14 clapping and cheering during the opening ceremony)." 15 MAYOR VINCENT MARTINEZ: "This is something that 16 is long overdue. We've had a railroad crossing here for 17 years, that all railroad crossings are dangerous, 18 especially when you put it in an area that's high traffic 19 like this. And so to have this underpass open it's a 20 really good thing for the city of Wasco. 21 CITY MANAGER SCOTT HURLBERT: "This will provide 22 an additional traffic outlet for Highway 43 off to my right 23 and around under the railroad tracks to Highway 46. So an 24 important link for the town. 25 CEO BRIAN KELLY: "And this project will be great

for the community, it's great for us. Our trains will pass through here without having any intersection with the vehicles, because we're going to be above them. And it's safe for the community. It's safe for us and it's just a great day. These are the kinds of moments that you love when you're in this job."

(End of video playback.)

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you.

MR. ANNIS: So in addition to Poso Avenue the first two: Merced Avenue-McCombs Road, if you look closely at the pictures both of those have existing freight tracks that the grade separation is also separated from traffic, road traffic.

And I asked staff to look at the federal databases on collisions with trains that have occurred at those three locations. And the federal database goes back about fifty years. But at those three locations there's been six collisions with trains over that fifty years, resulting in two fatalities, two injuries, and two property-damage-only collisions. So six collisions overall. So these are definitely huge safety benefits for those communities not to have that risk at grade collisions anymore.

Lastly, some of you were able to meet before this meeting started. We are joined here by Ben Belnap who is

our new Inspector General

A/CHAIR SCHENK: (Overlapping colloquy.) Stand up Ben, let's welcome. Welcome. (Indiscernible.)

MR. ANNIS: So Mr. Belnap was appointed by the governor recently. We think he'll be officially sworn in as of the 1st of September. He has vast experience from a career working at the California State Auditor's office, and he was a Deputy State Auditor there most recently. The Inspector General position, of course, was created by Senate Bill 198, that the Legislature passed in 2022, concurrent with approving the remainder of our \$4.2 billion of bond funds. So Mr. Belnap was appointed now to a four-year term. And so we look forward to having them start in early September.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Great. Thank you.

MR. ANNIS: And that concludes the CEO Report.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Any questions or comments for

Brian?

BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: I was just going to say a personal welcome, maybe sort of on behalf of the Board.

But also say that I think it would be very useful for us as a Board to hear from you from time to time, whether that's on some regular basis annually or at least twice a year, just in terms of your work and your observations. With respect I know you don't report to us. But I think it

would be useful for us to hear from you as you will also interact, I'm sure, with the Legislature and the Governor's Office.

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Excellent suggestion. Yes.

Any other comments? Questions?

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: I have one question --

A/CHAIR SCHENK: Yes, Henry?

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: -- for Mr. Kim. Brian, is that okay if we ask him to come up? Mm-hmm. And as you are coming up I just wanted to thank you two for the briefing we had yesterday. It cleared a lot of things up in my mind.

MR. KIM: Absolutely.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: But as we heard all the pieces today in hitting for that December 2028 date to run trains, of course. And then we have July 2026 for a substantial completion of CP1, I've been focused for several months now just on all the challenges that we have on third-party issues. And as we look at your chart on Page 9 of our report that we get as the Board for the Central Valley Status Report, we have about 508 utility issues that are either not started or are in progress. And I know we don't need all of those completed to hit our '26 date. But our discussion — if you could just briefly tell the Board what the plans are. What is the critical path?

What do we need to have done before the end of this year, and then moving forward, so that we hit the stage? And where you're at with it?

MR. KIM: Absolutely. So thank you. Dennis Kim, I'm the Director of Real Property and the Lead Executive for Third Parties. It's nice to see the Board again. But I want to commend Board Member Perea. And he reached out to us about utility relocation. It's admirable, because you're trying to really understand where we are and how we're going to progress, and how we are progressing, right?

So to that question is we do have utility -- I want to make a statement about utilities. It is a very difficult area. Just the way it actually is, there's just so many standards that the utility owners have. And there's so many variables that you actually have to clear in terms of predecessor activities, such as design. You have environmental clearance. You have right-of-way acquisition. You have land conveyances. You have work plans, crossing applications, pre-construction walkthroughs. There's so many activities you have to clear. And if you don't clear -- if you clear seven out of eight you still can't advance.

So I want to really inform the Board that we are hyper-focused on identifying every single predecessor for every single conflict, to allow us to move forward in a

very expedient manner, right?

So currently, I did talk to Board Member Perea and briefed him yesterday. But we have foundational elements that we're going through to try to get faster utility relocation. What I mean by that is understanding the entire scope of what we have to do for utilities, structuring our organization to make sure that we're enhancing in meeting those needs. But more importantly, tracking all of this very detailed-oriented, in terms of every single activity, the date of every single predecessor that needs to be cleared.

So to answer your question, is utilities are very difficult. But when there's difficulty, you need a lot more detail to it.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: That's right.

MR. KIM: And that's what we're doing. We have issue logs. We have escalation logs that we track daily to make sure that we're able to advance these utilities as quickly as we can. So to that point, we're moving.

There are 96 critical utilities for CP1 that are for the top four paths, critical paths for CP1. And we're tracking those very closely, each of them very closely.

There's 9 of those on Belmont that are scheduled to close between October and December of this year. And we have 74 utilities in 2024 that are critical to CP1 that we're also

tracking.

So you're going to see a lot of movement in 2024 of those critical activities at Belmont, Herndon, Church, and McKinley. And that's really the top four paths in terms of our construction for utilities.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah. Okay. Thank you so much. And I have to say I have tremendous confidence in the team Brian has put together, led by Mr. Kim. On this project it's been such a challenge for us the past 10 years or so. And I think watching this Board before I became a Board member, and then being a Board member, it was always frustrating to see or hear when it was too late to pivot. And saying, "Oh gosh, delay," or additional costs because of that delay.

And I think what we're going to see now is other than getting the job done, I think what we're going to see is if we need to pivot we're not going to be here at the last minute until too late and there's nothing we can do.

I think staff will be here saying, "This is not working, we need to do X," and then we can move that through.

MR. KIM: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: But I think these 96 that you've identified of these 500 -- and I'll just talked about CP1. On CP 2-3, 4, there's issues there too.

MR. KIM: Exactly.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: But to get to that 119 I think this is what we have to get done to get that operational.

MR. KIM: Yeah. And to your point I want to give an example of CP4 on that point is Semitropic there is a P-1030. Canal. CEO Kelly, COO Casey, and I went there three weeks ago from today. That's when the Poso Underpass actually was announced. And we went to the site of P-1030 and it's as big as a small river. We're not talking about small utilities, we're talking about large canals, infrastructure that is highly important to Semitropic, the stakeholder. And what we found there to your point is there's always adjustments. You have to mitigate.

And what we found there was we actually designed it to have two cofferdams and a bypass system to work. However, due to the cracking that happened in the canal itself over time, which is natural, the utility owner didn't actually want us to proceed until we actually ended the harvest season because it was too much of a risk for them.

But with that being said, is the team, the great team, the help of HNTB, CRB, the Authority team, we actually created a very large concrete box culvert. I've never seen a bunker, but I'll tell you it is massive,

right. And if anyone has seen a bunker I'd love you to 1 2 come with me to fact-check that, because I've never seen 3 one. But it is massive. It's already created, we're ready 4 to go. Once the harvest season ends we're able to actually 5 advance that work quickly. So those are the mitigation measures the team 6 7 takes. To Board Member Comacho's point is CP4 is a great 8 They continue to press through all adversity. 9 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah. So thank you. 10 MR. KIM: Yeah. Thank you so much. 11 A/CHAIR SCHENK: Thank you very much for that, 12 Mr. Kim. Thank you Mr. Perea. 13 I think we have a very brief F&A Committee 14 Report. Mr. Camacho. 15 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Thank you, Madam Chairman. 16 The F&A reported out. And it'll be a brief report as I was 17 forewarned by our Chairwoman that it had to be within two 18 minutes. 19 Cash Management Report, the Authority is in a 20 strong cash position. And total cash available is about 21 \$3.2 billion. I mean, we could stop with that and just say 22 that's the end of the report, but actually there's a little 23 more. 24 Individual Fund Balances for Prop 1A is \$793

25

million.

1 Cap-and-trade is \$2.4 billion. 2 Real Property 11.6. 3 Cap-and-Trade auction proceeds from the May auction have yet to not to be reflected in the cash. 4 5 Capital Outlay Budget, its monthly expenditures were about \$145 million. 6 7 Total Design Build Expenditures, \$96.9 million in 8 total. 9 Preliminary Monthly Expenditures for June were 10 \$254 million. And this is up primarily due to a year in 11 accrual in bookend projects. 12 Total Design and Build Expenditures for June were \$97.5 million. 13 14 Contingency Summary, as of the end of May the 15 Authority has \$2.1 billion remaining contingency, 16 specifically for CP Packages: CP1 at \$878 million, CP2-3 17 \$1.1 billion, CP4 \$120 million. 18 Right-of Way, in terms of the Central Valley 19 right-of-way total parcels delivered through April were 20 2,225 out of 2,293, which is 97 percent, which is very, 21 very good. It's welcome news. 22 But we still seem to struggle with the utility relocations. And I think that we've talked about this 23 24 quite a bit. We had 11 relocations were completed during 25 the reporting period. Completed were 1045, which is 57

1	percent; in progress there's 376, which is 20 percent;
2	approved to start, 12 which is 1 percent. Not started
3	were 403, which is 22 percent of the total.
4	CP4 construction, or CP Construction Progress,
5	structure is 7 out of 93, or 75 percent are underway or
6	substantially complete.
7	CP4, 11 out of 11 structures are substantially
8	complete, which is good.
9	Guideways, 90 out of 119, 76 percent.
10	And underway or constructed, there's no change
11	from the prior date.
12	And I was under my time limit. (Overlapping
13	colloquy.) So that completes my report.
14	A/CHAIR SCHENK: Any questions or comments for
15	Mr. Camacho? No.
16	Hearing none, Chair Richards and Vice Chair
17	Miller we wish you safe travels and with that we are
18	adjourned.
19	(The California High-Speed Rail Authority
20	Adjourned at 11:39 a.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 9th day of September, 2023.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

Martha L. Nelson

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

Martha L. Nelson

September 9, 2023