

# CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL AUTHORITY

# UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS POLICY PROCEDURES

Number	Description	<b>Revision Number</b>	Date	
PROC-BOARD-02	Unsolicited Proposals Policy Procedures	1	April 28, 2017	

	Name	Date	Signature
Drafted	Boris Lipkin Deputy Director of Business Analytics and Strategic Planning	4/28/17	BETT
Checked	William Underwood Policy Manager	5/1/17	WelfUnderwood
Checked	Russ Fong Chief Financial Officer	Shilp	S
Checked	Thomas Fellenz Chief Counsel	5/1/17	Chomus Felle
Checked	Jon Tapping Acting Chief Operating Officer	5-1-17	Ver
Approved	Jeff Morales Chief Executive Officer	5-1.17	Jethnorth

Collaboration

# CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL AUTHORITY

## PROCEDURE

# **PROC-BOARD-02**

Approved By

Jeff Morales Chief Executive Officer

5.1.17 Date

SUBJECT: Unsolicited Proposals Policy Procedures (Implementing Unsolicited Proposals Policy approved by Authority Board on October 14, 2013, in Resolution #HSRA 13-29)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An Unsolicited Proposal is distinguishable from a project or component already part of the Authority's long-term planning process if it uses innovative and unique solutions to offer added value, such as cost reduction, enhanced financing/funding options, technical innovation, schedule acceleration and/or risk transfer.

The Authority commits to dealing professionally with the proposer and to conducting this unsolicited proposals process as efficiently as possible, given the nature of the proposal and the Authority's needs. The Authority does not commit to any particular outcome (including that Authority engagement with the proposer will lead to a procurement or a contract with the proposer) nor to any specific timeframe, even if the proposer has paid the Authority an Optional Detailed Proposal Evaluation Charge (defined in section 4.2.2).

All costs incurred by a proposer in discussing an Unsolicited Proposal with the Authority, in preparing any materials, and in any way engaging with the Authority regarding an Unsolicited Proposal will be borne solely and completely by the proposer.

## 2. SCOPE AND APPLICATION

These procedures apply to Unsolicited Proposals received by the Authority.

- 2.1 *What is an Unsolicited Proposal?* It is a written proposal submitted to the Authority on the initiative of the submitter for the purpose of proposing a unique and innovative idea that could lead to a contract with the Authority, not in response to a formal or informal request.
- 2.2 What distinguishes an Unsolicited Proposal? It must have the following qualities:
  - 2.2.1 Innovative and unique;
  - 2.2.2 Independently originated and developed by the proposer;
  - 2.2.3 Of sufficient size and benefit to potentially warrant a full review process by the Authority;
  - 2.2.4 Prepared without the Authority's supervision, endorsement, direction, or direct involvement; and
  - 2.2.5 Sufficiently detailed that its benefits in support of the Authority's mission and responsibilities are apparent.
  - 2.2.6 Submitted utilizing Exhibit A of this policy.

# **2.3** What types of proposals do not qualify as an Unsolicited Proposal? An Unsolicited Proposal is not:

- 2.3.1 A suggestion or idea for further research or further development by the Authority without further involvement of the offering party;
- 2.3.2 An offer of standard, off-the-shelf products or services to satisfy a present or future known, standard Authority need;
- 2.3.3 Requests for product endorsement or capital funds to bring a product to market;
- 2.3.4 An offer responding to an Authority-published solicitation (e.g., a Request for Proposals or Request for Qualifications); or
- 2.3.5 A proposal that is too vague or lacks sufficient detail to allow evaluation.

## 3. PROCESS

Unsolicited Proposals shall be submitted to the Authority Strategic Initiatives Office (SIO). The Authority receives and evaluates Unsolicited Proposals using a two-phased approach. Phase One is intake and screening of Conceptual Proposals. Phase Two is detailed evaluation and decision regarding Detailed Proposals (which are Conceptual Proposals that are enhanced with more detail if they continue after Phase One). At any time, if the Authority decides to proceed to procurement, the Authority's general procurement procedures and policies (as applicable to competitive and sole-source procurements) will apply.

The Authority may, at any time, decide not to proceed any further with a received Unsolicited Proposal. SIO shall inform the proposer in writing of any such decision.

#### 4. PROCEDURE

#### 4.1 Phase One – Intake and Screening of Conceptual Proposal

- 4.1.1 *Introduction Conceptual Proposal*. The purpose of Phase One is for the Authority to receive written, concept-level proposals and to screen those proposals to determine whether the Authority would like to review additional information in Phase Two.
- 4.1.2 *Required Submission Content Conceptual Proposal*. Conceptual Proposals should include the information identified in the Conceptual Proposal Form (Exhibit A to this Policy).

#### 4.1.3 Confidential Information; Proposals Subject to Public Records Act.

- 4.1.3.1 If the Proposer includes any information that the Proposer considers to be a trade secret, proprietary, trademarked, or copyrighted as part of an Unsolicited Proposal, the Proposer will be responsible for marking such information as "Confidential". Generally speaking, the Authority will not use such information received through an Unsolicited Proposal as the basis, or part of the basis, for a solicitation or in negotiations with any other firm, unless the proposer is notified of and agrees to the intended use. Concepts or ideas are not considered proprietary by the Authority but specific implementing methodologies that are unique to the proposer may be recognized.
- 4.1.3.2 To aid in implementation of the above section, The Proposer shall place a cover sheet on any parts of the Unsolicited Proposal that contain information that the Proposer considers to be a trade secret, proprietary, trademarked, or copyrighted.

- 4.1.3.3 Notwithstanding the above, proposers should recognize that all materials submitted to the Authority as part of an Unsolicited Proposal could be considered records subject to the California Public Records Act (PRA). The Authority will use its best efforts to inform the proposer of any PRA request for any proposal materials marked as trade secret or proprietary. Under no circumstances will the Authority be liable to a proposer related to Authority actions to comply with the PRA.
- 4.1.3.4 To the extent the Authority determines that it must share Authority-created confidential information with the proposer in order to continue discussions with the proposer, the Authority may require the proposer to sign a confidentiality agreement.

#### 4.1.4 Process – Conceptual Proposal.

- 4.1.4.1 Threshold Requirements and Review (Intake). Upon receipt of an Unsolicited Proposal, SIO staff will take the following threshold steps:
  - a. Promptly acknowledge, in writing to the submitter, receipt of the proposal;
  - b. Determine whether the proposal meets the definition of an Unsolicited Proposal (see sections 2.2 and 2.3);
  - c. Contains the required content (per Exhibit A);
  - d. Has been approved by a responsible official or other representative authorized to contractually obligate the proposer; and
  - e. Complies with the marking requirements for use and disclosure of data (per Exhibit A).
- 4.1.4.2 <u>Conceptual Proposal Review Process.</u> If the proposal meets the threshold requirements, SIO staff will take the following steps:
  - a. Log in the proposal and assign it a number;
  - b. Set and notify the proposer of the schedule for internal evaluation;
  - c. After consulting the Chief Counsel, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operator Officer, the head of SIO will identify a team of persons to review the proposal (Evaluation Team) that includes technical and financial subject-matter experts related to the Unsolicited Proposal (If evaluation by others outside of the Authority and its contract staff (including its contract financial advisors) is desired, the proposer's permission will be requested.);

- d. Schedule a meeting with the proposer, if the Authority determines that a meeting would be helpful to more fully understand the proposal;
- e. Facilitate the evaluation process as needed, applying the evaluation criteria in section 4.1.4.3; and

f. After evaluation, notify the Authority Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Evaluation Team's recommendation and subject to the CEO's concurrence, notify the proposer of the Authority's decision. The possible outcomes may be to discontinue the process, proceed to Phase Two, or pursue a procurement without Phase Two. The Authority will provide a general explanation of the reasons for the decision.

- 4.1.4.3 <u>Conceptual Proposal Review Evaluation Criteria</u>. At Phase One, the Evaluation Team will determine the evaluation criteria as necessary to reflect the specific proposal, but generally will consider the following factors:
  - a. The proposal offers direct or anticipated benefits to the Authority, its passengers, and the community;
  - b. The proposal satisfies a need for the Authority that can be accommodated in a manner consistent with the Authority's objectives and goals;
  - c. The proposal offers unique goods or services that the Authority did not intend to purchase through the normal Authority contract process;
  - d. If the proposal contains significant financial, technical and legal components, those disciplines have approved an action that proceeds to Phase Two; and
  - e. Other factors appropriate for the particular proposal.

#### 4.2 Phase Two – Detailed Proposal, Evaluation and Decision

- 4.2.1 *Introduction Detailed Proposal.* The purpose of Phase Two is for the Authority to receive more detailed technical and financial information to fully understand and evaluate the proposal, if necessary, to determine whether to seek a procurement.
- 4.2.2 **Optional Detailed Proposal Evaluation Charge.** The Evaluation Team and SIO may determine that review of a specific Detailed Proposal will require significant expenditure of Authority staff, contract staff and/or outside consultant resources (including potentially costly feasibility and engineering/technical studies) that should be borne by the proposer rather than the Authority, based on the nature of

the proposal and the expected relative benefits. In such case, the Authority will notify the proposer and will not proceed with evaluation of the Detailed Proposal until the Authority receives from the proposer a non-refundable, non-negotiable Detailed Proposal Evaluation Charge per the schedule contained in Exhibit B, in the form of a cashier's check payable to the Authority (or wire transfer, as authorized by the Authority).

- 4.2.3 Process Detailed Proposal; Request for Detailed Proposal. If the Authority desires to proceed to Phase Two, SIO will issue to the proposer a Request for a Detailed Proposal that formally tells the proposer to proceed to Phase Two. Depending on the circumstances, the Request may include the following:
  - 4.2.3.1 Essential terms and conditions the Authority believes could or should be part of any agreement between the Authority and the proposer that would effectuate the proposal;
  - 4.2.3.2 Schedule and important deadlines for the proposer;
  - 4.2.3.3 Specific technical, financial or other information the Authority needs from the proposer to fully evaluate the proposal;
  - 4.2.3.4 Request for Detailed Proposal Evaluation Charge, if required;
  - 4.2.3.5 Evaluation criteria; and
  - 4.2.3.6 Requests for specific modifications or clarifications to the scope of the original proposal.
- 4.2.4 **Processing.** Once the Detailed Proposal is received, SIO will assemble an Evaluation Team and provide the final disposition of the proposal. Outside advisors (i.e., those outside of the Authority and its staff, including its contract financial advisors, will be consulted only if the Authority evaluation team deems it necessary and beneficial; if evaluation by others outside of the Authority is deemed necessary, the proposer's permission will be requested. The processes related to proprietary information (section 4.1.3) continue to apply in Phase Two.
- 4.2.5 *Content Detailed Proposal*. In addition to the information provided in Phase One, a Detailed Proposal must, at a minimum, include the following information:

4.2.5.1 Technical Information.

a. Names and professional information of the proposer's key personnel who would be committed to the project;

- b. Type of support needed from the Authority; e.g., facilities, equipment, materials, or personnel resources;
- c. A sufficiently detailed description of the scope of work being offered to allow the Authority to evaluate the value received for the price proposed;
- d. Estimated price or total estimated cost for the effort and/or the revenue generated in sufficient detail for meaningful evaluation, including an annual cash flow for the project;
- e. A schedule for the implementation, including specific details for any property and/or services to be provided by the Authority;
- f. Information responsive to the Request for a Detailed Proposal; and
- g. Proposed duration of effort.
- 4.2.5.2 Supporting Information.
  - a. Type of contract preferred;
  - b. Description of the proposer organization, previous experience in the field, and facilities to be used;
  - c. Required statements, if applicable, about organizational conflicts of interest (based on the Authority's Organizational Conflicts of Interest policy), and environmental impacts;
  - d. Information demonstrating to the Authority that the proposer has the necessary financial resources to complete the project, as determined by SIO staff. Such information may include (i) financial statements, including an Auditor's Report Letter or an Accountant's Review Letter, Balance Sheets, Statements of Income and Stockholder's Equity, and a Statement of Change in Financial Position; (ii) un-audited balance sheets; (iii) names of banks or other financial institutions with which the proposer conducts business; and (iv) letter of credit commitments;
  - e. Legal ability for the Authority to participate in all aspects of the proposal requiring Authority action;
  - f. Confirmation that the proposal and all components that it would create can comply with all applicable existing federal, state, regional and local laws; and

- g. Governmental approvals (including but not limited to environmental) required for the proposal.
- 4.2.6 *Evaluation Detailed Proposal*. Detailed Proposals will be evaluated promptly in accordance with the criteria set out in this section.
  - 4.2.6.1 <u>Threshold Review</u>. Before initiating a comprehensive evaluation, SIO staff will determine if the Detailed Proposal continues to meet the threshold requirements set out in Phase One and the requirements specifically set out in the Request for Detailed Proposal.
  - 4.2.6.2 <u>Evaluation Criteria</u>. At Phase Two, the evaluation team will consider the same evaluation criteria set forth in Phase One in addition to following factors:
    - a. The proposer's capabilities, related experience, facilities, techniques, or unique combinations of these which are integral factors for achieving the proposal objectives;
    - b. The proposer's financial capacity to deliver the goods or services defined in the proposal;
    - c. Viability of the proposed schedule and the Authority's ability to meet activities required of the Authority;
    - d. The Authority's capacity to enter into a contract under its current legislative authorities;
    - e. The qualifications, capabilities, and experience of key personnel who are critical in achieving the proposal objectives;
    - f. The specific details of the cost/revenue generated; and
    - g. Any other factors appropriate for the particular proposal.
- 4.2.7 **Recommendation/Decision.** The Evaluation Team will make a recommendation on the disposition (i.e., termination or proceed to procurement) of the Detailed Proposal to the Authority's CEO for review and approval. If Board of Directors' approval is required, the proposer will be notified of the date of the meeting when the proposal will be discussed.

#### 4.3 Procurement

Upon the necessary approval, the procurement shall take place consistent with the Authority's standard procurement procedures. The procurement shall be on a competitive basis unless the facts, state law, and policy allow and the Authority determines otherwise.

# 5. ATTACHMENTS

- Exhibit A Phase One: Conceptual Proposal Form
- Exhibit B Detailed Proposal Evaluation Charge Schedule (Unsolicited Proposals)

# 6. CONTACT

For questions regarding this document, please contact the Strategy and Innovation Branch.

## EXHIBIT A

# UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS SUBMITTED TO THE AUTHORITY PHASE ONE: CONCEPTUAL PROPOSAL FORM

Phase One of the Authority's Unsolicited Proposal process involves submitting this form. Submit only the information required by this form. If the Authority determines that the proposal should proceed to Phase Two, the Authority will issue a Request for Detailed Proposal.

#### PART 1. BASIC INFORMATION

**Proposer Information**:

Name:\_\_\_\_\_

Address:\_\_\_\_\_\_

Further Contact Information:

Type of Organization:\_\_\_\_\_

Technical Personnel Names & Contact Information:

Business Personnel Names & Contact Information:

These individuals should be responsible for answering the Authority's technical or business questions concerning the proposal or any subsequent agreement concerning the proposal.

## PART 2. TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Title of the Proposal:\_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ Abstract of the Proposal is attached. To move forward in the Unsolicited Proposal process, the Abstract must include a brief-but complete- discussion of the following:
  - (1) Objectives;
  - (2) Method of approach;
  - (3) Nature and extent of anticipated results; and

(4) Manner in which the work will help support accomplishment of the Authority's mission.

Technical expertise the proposer needs from the Authority:\_\_\_\_\_

# PART 3. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>

Proposed Price or Total Estimated Cost:\_\_\_\_\_

Revenue:

Be concise but provide sufficient detail for the Authority to meaningfully evaluate the Proposal.

Financial information the proposer needs from the Authority:

## PART 4. PROCEDURAL INFORMATION

Period of Time for which the proposal is valid:\_\_\_\_\_\_

Proprietary data has been submitted with this proposal. Any proprietary data (but only such data) must be clearly designated.

Other government entities or private parties have received this proposal. Please explain:

- Other government entities or private parties may provide funding for this proposal.
  Please explain:
- There are patents, copyrights and/or trademarks applicable to the goods or services proposed.
  Please explain:

There is additional information not requested in this form that would allow the Authority to evaluate this proposal at this conceptual phase. Describe:\_\_\_\_\_

## PART 5. <u>SIGNATURE.</u>

Name:

Date:

Title:

The individual who signs this form must be authorized to represent and contractually obligate the Proposer

## EXHIBIT B

## DETAILED PROPOSAL EVALUATION CHARGE SCHEDULE (UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS)

## ESTIMATED CAPITAL COST OF PROJECT <u>PROPOSED IN THE</u> <u>UNSOLICITED PROPOSAL</u>

< \$100 million \$100 million to <\$250 million \$250 million to <\$500 million \$500 million to <\$1 billion >\$1 billion

## DETAILED PROPOSAL EVALUATION CHARGE

\$35,000 \$60,000 \$85,000 \$110,000 \$135,000

California High-Speed Rail Authority April 2017, Revision 1 PROC-BOARD-02 13 | P a g e