

Submission I001 (Ramon and Angela, January 16, 2018)

	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/17/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Ramon and Angela
Last Name :	
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	
State :	
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	
Email :	amoreno17@att.net
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Issues :	
Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Hello,	
Hello, Just wanted to send this messag Don't really want the train but train depot on Truxtun Ave. This	e regarding the location of the high speed train depot in Bakersfield, 1st, if its happens, the best location for the depot in Bakersfield, is by the existing makes the most sensible location for downtown, the other location is on the
Hello, Just wanted to send this messag Don't really want the train but train depot on Truxtun Ave. This outskirts and its not in a very nice	e regarding the location of the high speed train depot in Bakersfield, 1st, if its happens, the best location for the depot in Bakersfield, is by the existing makes the most sensible location for downtown, the other location is on the
Hello, Just wanted to send this messag Don't really want the train but	e regarding the location of the high speed train depot in Bakersfield, 1st, if its happens, the best location for the depot in Bakersfield, is by the existing makes the most sensible location for downtown, the other location is on the
Hello, Just wanted to send this messag Don't really want the train but train depot on Truxtun Ave. This outskirts and its not in a very nice Sincerely,	e regarding the location of the high speed train depot in Bakersfield, 1st, if its happens, the best location for the depot in Bakersfield, is by the existing makes the most sensible location for downtown, the other location is on the

California High-Speed Rail Authority

October 2018 Page | 25-1

California High-Speed Rail Supplemental EIR Fresno to Bakersfield Section

This Final Supplemental EIR is prepared by the Authority pursuant to its responsibilities as a lead agency under CEQA. This Final Supplemental EIR does not specifically address FRA's NEPA compliance and should not be understood to substitute for a separate Final Supplemental EIS.

Response to Submission I001 (Ramon and Angela, January 16, 2018)

1001-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



Submission I002 (Kyle A, December 20, 2017)

	Fresno - Bakersfield (2014 Jun	
	Status :	Action Pending
	Record Date :	12/20/2017
	Response Requested :	
	Affiliation Type :	Individual
	Interest As :	Individual
	Submission Date :	12/20/2017
	Submission Method :	Project Email
	First Name :	Kyle
	Last Name :	A
	Professional Title :	
	Business/Organization :	
	Address :	
	Apt./Suite No. :	
	City :	
	State :	
	Zip Code :	
	Telephone :	
	Email :	kamidon74@gmail.com
	Email Subscription :	
	Cell Phone :	
	Add to Mailing List :	
	Stakeholder Comments/Issues	:
1	We need to completely stop this	s nonsense, the train will not be economical
	nor profitable, so long as it's rar	
21		ugh to deal with to last several lifetimes,
-		with red tape murdering businesses, to our
	, ,	ill not enough to pay for the pensions of
	government employees	
	To think I was a liberal a month	agoWe need a significant reduction in
	government here in California, r	not to mention the elimination of this
I	ridiculously costly project.	
3	Please please stop wasting our	money and get rid of the high speed rail,
		and again will never be profitable.
-	Thank you and have a nice day	
	EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes

Response to Submission I002 (Kyle A, December 20, 2017)

1002-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

1002-2

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

1002-3

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-09: Oppose HSR Project (e.g., Cost; Funding; Impacts on Cities, Counties, Communities, Farmland, Agriculture, Natural Environment, Wildlife and Habitat, Air Quality, Business, Land Access, and Residential).

October 2018



Submission I003 (Charles Aguilera, January 16, 2018)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Charles
Last Name :	Aguilera
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	
State :	
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	
Email :	caguilera2120@gmail.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Issues	s:
think the station going throug	h Bakersfield should be placed on Truxtun
Ave. Thank you.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
,	
EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes

Response to Submission I003 (Charles Aguilera, January 16, 2018)

1003-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018

Submission I004 (Pam Angel, January 16, 2018)

Fresho - Bakerstield (2014 June	++) - RECORD #424 DETAIL
Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/19/2018
Response Requested :	No
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Program Info Line
First Name :	Pam
Last Name :	Angel
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	
State :	CA
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	661-301-5049
Email :	
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	No
Stakeholder Comments/Issues	

I004-1 Hi, my name is Pam Angel I'm uh, a person that lives in Bakersfield, California and I'm concerned about the placement of the High-Speed Rail Train station in Bakersfield. I do not want it where our City Council said to put it, I want it downtown on Truxtun Avenue and my phone number is area code 661-301-5049. That's again, I do not want it where the City of Bakersfield has said they want it, uh, by the council because they did not give us, as public members an opportunity like you're giving us an opportunity they did not give us that kind of an opportunity to express our opinions in an open forum during the day wh- or evening or more than one time. The way they did it was not a very good way to do it. So, I want you to know I want it to be put on Bakersfield downtown on Truxtun Avenue, thank you.
EIR/EIS Comment: Yes

Official Comment Period : Yes

Response to Submission I004 (Pam Angel, January 16, 2018)

1004-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



1005-2**1** 1005-3

Submission I005 (Anthony Ansolabehere, December 19, 2017)

Response Requested : Individual Affiliation Type : Individual Interest As : Individual Submission Date : 12/19/2017 Submission Method : Website First Name : Anthony Last Name : Ansolabehere Professional Title : Hermitian Business/Organization : Ansolabehere Address : Apt/Suite No. : City : Bakersfield State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468	Status :	Action Pending
Affiliation Type : Individual Interest As : Individual Submission Date : 12/19/2017 Submission Method : Website First Name : Anthony Last Name : Ansolabehere Professional Title : Business/Organization : Address : Apt/Suite No. : City : Bakersfield State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Image and the provide and	Record Date :	12/19/2017
Interest As in Individual Submission Date : 12/19/2017 Submission Date : 12/19/2017 Submission Method : Website First Name : Anthony Last Name : Antolony Last Name : Ansolabehere Professional Title : Business/Organization : Address : Adtress : Apt/Suite No. : City : Bakersfield State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Paimdale	Response Requested :	
Submission Date : 12/19/2017 Submission Method : Website First Name : Anthony Last Name : Ansolabehere Professional Title : Business/Organization : Address : Apt/Suite No. : City : Bakersfield State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Malling List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has bed Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has	Affiliation Type :	Individual
Submission Method : Website First Name : Anthony Last Name : Ansolabehere Professional Title : Business/Organization : Address : Apt/Suite No. : City : Bakersfield State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council jositions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in throroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has bed Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooni	Interest As :	Individual
First Name : Antohony Last Name : Ansolabehere Professional Title : Business/Organization : Address : Address : Apt/Suite No. : City : City : Bakersfield State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Herments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in throroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one	Submission Date :	12/19/2017
Last Name : Ansolabehere Professional Title : Ansolabehere Professional Title : Business/Organization : Apt/Suite No. : City : Bakersfield : State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	Submission Method :	Website
Professional Title : Business/Organization : Address : Apt/Suite No. : City : Bakersfield State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to ball them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	First Name :	Anthony
Business/Organization : Address : Apt/Suite No. : City : Bakersfield State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to ball them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	Last Name :	Ansolabehere
Address : Apt/Suite No. : City : Bakersfield State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the	Professional Title :	
Apt/Suite No.: City : Bakersfield State : CA Stop Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencices have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield	Business/Organization :	
City: Bakersfield State: CA Zip Code: 93301 Telephone: 6613230468 Email: ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email: Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone: Add to Mailing List: Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues: Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has bed Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to ball them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious	Address :	
State : CA Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencices have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a histo	Apt./Suite No. :	
Zip Code : 93301 Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Maling List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach	City :	
Telephone : 6613230468 Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to ball them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged d	State :	
Email : ansolabehere@yahoo.com Email Subscription : Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically,	Zip Code :	
Email Subscription: Bakersfield to Palmdale , Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone : Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	Telephone :	
Central Valley, Locally Generated Alternative (Bakersfield) Cell Phone: Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues: Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	Email :	0,
Add to Mailing List : Yes Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	Email Subscription :	
Stakeholder Comments/Issues : Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't		
Our family has been in Bakersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	-	
come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to ball them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves.	Stakeholder Comments/Iss	sues :
come. Throughout my career I have been active in local government and politics. I managed the County Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to ball them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves.	Our family has been in Bak	cersfield for over 100 years and we want to see this city thrive for many years to
Assessor's office for 12 years before my retirement. One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	-	
One thing I have learned over the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't		
job. Council members are only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	10000001 0 01100 101 12 yea	
thoroughly analyzing the issues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	One thing I have learned ov	ver the years, is that Bakersfield City Council positions are more like a hobby than a
the City Manager. When you leave complex decisions in the hands of just one person it's a recipe for disaster. This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	job. Council members are c	only paid \$100/month. The positions don't attract people that are interested in
This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	thoroughly analyzing the iss	sues. Most of the time the City Council just rubber stamps the recommendations of
This approach has led Bakersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't		
capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	,	
capital projects and has been ignoring their ballooning pension debt. This has placed Bakersfield in a severe financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	This approach has led Bake	ersfield to financial ruin. Bakersfield has been spending way too much money on
financial crisis which is affecting their judgement. They are desperately trying to get a sales tax increase to bail them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't		
them out. It appears that the City Council is favoring the F Street location because it will provide a much needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't		
needed interchange at 204 and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves. Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	manoial chois which is alle	
Some serious deficiencies have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	them out it ennears that th	te ony council is lavoring the F Street location because it will provide a much
achieve a predetermined outcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't		and E Observe An intersels are a thread by site and the found to be ited the
down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't		and F Street. An interchange that the city can't afford to build themselves.
down in litigation because of this approach to creating EIRs. Ironically, since the city is out of money, they don't	needed interchange at 204	
	needed interchange at 204 Some serious deficiencies I	have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to
	needed interchange at 204 Some serious deficiencies I achieve a predetermined ou	have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to utcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged
evesores for many years to come.	needed interchange at 204 Some serious deficiencies I achieve a predetermined ou	have been pointed out in the LGR EIR. Bakersfield has a history of creating EIRs to utcome. Currently Bakersfield has two major road construction projects bogged

1005-41 There has been very little public discussion about the LGR EIR. There aren't many people interested in

1005-4 attending this public hearing a week before Christmas. The City Council decided to adopt this station in closed session with no public comment.

1005-5 Please look at the data and base your decision on the facts. Don't let a handful of part time people have undue influence over the decision making process. Having the station located on a larger site, next to Amtrak, and in the core of downtown just makes more sense.

For these reasons I oppose the F Street alignment and support the hybrid (Truxtun) alignment.
EIR/EIS Comment : Yes
Official Comment Period : Yes

Response to Submission I005 (Anthony Ansolabehere, December 19, 2017)

1005-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

1005-2

The commenter does not provide specificity regarding the deficiencies in the Supplemental EIR/EIS; therefore, no further response to this comment can be provided.

1005-3

The commenter states that the City of Bakersfield releases EIRs with predetermined outcomes. It should be noted that the Authority is the CEQA Lead Agency for the Final Supplemental EIR; the City of Bakersfield did not fund or author any portion of the Final Supplemental EIR.

As noted by the commenter, the City of Bakersfield would be required to complete the CEQA process before implementing planned projects.

1005-4

The commenter suggests that the Supplemental EIR/EIS has elicited little public discussion and that few people were interested in attending the Public Hearing. The Notice of Availability was distributed to more than 15,000 recipients: owners/occupants within 300 feet of the F-B LGA and May 2014 Project footprints; members of the public who have requested to be on the project distribution list; federal, state, and local agency representatives with an interest in the project; tribal representatives who have requested consultation; and schools within 0.25 mile of the construction footprint. The commenter suggested that during closed session, the Bakersfield City Council adopted a resolution identifying the F Street Station as the preferred station site. The City Council hosted a public workshop on December 13, 2017 after which, the City Council voted unanimously to adopt a resolution in support of the F-B LGA.

1005-5

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



Submission I006 (Dwayne Anthony, January 16, 2018)

Status :	lune+) - RECORD #388 DETAIL Action Pending	
Record Date :	1/17/2018	
Response Requested :	1,11,2010	
Affiliation Type :	Individual	
Interest As :	Individual	
Submission Date :	1/16/2018	
Submission Method :	Project Email	
First Name :	Dwayne	
Last Name :	Anthony	
Professional Title :		
Business/Organization :		
Address :		
Apt./Suite No. :		
City :		
State :		
Zip Code :		
Telephone :		
Email :	dekkanthony@aol.com	
Email Subscription :		
Cell Phone :		
Add to Mailing List :		
Stakeholder Comments/Issu	les :	

1006-1

I believe that consideration be given to making Truxtun as the preferred choice for the Bakersfield Station location. It would lie in with the Amtrak Station. GET Buses and Greyhound could be provided space creating a true multi-modal hub. It is in walking distance of Rabobank Arena, Marriott Hotel, Government Facilities, Downtown Amenities, and has existing surface roads to gain access to. The F Street/ Golden State Site is away from downtown requiring the use of vehicles to gain access to downtown instead of walking reducing carbon footprints. It would displace the Bakersfield Homeless Center which provides services to those in need. For these reasons I feel that Truxtun is the preferred location for HSR. Thank you. Sent from my iPhone EIR/EIS Comment : Yes

Official Comment Period : Yes

Response to Submission I006 (Dwayne Anthony, January 16, 2018)

1006-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-05: Proximity of F Street Station to Downtown and Amtrak Station, FB-LGA-Response-General-08: Support of/Opposition to the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated and May 2014 Project Alternatives.

Both alignment alternatives displace the Bakersfield Homeless Center.

October 2018



Submission I007 (John Antonino, January 16, 2018)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/17/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	John
Last Name :	Antonino
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	
State :	
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	
Email :	johncantonino@gmail.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	sues :
I would like to voice my opi	nion on the location of the HSR station for Bakersfield. I would suggest the location
in downtown Bakersfield of	
an downtown Dakersheid On	Tor Haxdin we.
Sent from my iPhone	
EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes

EIR/EIS Comment : Yes Official Comment Period : Yes

Response to Submission I007 (John Antonino, January 16, 2018)

1007-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



Submission I008 (Stephanie Arellano, SEIU 521, December 22, 2017)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	12/22/2017
Response Requested :	No
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	12/22/2017
Submission Method :	Website
First Name :	Stephanie
Last Name :	Arellano
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	SEIU 521
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93306
Telephone :	6617428331
Email :	srka909@gmail.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	No
Stakeholder Comments/Issu	les :

 1008-1
 This high speed rail project needs to come through Bakersfield CA. Our city is growing and is always a midpoint between Los Angeles, Fresno, and San Francisco. Bringing this rail system here will not only help to modernize this town, it will bring in more tourists and opportunities to the community as a whole. EIR/EIS Comment : Yes

 Official Comment Period :
 Yes

Response to Submission I008 (Stephanie Arellano, SEIU 521, December 22, 2017)

1008-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-General-07: General Support of HSR.

October 2018



Submission I009 (Carolyn Armstrong, November 26, 2017)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	11/26/2017
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
nterest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	11/26/2017
Submission Method :	Website
First Name :	Carolyn
Last Name :	Armstrong
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93301
Telephone :	
Email :	spikechic@outlook.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	No
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	sues :

 I009-1
 Bakersfield city is trying to force the the High Speed Rail station to be located at F Street and Golden State

 Ave., while completely ignoring their previous approval of the recommended location on Truxtun Ave. near the current Amtrak station. This will destroy the surrounding residential communities and will not benefit downtown Bakersfield at all. The Truxtun location for the station is better for all.

 EII/EIS Comment :
 Yes

 Official Comment Period :
 Yes

Response to Submission I009 (Carolyn Armstrong, November 26, 2017)

1009-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



Submission I010 (Carolyn Armstrong, November 27, 2017)

Status :	e+) - RECORD #184 DETAIL Action Pending
Record Date :	12/15/2017
Response Requested :	12/10/2011
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	11/27/2017
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Carolyn
Last Name :	Armstrong
Professional Title :	741164 619
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	
State :	
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	
Email :	spikechic@outlook.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Issues	:
Bakersfield city is trying to force	the the High Speed Rail station to
, , ,	en State Ave., while completely ignoring
	commended location on Truxtun Ave. near
their previous approval of the re-	
	will destroy the surrounding
the current Amtrak station. This	, .
the current Amtrak station. This residential communities, landma	rk businesses, and an excellent school.
the current Amtrak station. This residential communities, landma It will not benefit downtown Bake	rk businesses, and an excellent school. ersfield at all. The Truxtun Avenue
the current Amtrak station. This residential communities, landma	rk businesses, and an excellent school. ersfield at all. The Truxtun Avenue
the current Amtrak station. This residential communities, landma It will not benefit downtown Bake	rk businesses, and an excellent school. ersfield at all. The Truxtun Avenue
the current Amtrak station. This residential communities, landma It will not benefit downtown Bake	rk businesses, and an excellent school. ersfield at all. The Truxtun Avenue
the current Amtrak station. This residential communities, landma It will not benefit downtown Bake	rk businesses, and an excellent school. ersfield at all. The Truxtun Avenue
the current Amtrak station. This residential communities, landma It will not benefit downtown Bake	rk businesses, and an excellent school. ersfield at all. The Truxtun Avenue
the current Amtrak station. This residential communities, landma It will not benefit downtown Bake	rk businesses, and an excellent school. ersfield at all. The Truxtun Avenue
the current Amtrak station. This residential communities, landma It will not benefit downtown Bake location for the station is better f	rk businesses, and an excellent school. ersfield at all. The Truxtun Avenue

EIR/EIS Comment : Yes Official Comment Period : Yes

Response to Submission I010 (Carolyn Armstrong, November 27, 2017)

1010-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



Submission I011 (Richard Armstrong, January 16, 2018)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Website
First Name :	Richard
Last Name :	Armstrong
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93309
Telephone :	
Email :	richarmstrong@email.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	No
Stakeholder Comments/Issue	s:
I am a lifelong resident of Bak	ersfield who has witnessed several poor transportation decisions in
Bakersfield's past. I feel	that the F street location for the HSR terminal is another poor choice. I
recommend the Truxtun Aven	ue location, as it will serve the Bakersfield community better than the other
Thank you.	
EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes

Official Comment Period : Yes

1011-1

Response to Submission I011 (Richard Armstrong, January 16, 2018)

I011-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



I012-1

Submission I012 (Ken Ballou, January 16, 2018)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/17/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Ken
Last Name :	Ballou
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	
State :	
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	
Email :	kballou68@icloud.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	sues :
The high speed rail and the	community of Bakersfield would be best served if the station is located at Truxtun
	State would make it less convenient for visitors traveling to Bakersfield.
Ken Ballou	
Kon Ballou	
Sent from my iPhone	
EIR/EIS Comment :	Vec

EIR/EIS Comment : Yes Official Comment Period : Yes

Response to Submission I012 (Ken Ballou, January 16, 2018)

1012-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



Submission I013 (Baynes Bank, January 16, 2018)

Status :	ne+) - RECORD #345 DETAIL Action Pending
Record Date :	1/16/2018
	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type : Interest As :	Individual
	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Baynes
Last Name :	Bank
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	661-333-3881
Email :	bbank@bak.rr.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Issue	25 :
This message is to support a	downtown station on Truxtun Avenue and NOT "F" Street.
Thank you,	
Baynes Bank	
Bakersfield, CA	
661-333-3881	
001-000-0001	
Sent from my iPhone EIR/EIS Comment :	Mar.
	Yes
Official Comment Period :	Yes

Response to Submission I013 (Baynes Bank, January 16, 2018)

1013-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



Submission I014 (Alexander Barber, November 10, 2017)

	France Balantiald (2014 In				
	Fresno - Bakersfield (2014 Ju Status :		1014-3	plan) it can not offer a direct co	onnection to either Metrolink's Inland
	Status : Record Date :	Action Pending 12/15/2017		Empire/Orange County line or	to Santa Ana's planned and funded OC
		12/15/2017		Streetcar to Garden Grove.	
	Response Requested :	to divide at			
	Affiliation Type :	Individual		The electrified HSR tracks sho	uld be extended the short distance south
	Interest As :	Individual			
	Submission Date :	11/10/2017		from Anaheim to the existing S	anta Ana depot.
	Submission Method :	Project Email			
	First Name :	Alexander			nd the most densely populated city in
	Last Name :	Barber	I	Orange County.	
	Professional Title :				
	Business/Organization :			EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes
	Address :	2408 S GRAND AVE APT 2		Official Comment Period :	Yes
	Apt./Suite No. :				
	City :	Los Angeles			
	State :	CA			
	Zip Code :	90007			
	Telephone :				
	Email :	lastmilerr@gmail.com			
	Email Subscription :				
	Cell Phone :				
	Add to Mailing List :				
	Stakeholder Comments/Issue	es :			
	Hi,				
1014-1	I think it is an enormous mista	ake not to use the existing, walkable			
	downtown Bakersfield Amtrak	station for high-speed rail.			
1014-2	The northern option which loo	oks to be the one HSR is leaning towards is			
	clearly only better from a polit	-			
		···· ;			
	On the merits, the existing sta	ation is the clear choice, and we will			
		e ourselves with an unnecessary transit			
'	gap.				
	Kind Regards,				
	Kinu Regards,				
	?? Alex Barber				
	2408 S GRAND AVE APT 2				
	LOS ANGELES CA 90007				
	LOG ANOLLEG OA 20007				
1014-3	D.C. The couthorn log of LICD	abould terminate in Sonta Anal not in			
1014-3	-	R should terminate in Santa Ana, not in			
	Anaheim.				
I	If the train stops short in Anah	neim (as is the unfortunate current			

California High-Speed Rail Authority October 2018 California High-Speed Rail Supplemental EIR Fresno to Bakersfield Section

Response to Submission I014 (Alexander Barber, November 10, 2017)

1014-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-05: Proximity of F Street Station to Downtown and Amtrak Station.

1014-2

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

<u>1014-3</u>

Comment noted. The Statewide Program EIR/EIS (Authority and FRA 2005), available on the Authority's website, describes the evaluation of alternatives that determined the station locations. The commenter's input has been shared with the Southern California HSR Team.

October 2018



Submission I015 (Joe Bariffi, January 16, 2018)

Status :	June+) - RECORD #314 DETAIL Action Pending
Status : Record Date :	
	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Joe
Last Name :	Bariffi
Professional Title :	CSP
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	
State :	
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	
Email :	bmj2163@yahoo.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	sues :
My preference is to locate t	the new station on Truxton.
Joe Bariffi, CSP	
EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes

California High-Speed Rail Authority

Official Comment Period :

Yes

Response to Submission I015 (Joe Bariffi, January 16, 2018)

1015-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



Submission I016 (Marsha Barnden, Care Delivery Adventist Health, January 18, 2018)

Fresno - Bakersfield (2014 June	+) - RECORD #416 DETAIL
Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/19/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/18/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Marsha
Last Name :	Barnden
Professional Title :	Corporate Director Infection Prevention & Clinical Standards
Business/Organization :	Care Delivery Adventist Health
Address :	1075 Creekside Ridge Drive
Apt./Suite No. :	Suite 102
City :	Roseville
State :	CA
Zip Code :	95678
Telephone :	
Email :	BarndeMA@ah.org
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	661-301-4083
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Issues :	

I016-1 I am ADAMANTLY opposed to a station on F Street and Golden State. The station would be much better located at Amtrak on Truxtun. Additionally, the GET bus and Greyhound stations should also be relocated to Amtrak. In doing so, local law enforcement personnel can more easily patrol one area rather than locations spread out across downtown. The idea of putting this station on F Street and Golden State makes absolutely no sense whatsoever.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration.

Marsha Barnden| Corporate Director Infection Prevention & Clinical Standards | Care Delivery Adventist Health | 1075 Creekside Ridge Drive Suite 102 | Roseville, CA 95678 C 661-301-4083|marsha.barnden@org<mailto:661-301-4083|marsha.barnden@org>

EIR/EIS Comment : Yes Official Comment Period : No

Response to Submission I016 (Marsha Barnden, Care Delivery Adventist Health, January 18, 2018)

1016-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-03: Response to Comments Received After the Close of the Public Comment Period, FB-LGA-Response-General-08: Support of/Opposition to the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated and May 2014 Project Alternatives.

October 2018



Submission I017 (Bettina Belter, January 16, 2018)

Status :	June+) - RECORD #282 DETAIL Action Pending	1017-1	BEST location for California, because it's donated land that Doesn't NEED
Record Date :	1/16/2018		TO BE remediated.
Response Requested :	1/10/2010		F STREET WOULD MAKE US MISS OUT ON THIS.
Affiliation Type :	Individual		
Interest As :	Individual		6. Poor Station access for lower income and minority residents of OUR CITY.
Submission Date :	1/16/2018		F Street LOSES as it's FARTHER AWAY from east and southeast Bakersfield.
Submission Method :	Project Email		
First Name :	Bettina		Across the board, F STREET LOSES, and yet OUR CITY is trying to ram F
Last Name :	Belter		street down our throats. WHY?????? WE THE PEOPLE, DON''T WANT IT THE
Professional Title :	Deitei		Street down our tilloats. WHT (((()) WE THE PEOPLE, DON'T WANT IT THE
Business/Organization :			
Address :			Gratefully,
Apt./Suite No. :			Bettina and Gary Belter
City :			
State :			On Fri, Jan 12, 2018 at 9:34 PM, Bettina Belter <bettinabelter@gmail.com></bettinabelter@gmail.com>
Zip Code :			wrote:
Telephone :			
Email :	bettinabelter@gmail.com		>
Email Subscription :			>
Cell Phone :			>
Add to Mailing List :			>
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	sues :		>
[-] Forwarded messa	200		
From: Bettina Belter <bettin< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>> Sent from my iPhone</th></bettin<>			> Sent from my iPhone
			>
Date: Mon, Jan 15, 2018 at			EIR/EIS Comment : Yes
Subject: Re: HSR Station Id			Official Comment Period : Yes
To: stephanie.perez@dot.g	lov		
De se Ma De sea			
Dear Ms. Perez,			
There are MANY reaso	ns the F STREET location for HSR is a TERRIBLE		
idea;			
1 The comfort and EASE	for riders, F Street LOSES.		

2. - Potential FOR Economic DEVELOPMENT, F Street LOSES.

3. - Traffic CONGESTION Concerns, way TOO CLOSE to neighborhoods. F Street LOSES.

4. - Intermodel CONNECTIVITY, F Street LOSES!

5. - Transportation studies SUPPORT the DOWNTOWN Location. Shafter's heavy maintenance Facility is GREAT for Kern County and the

California High-Speed Rail Authority	October 2018
California High-Speed Rail Supplemental EIR Fresno to Bakersfield Section	Page 25-33

Response to Submission I017 (Bettina Belter, January 16, 2018)

1017-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-05: Proximity of F Street Station to Downtown and Amtrak Station, FB-LGA-Response-General-08: Support of/Opposition to the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated and May 2014 Project Alternatives.

October 2018



Submission I018 (Bettina and Gary Belter, January 16, 2018)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Bettina and Gary
Last Name :	Belter
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	2228 Beech Street
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93302
Telephone :	
Email :	bettinabelter@gmail.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
•	es :
Stakeholder Comments/Issue	25 :
Stakeholder Comments/Issue To Whom it may concern, Wanting you to KNOW, the M HSR Station. It defies REASC good of our community, neigh TRUXTUN IS A NO BRAINEF our Amtrak, Hotels, restaurant	AJORITY OF the people in Bakersfield, Ca. OPPOSE the F Street location for the DN. Clearly there's ANOTHER motivation here, and it's NOT what's GOOD for the aborhoods OR THE BUILDING OF OUR INFRASTRUCTURE.
To Whom it may concern, Wanting you to KNOW, the M. HSR Station. It defies REASC good of our community, neigh TRUXTUN IS A NO BRAINEF our Amtrak, Hotels, restaurant I'm/we're praying, you DO. Best regards, Bettina & Gary Belter 2228 Beech Street Bakersfield,Ca.	AJORITY OF the people in Bakersfield, Ca. OPPOSE the F Street location for the DN. Clearly there's ANOTHER motivation here, and it's NOT what's GOOD for the borhoods OR THE BUILDING OF OUR INFRASTRUCTURE. R. And, it's been APPROVED. Go with it, please. It's near our convention Center,

Response to Submission I018 (Bettina and Gary Belter, January 16, 2018)

1018-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

October 2018



1019-1

Submission I019 (Carol Bender, January 16, 2018)

	lune+) - RECORD #331 DETAIL
Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Carol
Last Name :	Bender
Professional Title :	R.N, P.H.N.
Business/Organization :	
Address :	13340 Smoke Creek Ave.
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93314
Telephone :	
Email :	CLMCBENDER@aol.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Issu	les :
I am submitting a scanned c	opy of my 3 page comment letter regarding the
-	ct Section Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS and will
also send a copy via mail.	o oodal brak oapponional En (Elo ana mil
also serio a copy via maii.	
	as any problem with the transmission of this
document.	
I would greatly appreciate it i	if you could e-mail me acknowledgement of its
receipt.	,
Best regards,	
Best regards, Carol McMahon Bender	
-	

EIR/EIS Comment :	
Official Comment Period :	
Attachments :	

Yes

Yes Scan Fresno to Bakersfield Project supp EIR EIS Comment,.pdf (2 mb) 331_Bender_email_011618_Attachment.pdf (2 mb)

California High-Speed Rail Authority	October 2018
California High-Speed Rail Supplemental EIR Fresno to Bakersfield Section	Page 25-37

Submission I019 (Carol Bender, January 16, 2018) - Continued

January 14, 2018

"Fresno to Bakersfield Project Section Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS Comment,"

770 L Street,

Suite 620 MS-1, Sacramento, CA 95814

am writing to comment on the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS: Fresno to Bakersfield Project Section and to state that the Locally Generated Alternative "F" Street Station is the best choice for our community.

1019-2 i previously submitted letters/documentation that are included in the 2014 EIR/EIS : Fresno to Bakersfield Project file and would like those letters included with this supplemental review, as well as those supplemental comment letters submitted prior to the May 6 2014 meeting vote to approve the 2014 EIR – I note that this current supplemental EIR/EIS references the 2014 EIR/EIS document extensively and many of the issues I discussed previously are still relevant for this supplemental EIR/EIS.

1019-3 One large concern that I discussed at that time had to do with the lack of mitigation required to protect workers and citizens from exposure to spores that cause Valley Feer (cocidioidomycosis). Although there were some mitigation measures added after these concerns were aired, I am still concerned that there are further measures that should be taken. I have discussed these concerns with Mark McLoughlin, Director of Environmental Services at length. These include the need to provide Valley Feever skin tests to workers on the project to help determine their immunity/prior exposure to the disease. It is well documented that there are specific high risk groups. Ignoring the measures that could prevent exposure to these groups would not only be detrimental to individual health, but it could create the potential for multiple liability lawsuits that could financially cripple the residents of California. We have seen that the prison system tests prisoners with Valley Fevers sin tests and will not place them in Central Valley prisons if they are found to be at risk. Shouldn't workers on the High Speed Rail (HSR) project and Valley citizens be educated and protected as well?

There does not appear to be a specific plan to educate and protect the public about risks during the construction phase. There was to be coordination with the public health departments in each county to provide this education and outreach. To date, I have not received any verification that the California High Speed Rail Authority (CAHSRA) has allotted funds or required its contractors to be involved in any billboard/TV campaigns, or local information sessions for residents with regard to skin testing and protections (masks etc.). Since the project broke ground in the Central Valley, cases of Valley Fever have increased. Due to our natural geography and weather patterns, fugitive dust and spores released from this project will migrate to the southern end of the Valley (Kern County). A California solar project has been under fire in the media recently when it was found that contractors were not ensuring that Valley Fever protections/mitigations were in place or followed. As it stands now, it appears that the CAHSR project only "offers" face masks as protection for workers...it does not require them. My

1019-3 question is: Are workers well informed enough, skin tested and knowledgeable enough to know what kind of risk they might be taking if they choose NOT to wear a mask?

What mitigation efforts are to be offered to citizens and high risk groups that reside or attend school/church in close proximity to ongoing construction? New measures need to be outlined in specific terms and put into place immediately. These measures should extend beyond basic mitigation for "fugitive dust", given the nature of how spores grow and are disseminated during projects with extensive soil disturbance, not to mention soil transport.

1019-4 With regard to the location of the Locally Generated Alternative (LGA) "F" Street Station:

1. After extensive review, it is clear the LGA and "F" Street station alignment is the least detrimental to the citizens of Kern County. It affects fewer residents directly. It affects fewer homes, schools, hospitals and community assets. It is by no means a perfect location, as there will be some unavoidable impacts, but it is the better of the two choices that have been offered. Many of the current concerns voiced against the LGA alternative appear to be from people that did not participate in the extensive public review process in 2014. It is my opinion that if they had participated and reviewed the 2014 report impacts as extensively as those who were involved in the process at that time, they would also be choosing the LGA alternative.

- 1019-5 2. One concern that I do find valid is that there should be some funding available to relocate the Bakersfield Homeless Center ahead of the actual construction. This organization cannot continue to solicit donations or otherwise pour money into a location that will be destroyed. I strongly urge the CAHSRA to allot that money to help relocate this facility as soon as possible.
- 1019-6 3. Although the LGA route has fewer noise/vibration impacts to citizens, there are still some areas that will be VERY adversely impacted. One example in particular is the Free Will Baptist Church and School. It is obvious in looking at the EIR that the project will have some extreme impacts to both school children and church attendees, yet there is no offer to relocate the facilities either during construction or during future operation of the rail. Noise barriers, insulation and other attempts to muffle noise will not be enough. The point here is that the concerns from severely impacted locations are being largely ignored and given very little information regarding what truly will be done to mitigate their issues. A "wait and see" approach is not acceptable.

4. Having the station located at the "F" Street location will revitalize a part of downtown that has been somewhat neglected in the past. There is a clear connection to Highway 99 from the Highway 204 connection that runs directly by the planned station. Its location is closer and more accessible to our Airport as well, which is a bonus for business travelers or those wishing to continue travel by flying to areas outside California. This closer connection could boost commercial airlines' interest in locating more flight services at the Bakersfield airport. There is opportunity for shuttle/Uber/bus transport. When the current project is fully complete, there will be seamless rail connection to the Los Angeles area for high speed rail travelers. If the project is delayed or connection to the LA Basin is not realized in the future for any reason, impacts will be FAR less if the "end of the line" is the "F" Street station as opposed to one located at the Truxtun location.

October 2018



Submission I019 (Carol Bender, January 16, 2018) - Continued

1019-6

I strongly urge the California High Speed Authority to choose the Locally Generated Alternative (LGA) and "F" Street station location as the preferred alignment through Kern County. I have personally worked long and hard for the past 8 years to be informed and thoroughly research the proposals and various Elfs that have been provided for public review. While I still have great doubts that this project is one that Californians can and should afford, that is not what is being decided now. What we have is two flawed choices for alternatives. Given that, the Locally Generated Alternative (LGA) and "F" Street station location is the very best alternative as it is the least detrimental to our citizens and the quality of life that we have come to know.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment today. I look forward to remaining involved in the public process going forward.

Best regards,

Card Did the Sector

Carol McMahon Bender R.N, P.H.N.

13340 Smoke Creek Ave

Bakersfield, CA 93314

January 14, 2018

"Fresno to Bakersfield Project Section Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS Comment,"

770 L Street,

Suite 620 MS-1, Sacramento, CA 95814

Lam writing to comment on the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS: Fresno to Bakersfield Project Section and to state that the Locally Generated Alternative "F" Street Station is the best choice for our community.

I previously submitted letters/documentation that are included in the 2014 EIR/EIS : Fresno to Bakersfield Project file and would like those letters included with this supplemental review, as well as those supplemental comment letters submitted prior to the May 6 2014 meeting vote to approve the 2014 EIR I note that this current supplemental EIR/EIS references the 2014 EIR/EIS document extensively and many of the issues1 discussed previously are still relevant for this supplemental EIR/EIS.

One large concern that I discussed at that time had to do with the lack of mitigation required to protect workers and citizens from exposure to spores that cause Valley Fever (coccidioidomycosis). Although there were some mitigation measures added after these concerns were aired, I am still concerned that there are further measures that should be taken. I have discussed these concerns with Mark McLoughlin, Director of Environmental Services at length. These include the need to provide Valley Fever skin tests to workers on the project to help determine their Immunity/prior exposure to the disease. It is well documented that there are specific high risk groups. Ignoring the measures that could create the potential for multiple liability lawsuits that could financially cripple the residents of California. We have seen that the prison system tests prisoners with Valley Fever skin tests and will not place them in Central Valley prisons if they are found to be at risk. Shouldn't workers on the High Speed Rail (HSR) project and Valley risk away is a well so that the prison system test prisoners with Valley Fever skin tests and will not place them in Central Valley prisons if they are found to be at risk. Shouldn't workers on the High Speed Rail (HSR) project and Valley riscs and Valley risk are sold.

There does not appear to be a specific plan to educate and protect the public about risks during the construction phase. There was to be coordination with the public health departments in each county to provide this education and outreach. To date, I have not received any verification that the California High Speed Rail Authority (CAHSRA) has allotted funds or required its contractors to be involved in any billboard/TV campaigns, or local information sessions for residents with regard to skin testing and protections (masks etc.). Since the project broke ground in the Central Valley, cases of Valley Fever have increased. Due to our natural geography and weather patterns, fugitive dust and spores released from this project will migrate to the southern end of the Valley (Kern County). A California solar project has been under fire in the media recently when it was found that contractors were not ensuring that Valley Fever protections/mitigations were in place or followed. As it stands now, it appears that the CAHSR project only "offers" face masks as protection for workers...it does not require them. My

Submission I019 (Carol Bender, January 16, 2018) - Continued

question is: Are workers well informed enough, skin tested and knowledgeable enough to know what kind of risk they might be taking if they choose NOT to wear a mask?

What mitigation efforts are to be offered to citizens and high risk groups that reside or attend school/church in close proximity to ongoing construction? New measures need to be outlined in specific terms and put into place immediately. These measures should extend beyond basic mitigation for "fugitive dust", given the nature of how spores grow and are disseminated during projects with extensive soil disturbance, not to mention soil transport.

With regard to the location of the Locally Generated Alternative (LGA) "F" Street Station:

1. After extensive review, it is clear the LGA and "F" Street station alignment is the least detrimental to the ditzens of Kern County. It affects fewer residents directly. It affects fewer homes, schools, hospitals and community assets. It is by no means a perfect location, as there will be some unavoidable impacts, but it is the better of the two choices that have been offered. Many of the current concerns voiced against the LGA alternative appear to be from people that did not participate in the extensive public review process in 2014. It is my opinion that if they had participated and reviewed the 2014 report impacts as extensively as those who were involved in the process at that time, they would also be choosing the LGA alternative.

2. One concern that I do find valid is that there should be some funding available to relocate the Brkersfield Homeless Center shead of the actual construction. This organization cannot continue to solicit donations or otherwise pour money into a location that will be destroyed. I strongly urge the CAHSRA to a llot that money to help relocate this facility as soon as possible.

3. Although the LGA route has fewer noise/vibration impacts to citizens, there are still some areas that will be VERY adversely impacted. One example in particular is the Free Will Baptist Church and School. It is obvious in looking at the EIR that the project will have some extreme impacts to both school children and church attendees, yet there is no offer to relocate the facilities either during construction or during future operation of the rail. Noise barriers, insulation and other attempts to muffle noise will not be enough. The point here is that the concerns from severely impacted locations are being largely ignored and given very little information regarding what truly will be done to mitigate their issues. A "wait and see" approach is not acceptable.

4. Having the station located at the "F" Street location will revitalize a part of downtown that has been somewhat neglected in the past. There is a clear connection to Highway 99 from the Highway 204 connection that runs directly by the planned station. Its location is closer and more accessible to our Airport as well, which is a bonus for business travelers or those wishing to continue travel by flying to areas outside California. This closer connection could boost commercial airlines' interest in locating more flight services at the Bakersfield airport. There is opportunity for shuttle/Uber/bus transport. When the current project is fully complete, there will be seamless rail connection to the Los Angeles area for high speed rail travelers. If the project is delayed or connection to the IA Basin is not realized in the future for any reason, impacts will be FAR less if the "end of the line" is the "F" Street station as opposed to one located at the Truxtun location.

I strongly urge the California High Speed Authority to choose the Locally Generated Alternative (LGA) and "P' Street station location as the preferred alignment through Kern County. I have personally worked long and hard for the past 8 years to be informed and thoroughly research the proposals and various Elfs that have been provided for public review. While I still have great doubts that this project is one that Californians can and should afford, that is not what is being decided now. What we have is two flawed choices for alternatives. Given that, the Locally Generated Alternative (LGA) and "F" Street station location is the very best alternative as it is the least detrimental to our citizens and the quality of life that we have come to know.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment today. I look forward to remaining involved in the public process going forward.

Best regards Card Divitan - Seder

Carol McMahon Bender R.N, P.H.N. 13340 Smoke Creek Ave Bakersfield, CA 93314

October 2018



Response to Submission I019 (Carol Bender, January 16, 2018)

1019-1

The commenter requests an emailed acknowledgement that her comment has been received. Responses are not sent to commenters individually. All responses have been prepared and published as part of the Final Supplemental EIR.

The comment was submitted to the project email. Comments submitted to the project email address received automated responses stating: "Thank you for taking the time to contact the California High-Speed Rail Authority. Your views and comments are important to our team. We receive a large amount of letters, phone calls and emails, and because this email is not monitored 24 hours a day and generally not on the weekends, we may not be able to respond to you right away. However, our team works very hard to ensure that all comments/questions are read and responded to, when appropriate.

If you have any questions about working at the Authority, please visit our High-Speed Rail Careers page here: http://hsr.ca.gov/About/Careers/index.html.

Thank you again for your interest in the California High-Speed Rail Program.

California High-Speed Rail Authority"

1019-2

The commenter states that she previously submitted comments on the Fresno to Bakersfield Section EIR/EIS and prior to the May 6, 2014 meeting to approve the Fresno to Bakersfield Final EIR/EIS. The commenter requests that her previously submitted comments be included with the Final Supplemental EIR. The commenter notes that the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS refers to the Final EIR/EIS, and states that her previous comments are relevant to the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS.

The commenter's previous comments appear three times in the Final EIR/EIS documentation: in Volume IV, Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 9-22-2011, pages 29-374 through 29-377 (referred to below as Comment Set 1); in Volume V Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name A-C, pages 41-50 through 41-63 (referred to below as Comment Set 2); and Volume V Response to Comments from Public meetings and Hearings 8-27-2012, pages 48-28 through 48-43

1019-2

(referred to below as Comment Set 3).

Comment Set 1 refers to noise and vibration impacts along the May 2014 Project's footprint. The locations and impacts discussed are not relevant to the F-B LGA; the responses to these comments provided in Volume IV are sufficient to address the issues the commenter has described.

Comment Set 2, a letter submitted in response to the Fresno to Bakersfield Revised Draft EIR/EIS, expresses concerns about a wide variety of issues and impacts. The responses to these comments provided in Volume V are sufficient to address the issues the commenter has described.

Comment Set 3 contains four individual submissions made at the August 27, 2012 public hearing. The first, Submission P002, expresses concerns that the Revised Draft EIR/EIS did not provide a broad enough set of alternatives. The F-B LGA directly responds to these last concerns, and along with the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS, the responses to these comments provided in Volume V are sufficient to address the issues the commenter has described.

The second, Submission P003, expresses concerns about cumulative noise impacts, compares the number of impacts in Fresno to the number of impacts in Bakersfield, and states that the funding to complete the project has not been found. The responses to these comments provided in Volume V are sufficient to address the issues the commenter has described.

The third, Submission P004, expresses concerns about design speeds and property tax loss. Again, the responses to these comments provided in Volume V are sufficient to address the issues the commenter has described.

The commenter's final submission in Comment Set 3, P005, asks that the HSR avoid Bakersfield altogether in favor of a corridor along Interstate-5, and expresses concerns about faults in Tehachapi. The responses to these comments provided in Volume V are sufficient to address the issues the commenter has described.

Response to Submission I019 (Carol Bender, January 16, 2018) - Continued

1019-3

The commenter states that they are concerned with the lack of mitigation required to protect workers and citizens from exposure to spores caused by Valley Fever.

Regarding worker exposure:

As stated in Section 3.11 of the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS, page 3.11-18, construction activities have the potential to generate exposure to the fungus spores that cause Valley Fever via inhalation of fugitive dust and soil. Valley Fever tends to infect people with jobs requiring digging in soil that contains the fungus. The Authority reviewed the potential of this occurring in the San Joaquin Valley, specifically in the area where HSR construction would occur. In response to comments concerning the risk of increased exposure to Coccidioides spores that cause Valley Fever, the FRA and the Authority, in coordination with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the California Department of Public Health, revised the avoidance and minimization measures in the Mitigation Monitoring and Enforcement Plan to incorporate additional best practices to minimize exposure to those at risk from construction activities disturbing these naturally occurring Coccidioides spores (Section 3.11.5 S&S-AMF #4b and S&S-AMF #4c).

S&S-AMF#4b. States that a qualified person dedicated to overseeing implementation of Valley Fever prevention measures to encourage a culture of safety of the construction contracts and subcontractors.

S&S-AMF#4c. Provides the addition of measures to the requirements of the Construction Safety and Health Plans regarding preventative measures to avoid Valley Fever exposure.

Regarding citizen exposure:

As described under 3.3.5.2, Impact AQ #1, construction emissions for particulate matter smaller than 2.5 and 10 microns (which includes spores from Coccidioides), would be below SJVAPCD thresholds with the incorporation of dust control minimization measures AQ-AM#1 through 4.

1019-4

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-General-08: Support of/Opposition to the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated and May 2014 Project Alternatives.

1019-5

The commenter requests that funding be made available to relocate the Bakersfield Homeless Center before construction begins.

The Authority would acquire the land of property owners whose land is directly affected by the project in accordance with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. sec. 4601 et seq.) (Uniform Act). The Uniform Act establishes minimum standards for treatment and compensation of individuals whose real property is acquired for a federally funded project. For more information on the Uniform Act, see Appendix 3.12-A of the Fresno to Bakersfield Section Final EIR/EIS and FB-Response-SO-01 of the Fresno to Bakersfield Section Final EIR/EIS. Information about acquisition, compensation, and relocation assistance is also available on the Authority's website, please see, Your Property, Your High-Speed Rail Project (Authority 2013).

If the facility is acquired, coordination with Bakersfield Homeless Center will comply with SO-MM#3, found in Section 3.12.6.2 of the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS. The Measure states:

The Authority will minimize impacts resulting from the disruption to key community facilities. [...] The Authority will consult with the appropriate respective parties before land acquisition to assess potential opportunities to reconfigure land use and buildings and/or relocate affected facilities, as necessary, to minimize the disruption of facility activities and services, and also to ensure relocation that allows the community currently served to continue to access these services. Because many of these community facilities are located in Hispanic communities, the Authority will continue to implement a comprehensive Spanish-language outreach program for these communities as land acquisition begins. This program will facilitate the identification of approaches that would maintain continuity of operation and allow space and access for the types of services currently provided and planned for these facilities. Also, to avoid disruption to these

October 2018



Response to Submission I019 (Carol Bender, January 16, 2018) - Continued

1019-5

community amenities, the Authority will ensure that all reconfiguring of land uses or buildings, or relocating of community facilities is completed before the demolition of any existing structures.

1019-6

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-General-08: Support of/Opposition to the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated and May 2014 Project Alternatives.

The commenter notes that though the F-B LGA would have fewer noise and vibration impacts, there would still be impacts. The commenter calls attention to the First Free Will Baptist Church and [the Bethel Christian] School. The commenter states that school children and church attendees would be impacted. The commenter states that there has been no relocation offer from the Authority, and indicates that mitigations such as noise barriers and insulation would not be enough to reduce impacts. Contrary to the commenter's claims, the noise analyses for both the May 2014 Project in the Final EIR/EIS and F-B LGA in the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS found that the implementation of the Project warranted noise barriers to reduce noise impacts to First Free Will Baptist Church and the Bethel Christian School to a less-than-significant noise level. Neither alignment directly affects the church and school and therefore would not result in property acquisition and relocation.

Submission I020 (Lynn Bennett, January 16, 2018)

Status :	June+) - RECORD #333 DETAIL Action Pendina
Record Date :	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	1102010
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Website
First Name :	Lynn
Last Name :	Bennett
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93301
Telephone :	661 328-0776
Email :	lynnb2@bak.rr.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	No
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	sues :
am a born and raised Bak	ersfield, CA resident, homeowner of 43 years and I'm appalled at the selection
of "F" Street as	
0	on in this part of Bakersfield is a MESS already! The widening of 24th Street, homes
	n and at times, the inability currently to even access 24th street going west from
streets north of 24th street.	
Please place the HSR station	on south, on Truxtun Avenue area near the existing Amtrak station.
EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes

Official Comment Period : Yes

October 2018

1020-1



Response to Submission I020 (Lynn Bennett, January 16, 2018)

1020-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-General-08: Support of/Opposition to the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated and May 2014 Project Alternatives.

Submission I021 (GYSGT Wally Beville II, December 20, 2017)

Status :	June+) - RECORD #223 DETAIL Action Pending
Record Date :	12/20/2017
Response Requested :	Yes
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	12/20/2017
Submission Method :	Website
First Name :	GYSGT Wally
Last Name :	Beville II
Professional Title :	Boving in
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93308
Telephone :	661-706-0496
Email :	Mr_wally_beville@yahoo.com
Email Subscription :	Bakersfield to Palmdale , Board of Directors, Construction Updates General
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	Yes
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	Jes :
I would like to see the currer	nt expenditure reports for any and all monies used by this project from conception
to today's date.	
In addition I would like to see	e the breakdown for each buisness / company and or individuals receiving any form
of payment.	
	dividuals, complete disclosure as to their connections to any and all local, state,
	ven if it's only by marriage to ensure open and fair contract awards and no
	X

EIR/EIS Comment : Yes Official Comment Period : Yes

October 2018

1021-1



Response to Submission I021 (GYSGT Wally Beville II, December 20, 2017)

1021-1

The commenter is requesting financial and personal and professional connections for all participants in the development of high-speed rail. This comment is not on the content or adequacy of the environmental document but rather is a request for financial information related to the procurement process for the HSR. This comment is noted and the commenter's request has been shared with the appropriate Authority staff.

Submission I022 (Greg Blankenship, January 16, 2018)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/19/2018
Response Requested :	No
Affiliation Type :	Individual
nterest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Program Info Line
irst Name :	Greg
.ast Name :	Blankenship
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	
State :	CA
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	
Email :	
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	Yes
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	ues :

October 2018

1022-1

thank you, bye. EIR/EIS Comment :

Official Comment Period :

Yes

Yes



Response to Submission I022 (Greg Blankenship, January 16, 2018)

1022-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

Submission I023 (Kristie Blaylock, January 16, 2018)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Kristie
Last Name :	Blaylock
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	430 Laurel Dr.
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bodfish
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93205
Telephone :	
Email :	kblaylock39@gmail.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	
Stakeholder Comments/Issu	es :
I believe the best location for	the HSR station in Bakersfield would be on
Truxtun Ave.	
Huxturi / WC.	
Thank you,	
manic you,	
Kristie Blaylock	
430 Laurel Dr.	
Bodfish, CA. 93205	
EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes

October 2018

1023-1



Response to Submission I023 (Kristie Blaylock, January 16, 2018)

1023-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

Submission I024 (Joe Bradford, January 16, 2018)

Status :	Unread
Record Date :	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Website
First Name :	Joe
Last Name :	Bradford
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93309
Telephone :	661-330-1194
Email :	joe@casamoore.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	No
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	ues :

October 2018

California High-Speed Rail Authority

1024-1

project. EIR/EIS Comment : Official Comment Period :



Response to Submission I024 (Joe Bradford, January 16, 2018)

1024-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

Submission I025 (Ron Bull, January 4, 2018)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/4/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/4/2018
Submission Method :	Website
First Name :	Ron
Last Name :	Bull
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93306
Telephone :	661-378-3929
Email :	blader0nner@aol.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	No
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	sues :
the path of the high speed	rail needs to be truxton not f st .
	olem for people trying to get downtown for all the events Bakersfield will offer
it is to far from central bak	

October 2018

1025-1

EIR/EIS Comment :

Official Comment Period :

Yes

Yes



Response to Submission I025 (Ron Bull, January 4, 2018)

1025-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-General-08: Support of/Opposition to the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated and May 2014 Project Alternatives.

Submission I026 (Garrett Busch, December 20, 2017)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	12/20/2017
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	12/20/2017
Submission Method :	Project Email
First Name :	Garrett
Last Name :	Busch
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	
State :	
Zip Code :	
Telephone :	Carrett Busch @uuenderful.com
Email :	Garrett.Busch@wonderful.com
Email Subscription : Cell Phone :	(661) 220 8254
	(661) 229-8254
Add to Mailing List : Stakeholder Comments/Iss	
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	sues :
•	sues :
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings,	
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly,
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly,
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the Significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a Bakersfield by choosing the	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR rea south of Shafter to place the heavy maintenance facility. Overall, the benefits to
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the Significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR rea south of Shafter to place the heavy maintenance facility. Overall, the benefits to
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a Bakersfield by choosing the Thanks,	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR rea south of Shafter to place the heavy maintenance facility. Overall, the benefits to
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a Bakersfield by choosing the Thanks, Garrett Busch	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR rea south of Shafter to place the heavy maintenance facility. Overall, the benefits to
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a Bakersfield by choosing the Thanks, Garrett Busch Strategy Consultant	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR rea south of Shafter to place the heavy maintenance facility. Overall, the benefits to
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a Bakersfield by choosing the Thanks, Garrett Busch Strategy Consultant Mobile: (661) 229-8254	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR rea south of Shafter to place the heavy maintenance facility. Overall, the benefits to a Truxton location are too great to ignore by choosing the F-st location instead.
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a Bakersfield by choosing the Thanks, Garrett Busch Strategy Consultant Mobile: (661) 229-8254 garrett.busch@wonderful.co	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR rea south of Shafter to place the heavy maintenance facility. Overall, the benefits to a Truxton location are too great to ignore by choosing the F-st location instead.
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a Bakersfield by choosing the Thanks, Garrett Busch Strategy Consultant Mobile: (661) 229-8254	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR rea south of Shafter to place the heavy maintenance facility. Overall, the benefits to a Truxton location are too great to ignore by choosing the F-st location instead.
Stakeholder Comments/Iss Greetings, I'm emailing to express my the station is placed at that Bakersfield to fully reap the significantly, and would cos failing to choose the Truxto then does not choose the a Bakersfield by choosing the Thanks, Garrett Busch Strategy Consultant Mobile: (661) 229-8254 garrett.busch@wonderful.co	concerns with the proposed F-st/204 location for the HSR in Bakersfield. I feel that if location, as opposed to the Truxton Amtrak spot, it will not allow the city of benefits that the HSR could provide. The Truxton location would help the downtown t less than the F-st location as it wouldn't require roads to be re-directed. Lastly, n location would lead to a significant amount of unrealized job growth if the HSR rea south of Shafter to place the heavy maintenance facility. Overall, the benefits to a Truxton location are too great to ignore by choosing the F-st location instead.

October 2018

1026-1

1026-2



Response to Submission I026 (Garrett Busch, December 20, 2017)

1026-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-General-08: Support of/Opposition to the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated and May 2014 Project Alternatives.

1026-2

The HMF decision will be made separately from the identification of the preferred alignment and station alternatives in the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS. A decision on the HMF site will be made sometime after environmental review is complete for both the Fresno to Bakersfield section and the Wye area near Chowchilla (the Wye area is being evaluated on a supplemental basis via a Subsequent EIR/Supplemental EIS to the certified 2012 Merced to Fresno Section EIR/EIS). To support this future decision, additional comparative study, design, and review may be necessary. Subsequent review and study may include further design.

Submission I027 (Kevin Bush, January 16, 2018)

	June+) - RECORD #348 DETAIL	
Status :	Action Pending	
Record Date :	1/16/2018	
Response Requested :		
Affiliation Type :	Individual	
nterest As :	Individual	
Submission Date :	1/16/2018	
Submission Method :	Project Email	
First Name :	Kevin	
Last Name :	Bush	
Professional Title :	Real Estate Consultant	
Business/Organization :		
Address :		
Apt./Suite No. :		
City :		
State :		
Zip Code :		
Telephone :	661-204-5994	
Email :	2045994@gmail.com	
Email Subscription :		
Cell Phone :		
Add to Mailing List :		
Stakeholder Comments/Iss	ues :	
am writing to provide form	al comments in response to the Fresno to	
0 1	ed Alignment draft EIR/EIS.	
Ballersheld Ecolary Ceneral	da Angrinicht afait Einvelo.	
I fully support the May 2014	Project (Hybrid Alignment) with a station at	
	the Locally Generated Alignment at F. Street.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
Thank you		
,		

Kevin Bush Real Estate Consultant

(661) 204-5994 EIR/EIS Comment : Yes Official Comment Period : Yes

October 2018

1027-1



Response to Submission I027 (Kevin Bush, January 16, 2018)

1027-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

Submission I028 (Aaron Casida, January 16, 2018)

Status :	Action Pending
Record Date :	1/16/2018
Response Requested :	
Affiliation Type :	Individual
Interest As :	Individual
Submission Date :	1/16/2018
Submission Method :	Website
First Name :	Aaron
Last Name :	Casida
Professional Title :	
Business/Organization :	
Address :	
Apt./Suite No. :	
City :	Bakersfield
State :	CA
Zip Code :	93308
Telephone :	
Email :	Aaron.casida@bakerhughes.com
Email Subscription :	
Cell Phone :	
Add to Mailing List :	No
Stakeholder Comments/Issue	25 :
I would like to see the station	on Truxtun Avenue.
EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes
Official Comment Period :	Yes

October 2018

California High-Speed Rail Authority

1028-1



Response to Submission I028 (Aaron Casida, January 16, 2018)

1028-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.

Submission I029 (James Clark, January 16, 2018)

Status :	4 June+) - RECORD #410 DETAIL Action Pending	
Record Date :	1/17/2018	
Response Requested :	1/1//2010	
Affiliation Type :	Individual	
Interest As :	Individual	
Submission Date :	1/16/2018	
Submission Method :	Project Email	
First Name :	James	
Last Name :	Clark	
Professional Title :	oldin	
Business/Organization :		
Address :		
Apt./Suite No. :		
City :		
State :		
Zip Code :		
Telephone :		
Email :	jbc315@icloud.com	
Email Subscription :		
Cell Phone :		
Add to Mailing List :		
Stakeholder Comments/I	ssues :	
Rail station needs to be o	n Truxton!	
Sent from my iPhone		
EIR/EIS Comment :	Yes	

October 2018

California High-Speed Rail Authority

1029-1

Official Comment Period :

Yes



Response to Submission I029 (James Clark, January 16, 2018)

1029-1

Refer to Standard Response FB-LGA-Response-GENERAL-10: Comments with Opinion Only.