

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I: Report

SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Introduction and Background.....	S-1
S.2 Tiered Environmental Review: Final Statewide Program EIR/EIS and San Jose to Merced Project Section EIR/EIS	S-4
S.3 Issues Raised during the Scoping Process	S-5
S.4 Purpose of and Need for the HSR System and the San Jose to Merced Project Section	S-7
S.4.1 Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System.....	S-7
S.4.2 Purpose of the San Jose to Merced Project Section	S-7
S.4.3 CEQA Project Objectives for the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the San Jose to Merced Project Section.....	S-8
S.4.4 Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System Statewide and in the San Jose to Merced Project Section	S-8
S.5 Alternatives	S-9
S.5.1 No Project Alternative	S-9
S.5.2 San Jose to Merced Project Section Alternatives.....	S-10
S.5.3 Station Area Development.....	S-15
S.5.4 Maintenance Facilities	S-15
S.6 Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features	S-15
S.7 No Project Alternative Impacts	S-19
S.8 HSR Alternatives Evaluation	S-21
S.8.1 HSR Benefits.....	S-21
S.8.2 Adverse Effects Common to All Alternatives	S-22
S.8.3 Comparison of Impacts for the Project Alternatives.....	S-22
S.8.4 Comparison of HSR Stations	S-69
S.8.5 Comparison of Maintenance Facilities	S-69
S.8.6 CEQA Summary of Impacts and Mitigation.....	S-69
S.8.7 Capital and Operations Cost.....	S-98
S.9 Section 4(f) and Section 6(f).....	S-98
S.9.1 Section 4(f)	S-98
S.9.2 Section 6(f)	S-99
S.10 Environmental Justice	S-100
S.11 Areas of Controversy	S-101
S.12 Environmental Process	S-101
S.12.1 Public and Agency Comment.....	S-101
S.12.2 Identification of Preferred Alternative	S-101
S.13 Next Steps in the Environmental Process.....	S-105
S.13.1 California High-Speed Rail Authority Decision-Making.....	S-105
S.13.2 Federal Railroad Administration Decision-Making.....	S-106
S.13.3 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Decision-Making.....	S-106
S.13.4 Surface Transportation Board	S-106
S.13.5 Project Implementation	S-106
1 PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.1.1 The High-Speed Rail System.....	1-1

- 1.1.2 The Decision to Develop a Statewide High-Speed Rail System 1-3
- 1.1.3 Implementation of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System 1-4
- 1.1.4 The San Jose to Merced Project Section..... 1-9
- 1.1.5 Lead Agencies, Cooperating Agencies, and Responsible Agencies 1-10
- 1.1.6 Compatibility with Federal Transportation Policy 1-11
- 1.2 Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the San Jose to Merced Project Section 1-12
 - 1.2.1 Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System 1-12
 - 1.2.2 Purpose of the San Jose to Merced Project Section..... 1-12
 - 1.2.3 CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the San Jose to Merced Project Section Area 1-13
 - 1.2.4 Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the San Jose to Merced Project Section Area 1-13
- 1.3 Relationship to Other Agency Plans, Policies, and Programs 1-32
 - 1.3.1 California Transportation Plan 2040 1-32
 - 1.3.2 Plan Bay Area 2040 1-32
 - 1.3.3 San Francisco Bay Area Regional Rail Plan..... 1-32
 - 1.3.4 MTC Resolution No. 4056 Memorandum of Understanding..... 1-33
 - 1.3.5 Valley Transportation Plan 2040..... 1-33
 - 1.3.6 Caltrain Strategic Plan 2015–2024 1-34
 - 1.3.7 On the Move—San Benito Regional Transportation Plan (2018–2040)..... 1-34
 - 1.3.8 San Joaquin Valley Blueprint Roadmap Summary 1-34
 - 1.3.9 San Joaquin Corridor Strategic Plan 1-35
 - 1.3.10 Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy for Merced County..... 1-35
 - 1.3.11 Madera County Transportation Commission 2018 Regional Transportation Plan 1-36
 - 1.3.12 ACE Extension Lathrop to Ceres/Merced 1-36
 - 1.3.13 Valley Link..... 1-37
 - 1.3.14 Capitol Corridor 2014 Vision Plan Update 1-37
 - 1.3.15 Bay Area Rapid Transit Vision Update 1-37
 - 1.3.16 San Jose International Airport Master Plan 1-38
 - 1.3.17 Oakland International Airport..... 1-38
- 1.4 Relationship to Other Transportation Projects in the Study Area..... 1-38
 - 1.4.1 Caltrain Modernization Program 1-38
 - 1.4.2 Caltrain South Terminal Phases II and III 1-39
 - 1.4.3 Caltrain Grade Separations in Santa Clara County..... 1-39
 - 1.4.4 Bay Area Rapid Transit Silicon Valley Extension 1-39
 - 1.4.5 Silicon Valley Express Lanes 1-40
 - 1.4.6 ACE Extension Lathrop to Ceres/Merced 1-40
 - 1.4.7 Valley Link..... 1-40
 - 1.4.8 San Jose International Airport Automated People Mover Connector 1-40
 - 1.4.9 Bus Rapid Transit..... 1-40
 - 1.4.10 Double-Track Segments of the Caltrain Line between San Jose and Gilroy 1-41
 - 1.4.11 Monterey County Rail Extension 1-41

2	ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Independent Utility	2-5
2.3	Background.....	2-5
2.4	HSR System Infrastructure.....	2-5
2.4.1	System Design Performance, Safety, and Security.....	2-6
2.4.2	Vehicles.....	2-7
2.4.3	Stations	2-8
2.4.4	Infrastructure Components	2-12
2.4.5	Grade Separations.....	2-18
2.4.6	At-Grade Crossings	2-22
2.4.7	Traction Power Distribution.....	2-23
2.4.8	Network Upgrades.....	2-26
2.4.9	Signaling and Train-Control Elements.....	2-26
2.4.10	Track Structure	2-27
2.4.11	Maintenance Facilities	2-27
2.5	Alternatives Considered during Alternatives Screening Process	2-28
2.6	Alignments, Station Sites, and Maintenance Facilities Evaluated in this Draft EIR/EIS	2-35
2.6.1	No Project Alternative—Planned Improvements	2-35
2.6.2	San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Alternatives	2-45
2.7	Ridership.....	2-127
2.7.1	Travel Demand and Ridership Forecasts.....	2-127
2.7.2	Ridership and HSR System Design	2-128
2.7.3	Ridership and Environmental Impact Analysis	2-129
2.7.4	Ridership and Station Area Parking	2-129
2.8	Operations and Service Plan.....	2-130
2.8.1	HSR Service	2-130
2.8.2	Maintenance Activities	2-132
2.9	Additional High-Speed Rail Development Considerations	2-133
2.9.1	High-Speed Rail, Land Use Patterns, and Development Around High-Speed Rail Stations	2-133
2.9.2	Right-of-Way Acquisition for Construction, Operations, and Maintenance of High-Speed Rail	2-134
2.11	Construction Plan.....	2-135
2.11.1	General Approach.....	2-136
2.11.2	Pre-Construction Activities.....	2-139
2.11.3	Major Construction Activities.....	2-145
2.12	Permits	2-156
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	3.1-1
3.1	Introduction	3.1-1
3.1.1	Federal and State Regulatory Context.....	3.1-1
3.1.2	State and Regional Policy Context.....	3.1-2
3.1.3	Chapter 3 Purpose.....	3.1-3
3.1.4	Chapter 3 Organization.....	3.1-4
3.1.5	Chapter 3 Content	3.1-5
3.1.6	Outreach to Local Agencies.....	3.1-13
3.1.7	Legal Authority to Implement Off-Site Mitigation	3.1-14

- 3.2 Transportation 3.2-1
 - 3.2.1 Introduction 3.2-1
 - 3.2.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.2-2
 - 3.2.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.2-5
 - 3.2.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.2-6
 - 3.2.5 Affected Environment 3.2-18
 - 3.2.6 Environmental Consequences 3.2-42
 - 3.2.7 Mitigation Measures 3.2-94
 - 3.2.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.2-95
 - 3.2.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.2-107
- 3.3 Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases 3.3-1
 - 3.3.1 Introduction 3.3-1
 - 3.3.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.3-3
 - 3.3.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.3-11
 - 3.3.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.3-11
 - 3.3.5 Affected Environment 3.3-29
 - 3.3.6 Environmental Consequences 3.3-47
 - 3.3.7 Mitigation Measures 3.3-100
 - 3.3.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.3-103
 - 3.3.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.3-109
- 3.4 Noise and Vibration 3.4-1
 - 3.4.1 Introduction 3.4-1
 - 3.4.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.4-5
 - 3.4.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.4-9
 - 3.4.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.4-10
 - 3.4.5 Affected Environment 3.4-26
 - 3.4.6 Environmental Consequences 3.4-35
 - 3.4.7 Mitigation Measures 3.4-79
 - 3.4.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.4-107
 - 3.4.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.4-112
- 3.5 Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference 3.5-1
 - 3.5.1 Introduction 3.5-1
 - 3.5.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.5-6
 - 3.5.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.5-10
 - 3.5.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.5-11
 - 3.5.5 Affected Environment 3.5-15
 - 3.5.6 Environmental Consequences 3.5-29
 - 3.5.7 Mitigation Measures 3.5-41
 - 3.5.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.5-41
 - 3.5.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.5-45
- 3.6 Public Utilities and Energy 3.6-1
 - 3.6.1 Introduction 3.6-1
 - 3.6.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.6-3
 - 3.6.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.6-8
 - 3.6.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.6-9
 - 3.6.5 Affected Environment 3.6-15
 - 3.6.6 Environmental Consequences 3.6-48
 - 3.6.7 Mitigation Measures 3.6-88
 - 3.6.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.6-90
 - 3.6.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions 3.6-96

3.7	Biological and Aquatic Resources	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction.....	3.7-1
3.7.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.7-7
3.7.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.7-11
3.7.4	Consultation with Regulatory Agencies for Federal Endangered Species Act Compliance.....	3.7-11
3.7.5	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.7-12
3.7.6	Affected Environment	3.7-29
3.7.7	Environmental Consequences	3.7-51
3.7.8	Mitigation Measures.....	3.7-129
3.7.9	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....	3.7-173
3.7.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.7-199
3.7.11	Preliminary Federal Endangered Species Act Findings.....	3.7-240
3.8	Hydrology and Water Resources.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Introduction.....	3.8-1
3.8.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.8-2
3.8.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.8-9
3.8.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.8-10
3.8.5	Affected Environment	3.8-18
3.8.6	Environmental Consequences	3.8-41
3.8.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-117
3.8.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....	3.8-127
3.8.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.8-138
3.9	Geology, Soils, Seismicity and Paleontological Resources	3.9-1
3.9.1	Introduction.....	3.9-1
3.9.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.9-2
3.9.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.9-5
3.9.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.9-6
3.9.5	Affected Environment	3.9-15
3.9.6	Environmental Consequences	3.9-51
3.9.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-65
3.9.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....	3.9-65
3.9.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.9-75
3.10	Hazardous Materials and Waste	3.10-1
3.10.1	Introduction.....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.10-2
3.10.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.10-5
3.10.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.10-6
3.10.5	Affected Environment	3.10-9
3.10.6	Environmental Consequences	3.10-25
3.10.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-41
3.10.8	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....	3.10-41
3.10.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.10-49
3.11	Safety and Security	3.11-1
3.11.1	Introduction.....	3.11-1
3.11.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.11-4
3.11.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.11-11
3.11.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.11-11
3.11.5	Affected Environment	3.11-17
3.11.6	Environmental Consequences	3.11-40
3.11.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-80

- 3.11.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.11-83
- 3.11.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions..... 3.11-92
- 3.12 Socioeconomics and Communities 3.12-1
 - 3.12.1 Introduction 3.12-1
 - 3.12.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.12-2
 - 3.12.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.12-6
 - 3.12.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.12-7
 - 3.12.5 Affected Environment..... 3.12-16
 - 3.12.6 Environmental Consequences..... 3.12-37
 - 3.12.7 Mitigation Measures 3.12-93
 - 3.12.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.12-93
 - 3.12.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions..... 3.12-101
- 3.13 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development..... 3.13-1
 - 3.13.1 Introduction 3.13-1
 - 3.13.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.13-2
 - 3.13.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.13-3
 - 3.13.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.13-4
 - 3.13.5 Affected Environment..... 3.13-6
 - 3.13.6 Environmental Consequences..... 3.13-31
 - 3.13.7 Mitigation Measures 3.13-54
 - 3.13.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternative..... 3.13-54
 - 3.13.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions..... 3.13-57
- 3.14 Agricultural Farmland 3.14-1
 - 3.14.1 Introduction 3.14-1
 - 3.14.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.14-4
 - 3.14.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.14-6
 - 3.14.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.14-7
 - 3.14.5 Affected Environment..... 3.14-13
 - 3.14.6 Environmental Consequences..... 3.14-27
 - 3.14.7 Mitigation Measures 3.14-41
 - 3.14.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.14-43
 - 3.14.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions..... 3.14-50
- 3.15 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space..... 3.15-1
 - 3.15.1 Introduction 3.15-1
 - 3.15.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.15-2
 - 3.15.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.15-3
 - 3.15.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.15-4
 - 3.15.5 Affected Environment..... 3.15-7
 - 3.15.6 Environmental Consequences..... 3.15-27
 - 3.15.7 Mitigation Measures 3.15-117
 - 3.15.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.15-120
 - 3.15.9 CEQA Significance Conclusions..... 3.15-127
- 3.16 Aesthetics and Visual Quality 3.16-1
 - 3.16.1 Introduction 3.16-1
 - 3.16.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 3.16-2
 - 3.16.3 Consistency with Plans and Laws 3.16-4
 - 3.16.4 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.16-5
 - 3.16.5 Affected Environment..... 3.16-13
 - 3.16.6 Environmental Consequences..... 3.16-76
 - 3.16.7 Mitigation Measures 3.16-155
 - 3.16.8 Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives 3.16-158

3.16.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.16-168
3.17	Cultural Resources.....	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction.....	3.17-1
3.17.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.17-2
3.17.3	Regional and Local Policy Analysis	3.17-8
3.17.4	Coordination of Section 106 Process with NEPA and CEQA Compliance.....	3.17-9
3.17.5	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.17-12
3.17.6	Affected Environment	3.17-19
3.17.7	Environmental Consequences	3.17-45
3.17.8	Mitigation Measures.....	3.17-137
3.17.9	Impact Summary for NEPA Comparison of Alternatives.....	3.17-150
3.17.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.17-154
3.18	Regional Growth	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction.....	3.18-1
3.18.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.18-2
3.18.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.18-6
3.18.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.18-6
3.18.5	Affected Environment	3.18-9
3.18.6	Environmental Consequences	3.18-17
3.18.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.18-34
3.18.8	Impacts Summary.....	3.18-34
3.19	Cumulative Impacts.....	3.19-1
3.19.1	Introduction.....	3.19-1
3.19.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.19-1
3.19.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.19-2
3.19.4	Cumulative Projects and Growth Forecasts	3.19-3
3.19.5	Organization of the Cumulative Impacts Analysis	3.19-6
3.19.6	Cumulative Impacts Analysis	3.19-7
3.19.7	Cumulative Impact Summary.....	3.19-100
3.20	Design Variants to Optimize Speed.....	3.20-1
3.20.1	Purpose	3.20-1
3.20.2	Description and Rationale for Design Options.....	3.20-1
3.20.3	Environmental Impact Differences of Diridon and Tunnel Design Variants Compared to the Alternatives Without Diridon and Tunnel Design Variants.....	3.20-5
4	SECTION 4(F)/6(F) EVALUATION	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.1.1	Laws, Regulations and Orders.....	4-1
4.1.2	Resource Study Area.....	4-4
4.1.3	Section 4(f) Applicability.....	4-5
4.1.4	Section 4(f) Use Definition	4-6
4.2	Coordination.....	4-8
4.3	Purpose and Need	4-11
4.4	Alternatives	4-11
4.4.1	No Project Alternative	4-13
4.4.2	Alternative 1.....	4-13
4.4.3	Alternative 2.....	4-14
4.4.4	Alternative 3.....	4-14
4.4.5	Alternative 4.....	4-15

- 4.5 Section 4(f) Applicability Analysis..... 4-15
 - 4.5.1 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges 4-16
 - 4.5.2 Cultural Resources 4-34
- 4.6 Preliminary Section 4(f) Use Assessment 4-46
 - 4.6.1 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges 4-46
 - 4.6.2 Cultural Resources 4-129
- 4.7 Avoidance Alternatives..... 4-191
 - 4.7.1 Individual Resource Avoidance Assessments 4-192
- 4.8 Measures to Minimize Harm..... 4-199
- 4.9 Section 4(f) Least Harm Analysis 4-203
 - 4.9.1 Least Harm Analysis for San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Alternatives..... 4-204
 - 4.9.2 Net Harm to Section 4(f) Property 4-211
 - 4.9.3 Impacts on Environmental Resources Outside of Section 4(f) Uses 4-212
- 4.10 Section 6(f)..... 4-212
- 5 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 5-1
 - 5.1 Introduction..... 5-1
 - 5.1.1 Definition of Resources 5-2
 - 5.2 Laws, Regulations, and Orders 5-2
 - 5.2.1 Federal 5-2
 - 5.2.2 State 5-4
 - 5.2.3 Regional and Local..... 5-5
 - 5.3 Methods for Evaluating Effects..... 5-5
 - 5.3.1 Definition of Reference Community and Resources Study Area 5-5
 - 5.3.2 Methods for Effects Analysis 5-8
 - 5.4 Affected Environment 5-11
 - 5.4.1 Overview..... 5-11
 - 5.4.2 Low-Income Populations 5-16
 - 5.4.3 Minority Populations 5-26
 - 5.4.4 Other Sensitive Populations 5-34
 - 5.5 Environmental Justice Engagement and Documentation..... 5-37
 - 5.5.1 Affected Populations and Communities..... 5-37
 - 5.5.2 Issues and Concerns 5-51
 - 5.6 Assessment of Effects..... 5-54
 - 5.6.1 Overview..... 5-54
 - 5.6.2 No Project Alternative 5-54
 - 5.6.3 Project Alternatives..... 5-55
 - 5.7 Summary of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects Prior to Consideration of Measures to Minimize Harm 5-93
 - 5.8 Measures to Minimize Harm..... 5-97
 - 5.9 California High-Speed Rail Authority’s Draft Environmental Justice Determination 5-97
- 6 PROJECT COSTS AND OPERATIONS..... 6-1
 - 6.1 Introduction..... 6-1
 - 6.2 Capital Costs 6-1
 - 6.2.1 High-Speed Rail Alternatives..... 6-2
 - 6.2.2 Maintenance of Way Facilities and Sidings 6-4
 - 6.3 Operation and Maintenance Costs..... 6-4

6.3.1	Operating Speeds	6-5
6.3.2	Development of Operation and Maintenance Costs	6-5
7	OTHER CEQA/NEPA CONSIDERATIONS	7-1
7.1	Unavoidable Adverse and Significant and Unavoidable Impacts	7-1
7.1.1	Adverse Effects that Cannot Be Avoided under NEPA	7-1
7.1.2	Significant and Unavoidable Impacts under CEQA	7-3
7.2	Project Benefits	7-5
7.2.1	Transportation Benefits	7-5
7.2.2	Environmental Benefits	7-5
7.2.3	Economic and Employment Benefits	7-5
7.3	Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	7-5
7.4	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	7-6
8	PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Summary of Key Stakeholder Input	8-4
8.2.1	Local Communities	8-4
8.2.2	Native American Tribes	8-5
8.2.3	Agricultural Interests	8-6
8.2.4	Businesses	8-6
8.2.5	Environmental Organizations	8-6
8.2.6	Environmental Justice Outreach	8-6
8.2.7	Agency Consultation	8-7
8.2.8	Feedback on the Staff-Recommended Preferred Alternative	8-9
8.3	Alternatives Considered	8-9
8.4	Preferred Alternative	8-10
8.4.1	Review of Alternative Key Differentiators by Subsection	8-14
8.4.2	Preliminary Cost Estimate by Alternative	8-18
8.4.3	Additional Considerations	8-18
8.4.4	Alternative Comparison	8-19
8.4.5	Identification of the Preferred Alternative	8-20
8.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative	8-24
8.6	Environmentally Preferable Alternative	8-24
8.7	Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative	8-24
9	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT	9-1
9.1	Environmental Justice Outreach	9-1
9.2	Public and Agency Scoping (2009–2010)	9-2
9.2.1	Notices of Preparation, Notices of Intent, and Public Information Materials	9-2
9.2.2	Scoping Meetings	9-2
9.2.3	Scoping Comments	9-4
9.3	Alternatives Analysis Process (2010–2016)	9-4
9.3.1	Public Information Meetings and Materials during the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-10
9.3.2	Technical Working Group Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-12
9.3.3	Environmental Resource Agency Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-12

- 9.3.4 Tribal Coordination Meetings..... 9-12
- 9.4 Further Outreach, Consultation, and Alternatives Refinement (2016–2019)..... 9-13
 - 9.4.1 Outreach, Involvement, and Communications Guidance 9-14
 - 9.4.2 Agreements and Memoranda of Understanding 9-15
 - 9.4.3 Public Information Materials and Meetings 9-15
 - 9.4.4 Community Working Group Meetings..... 9-16
 - 9.4.5 Tribal Coordination Meetings..... 9-16
 - 9.4.6 Technical Working Group Meetings..... 9-17
 - 9.4.7 Agency Meetings and Consultation 9-17
 - 9.4.8 Section 106 Consultation, National Historic Preservation Act 9-18
 - 9.4.9 Section 404 Consultation, Clean Water Act..... 9-18
 - 9.4.10 Section 7 Consultation, Federal Endangered Species Act..... 9-19
- 9.5 Notification and Circulation of the Draft EIR/EIS 9-19
- 10 DRAFT EIR/EIS DISTRIBUTION 10-1
 - 10.1 Repository Locations 10-1
 - 10.2 Federal Agencies..... 10-2
 - 10.3 State Agencies 10-3
 - 10.4 Elected Officials 10-4
 - 10.4.1 Federal Elected Official 10-4
 - 10.4.2 State Elected Officials 10-5
 - 10.4.3 Regional County Boards of Supervisors 10-5
 - 10.4.4 Mayors 10-6
 - 10.4.5 City Council Members 10-6
 - 10.4.6 Agricultural Commissioners..... 10-7
 - 10.5 Regional/Local Agencies 10-7
 - 10.6 Organizations and Businesses..... 10-8
 - 10.6.1 Commerce 10-8
 - 10.6.2 Historical Interest Groups 10-9
 - 10.6.3 Labor..... 10-10
 - 10.6.4 Neighborhoods..... 10-10
 - 10.6.5 Public Interest/Advocacy 10-11
 - 10.6.6 Transportation 10-11
 - 10.6.7 Other 10-11
 - 10.7 Native American Contacts 10-12
 - 10.8 Schools and Districts 10-13
 - 10.8.1 Franklin-McKinley School District, San Jose, CA..... 10-13
 - 10.8.2 Gilroy Unified School District, Gilroy, CA..... 10-13
 - 10.8.3 Los Banos Unified School District, Los Banos, CA 10-13
 - 10.8.4 Morgan Hill Unified School District, Morgan Hill, CA..... 10-13
 - 10.8.5 Oak Grove School District, San Jose, CA..... 10-14
 - 10.8.6 San Jose Unified School District, San Jose, CA 10-14
 - 10.8.7 Santa Clara Unified School District, Santa Clara, CA 10-14
 - 10.8.8 Santa Clara County Office of Education District, Santa Clara, CA..... 10-14
 - 10.8.9 Private Schools 10-14

11 LIST OF PREPARERS11-1

 11.1 California High-Speed Rail Authority 11-1

 11.2 Federal Railroad Administration (prior to the July 23, 2019 NEPA Assignment MOU)..... 11-2

 11.3 Rail Delivery Partners..... 11-2

 11.4 Regional Consultant Environmental Team 11-7

12 REFERENCES12-1

 Fact Sheet..... 12-1

 Preface..... 12-1

 Summary..... 12-1

 Chapter 1, Purpose and Need 12-2

 Chapter 2, Alternatives 12-8

 Chapter 3, Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation Measures 12-11

 Section 3.1, Introduction..... 12-11

 Section 3.2, Transportation 12-12

 Section 3.3, Air Quality and Global Climate Change 12-14

 Section 3.4, Noise and Vibration 12-18

 Section 3.5, Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference .. 12-19

 Section 3.6, Public Utilities and Energy 12-22

 Section 3.7, Biological and Aquatic Resources 12-35

 Section 3.8, Hydrology and Water Resources 12-44

 Section 3.9, Geology, Soils, Seismicity and Paleontological Resources 12-51

 Section 3.10, Hazardous Materials and Wastes 12-55

 Section 3.11, Safety and Security 12-56

 Section 3.12, Socioeconomics and Communities 12-63

 Section 3.13, Station Planning, Land Use and Development..... 12-67

 Section 3.14, Agricultural Farmlands..... 12-68

 Section 3.15, Parks, Recreation and Open Space..... 12-72

 Section 3.16, Aesthetics and Visual Quality 12-73

 Section 3.17, Cultural Resources 12-74

 Section 3.18, Regional Growth..... 12-75

 Section 3.19, Cumulative Impacts 12-79

 Section 3.20, Design Variants to Optimize Speed 12-83

 Chapter 4, Section 4(f)/6(f) Evaluation..... 12-83

 Chapter 5, Environmental Justice 12-85

 Chapter 6, Project Costs and Operations 12-86

 Chapter 7, Other CEQA/NEPA Considerations..... 12-87

 Chapter 8, Preferred Alternative and Station Sites..... 12-87

 Chapter 9, Public and Agency Involvement 12-88

13 GLOSSARY OF TERMS13-1

14 INDEX14-1

15 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....15-1

Tables

Table S-1 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Design Options by Subsection S-12

Table S-2 HSR Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features S-15

Table S-3 Comparison of Construction Impacts by Alternative S-27

Table S-4 Comparison of Operations Impacts by Alternative S-57

Table S-5 CEQA Summary of Resources with Significant Impacts and Applicable Mitigation Measures S-70

Table S-6 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts by Alternative S-98

Table S-7 Capital Cost by Alternative (2018\$ millions)..... S-98

Table S-8 Comparison of Key Resource Factors by Project Alternative S-102

Table S-9 San Jose to Merced Project Section Milestone Schedule S-106

Table 2-1 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Extent Subsections 2-4

Table 2-2 HSR Performance Criteria..... 2-6

Table 2-3 San Jose to Carlucci Road: Design Options Considered..... 2-29

Table 2-4 Regional Projected Population and Employment Projections, 2015 and 2040 2-35

Table 2-5 Planned Transportation Improvements..... 2-38

Table 2-6 Planned Passenger Rail Projects (Forecast Year 2040)..... 2-41

Table 2-7 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Design Options by Subsection..... 2-48

Table 2-8 Design Features of the HSR Build Alternatives 2-54

Table 2-9 Summary of Diridon Station Features..... 2-60

Table 2-10 Comparison of Alternative Gilroy Station Features 2-61

Table 2-11 Existing Bridges That Could Require Retrofit 2-67

Table 2-12 Impact of HSR Alternatives on Caltrans Facilities..... 2-67

Table 2-13 High-Speed Rail System Ridership Forecasts (in millions per year) 2-127

Table 2-14 Total Daily Operations—San Jose to Merced Project Section 2-131

Table 2-15 Right-of-Way Acquisitions 2-134

Table 2-16 Overall Construction Schedule 2-137

Table 2-17 Construction Staging and Precasting Yards by Alternative 2-140

Table 2-18 Anticipated Environmental Reviews, Permits, and Approvals 2-157

Table 3.1-1 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Design Options by Subsection..... 3.1-3

Table 3.1-2 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases (Example) 3.1-13

Table 3.1-3 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases (Example) 3.1-13

Table 3.2-1 Definition of Transportation Resource Study Areas 3.2-6

Table 3.2-2 2029 and 2040 Ridership at High-Speed Rail Stations 3.2-9

Table 3.2-3 2029 and 2040 Passenger Trip Generation at High-Speed Rail Stations 3.2-10

Table 3.2-4 2029 and 2040 Passengers per Vehicle by Mode 3.2-10

Table 3.2-5 2029 and 2040 Vehicle Trip Generation at High-Speed Rail Stations 3.2-11

Table 3.2-6 2029 and 2040 Vehicle Trip Generation at Maintenance of Way Facilities3.2-12

Table 3.2-7 Freeway Level of Service Definitions3.2-13

Table 3.2-8 Signalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions3.2-14

Table 3.2-9 Unsignalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions3.2-15

Table 3.2-10 Existing Rail Ridership at San Jose Diridon and Gilroy Stations3.2-30

Table 3.2-11 2029 and 2040 No Project Conditions Roadway Improvements.....3.2-44

Table 3.2-12 2029 and 2040 No Project Freeway Segment Operations3.2-45

Table 3.2-13 2029 and 2040 No Project Intersection Operations.....3.2-45

Table 3.2-14 Permanent Roadway Closures and Changes by Subsection and Alternative3.2-51

Table 3.2-15 Displacement of Parking Adjacent to San Jose Diridon Station.....3.2-66

Table 3.2-16 2029 and 2040 No Project Transit Improvements3.2-74

Table 3.2-17 Plus Project Bus Performance Delay Impacts from Vehicle Trips3.2-80

Table 3.2-18 Changes in Caltrain System Average Weekday Ridership, 2040 Plus Project 20403.2-81

Table 3.2-19 No Project Conditions Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements3.2-84

Table 3.2-20 Existing and Assumed Future Freight Train Operations3.2-87

Table 3.2-21 2040 Planned Rail Capacity Improvements3.2-88

Table 3.2-22 Transportation-Specific Mitigation Measures3.2-94

Table 3.2-23 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Transportation3.2-97

Table 3.2-24 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Transportation.....3.2-107

Table 3.3-1 State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards3.3-4

Table 3.3-2 Definition of Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases Resource Study Areas3.3-14

Table 3.3-3 General Conformity Rule *de minimis* Thresholds for the Project3.3-23

Table 3.3-4 BAAQMD, MBARD, and SJVAPCD Regional Mass Emission Thresholds3.3-26

Table 3.3-5 BAAQMD, MBARD, and SJVAPCD Cancer and Noncancer Health Risk Thresholds.....3.3-28

Table 3.3-6 Ambient Criteria Pollutant Concentrations at Air Quality Monitoring Stations along the Project Corridor.....3.3-34

Table 3.3-7 Federal and State Attainment Status along the Project Corridor within the SFBAAB, NCCAB, and SJVAB3.3-38

Table 3.3-8 Sensitive Receptor Locations within 1,000 Feet of the San Jose Diridon and Gilroy Stations and East Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility3.3-39

Table 3.3-9 State Implementation Plans3.3-45

Table 3.3-10 Estimated Statewide Emissions, No Project Alternative: Medium Ridership Scenario3.3-48

Table 3.3-11 Estimated Statewide Emissions, No Project Alternative: High Ridership Scenario3.3-49

Table 3.3-12 Construction-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin3.3-51

Table 3.3-13 Construction-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions in the North Central Coast Air Basin by Alternative.....	3.3-55
Table 3.3-14 Construction-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin.....	3.3-59
Table 3.3-15 Maximum Hourly and Daily CAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Project Construction ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	3.3-63
Table 3.3-16 Maximum Hourly and Daily NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Project Construction ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	3.3-65
Table 3.3-17 Maximum Annual CAAQS and NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Project Construction ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	3.3-67
Table 3.3-18 Maximum Incremental PM_{10} and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ Concentrations from Project Construction ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	3.3-69
Table 3.3-19 Excess Cancer, Noncancer, and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ Concentration Health Risks Associated with Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.....	3.3-72
Table 3.3-20 Excess Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks Associated with Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the Monterey Bay Air Resources District ¹	3.3-73
Table 3.3-21 Excess Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks Associated with Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.....	3.3-74
Table 3.3-22 Summary of Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions Changes from Project Operations (under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) Relative to the 2015 Existing Conditions (tons per year).....	3.3-79
Table 3.3-23 Summary of Total Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions Changes from Project Operations (under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) Relative to the 2029 No Project Alternative (tons per year).....	3.3-81
Table 3.3-24 Summary of Total Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions Changes from Project Operations (under the Medium- and High-Ridership Scenarios) Relative to the 2040 No Project Alternative (tons per year).....	3.3-83
Table 3.3-25 Carbon Monoxide Modeling Concentration Results (parts per million).....	3.3-87
Table 3.3-26 Summary of Changes in Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Freight Relocation Relative to Existing and No Project Conditions.....	3.3-92
Table 3.3-27 Maximum Health Risks and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ Concentrations from Project Station and MOWF Operations.....	3.3-94
Table 3.3-28 Comparison of Total Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Emissions from Construction of the Project Alternatives (metric tons).....	3.3-96
Table 3.3-29 Summary of Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions Changes from Project Operations (under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios) Relative to Existing, 2029, and 2040 No Project Conditions (metric tons CO_2e per year).....	3.3-98
Table 3.3-30 Summary of Required Mitigation for Project Construction by Alternative.....	3.3-100
Table 3.3-31 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases.....	3.3-104

Table 3.3-32 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases3.3-110

Table 3.3-33 Estimated Incidence of Health Endpoints Based on Total Directly Emitted NO_x, SO_x, and PM_{2.5} Emissions during Construction of Alternative 43.3-116

Table 3.4-1 Definition of Noise and Vibration Resource Study Areas.....3.4-10

Table 3.4-2 Federal Railroad Administration Recommended Screening Distances for Evaluation of High-Speed Rail Noise Impacts3.4-11

Table 3.4-3 Federal Railroad Administration Recommended Screening Distances for Vibration Assessments.....3.4-12

Table 3.4-4 Federal Railroad Administration Detailed Assessment Criteria for Construction Noise.....3.4-14

Table 3.4-5 Federal Railroad Administration Land Use Categories for Noise Exposure3.4-15

Table 3.4-6 Interim Criteria for High-Speed Rail Train Noise Impacts on Livestock.....3.4-18

Table 3.4-7 Assumed 2029 and 2040 Project Operations for Noise Impact Assessment.....3.4-19

Table 3.4-8 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Vibration Damage Criteria.....3.4-22

Table 3.4-9 Ground-Borne Vibration and Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria for General Assessment3.4-23

Table 3.4-10 Ground-Borne Vibration and Ground-Borne Noise Impact Criteria for Special Use Buildings.....3.4-23

Table 3.4-11 Ambient Noise Measurement Results.....3.4-26

Table 3.4-12 Existing Vibration Measurement Locations.....3.4-32

Table 3.4-13 Vibration Propagation Measurement Locations3.4-34

Table 3.4-14 Differences among Alternatives3.4-38

Table 3.4-15 Construction Activity Noise Levels.....3.4-39

Table 3.4-16 Summary of 2029 No Project and 2029 Plus Project Noise Impacts3.4-41

Table 3.4-17 Summary of 2040 No Project and 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts3.4-42

Table 3.4-18 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Number of Roadway Segments with Traffic-Related Noise Increases More than 3 dBA above Existing Noise Conditions3.4-61

Table 3.4-19 Screening Distances for Impacts on Livestock3.4-62

Table 3.4-20 Traction Power Facility Noise Analysis— Number of Affected Receptors3.4-64

Table 3.4-21 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Potential Vibration Impacts3.4-67

Table 3.4-22 Vibration Mitigation Procedures and Descriptions3.4-84

Table 3.4-23 Proposed Noise Barriers—Alternative 13.4-86

Table 3.4-24 Proposed Noise Barriers—Alternative 23.4-87

Table 3.4-25 Proposed Noise Barriers—Alternative 33.4-88

Table 3.4-26 Proposed Noise Barriers without Quiet Zones—Alternative 4 3.4-89

Table 3.4-27 Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones—Alternative 4 3.4-104

Table 3.4-28 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative 1 3.4-105

Table 3.4-29 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative 2 3.4-106

Table 3.4-30 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative 3 3.4-106

Table 3.4-31 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness—Alternative 4 3.4-107

Table 3.4-32 Comparison of Project Alternative
Impacts for Noise and Vibration 3.4-108

Table 3.4-33 CEQA Significance Conclusions and
Mitigation Measures for Noise and Vibration 3.4-113

Table 3.4-34 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness 3.4-116

Table 3.4-34 Noise Mitigation Effectiveness 3.4-122

Table 3.5-1 Relationship between Typical Frequencies and Their Wavelengths 3.5-3

Table 3.5-2 Typical Magnetic Field Strengths 3.5-3

Table 3.5-3 Typical EMF Levels for Transmission/Power Lines 3.5-5

Table 3.5-4 IEEE C95.6 Magnetic Field Maximum Permissible
Exposure Levels for the General Public 3.5-7

Table 3.5-5 IEEE C95.6 Electric Field Maximum Permissible
Exposure Levels for the General Public 3.5-7

Table 3.5-6 Radio Frequency Emissions Safety Levels
Expressed as Maximum Permissible Exposure 3.5-9

Table 3.5-7 Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels to
Determine CEQA Significance 3.5-14

Table 3.5-8 EMI Measurement Locations 3.5-16

Table 3.5-9 Measured and Modeled 60 Hz Magnetic Field Strengths 3.5-22

Table 3.5-10 Estimated EMF Field Strength for
Caltrain Operations (frequency of 60 Hz) 3.5-23

Table 3.5-11 Sensitive Receptors and Facilities Potentially
Affected by HSR System Construction and Operations 3.5-24

Table 3.5-12 Sensitive Receptors and Facilities Potentially
Affected by Electrical Infrastructure and Network Upgrades 3.5-29

Table 3.5-13 Summary of HSR Exterior EMF Levels 3.5-32

Table 3.5-14 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for EMFs and EMI 3.5-42

Table 3.5-15 CEQA Significance Conclusions and
Mitigation Measures for the EMFs and EMI 3.5-46

Table 3.6-1 Definition of Public Utilities and Energy Resource Study Areas 3.6-9

Table 3.6-2 Summary of Utility and Energy Providers
within the Resource Study Areas 3.6-15

Table 3.6-3 Major Utility Lines within the Public Utility Resource Study Area 3.6-19

Table 3.6-4 Existing and Projected Urban Potable Water
Demand in the Resource Study Area 3.6-33

Table 3.6-5 Wastewater Treatment Plant Capacity
within the Resource Study Area 3.6-34

Table 3.6-6 Solid Waste Landfill Facility Permitted and Remaining Capacities 3.6-39

Table 3.6-7 Solid Waste Disposal Volumes and Diversion Summary 3.6-40

Table 3.6-8 Electricity Consumption in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties, 2015.....3.6-42

Table 3.6-9 Fuel Sources for Electric Power in California in 2015.....3.6-43

Table 3.6-10 Natural Gas Consumption in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties in 2015.....3.6-47

Table 3.6-11 Construction Water Use by Alternative and Activity3.6-52

Table 3.6-12 Annual Construction Water Use Summary by Alternative3.6-54

Table 3.6-13 Major Utility Conflicts and New Utility Installations3.6-55

Table 3.6-14 Solid Waste Generation Estimates by Alternative in Cubic Yards3.6-69

Table 3.6-15 Hazardous Waste Generation Estimates by Alternative in Cubic Yards.....3.6-69

Table 3.6-16 Estimated Nonrecoverable Construction-Related Energy Consumption for the Project Alternatives3.6-78

Table 3.6-17 HSR Operational Electricity Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios).....3.6-81

Table 3.6-18 Estimated Changes in Vehicle Miles Traveled and Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios).....3.6-83

Table 3.6-19 Estimated Changes in Airplane Flights and Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios)3.6-84

Table 3.6-20 Summary of Regional Changes in Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios)3.6-86

Table 3.6-21 Summary of Statewide Changes in Energy Consumption (Medium and High Ridership Scenarios)3.6-87

Table 3.6-22 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Public Utilities and Energy.....3.6-91

Table 3.6-23 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Public Utilities and Energy.....3.6-97

Table 3.7-1 Definition of Biological and Aquatic Resource Study Areas.....3.7-13

Table 3.7-2 Field Surveys and Personnel3.7-22

Table 3.7-3 Soil Associations of the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Extent3.7-32

Table 3.7-4 Crosswalk of Land Cover Classification to Other Classification Systems3.7-33

Table 3.7-5 Land Cover Types within the Project Footprint and Habitat Study Areas (acres).....3.7-36

Table 3.7-6 Critical Habitat Designations by Subsection.....3.7-39

Table 3.7-7 Roosting Patterns for Bat Species Potentially Occurring in the Habitat Study Area3.7-44

Table 3.7-8 Special-Status Plant Communities Potentially Occurring in the Special-Status Plant Study Area3.7-45

Table 3.7-9 Aquatic Resources by Subsection3.7-45

Table 3.7-10 Potential Presence of Protected Trees by Subsection3.7-47

Table 3.7-11 Conservation Areas by Subsection3.7-48

Table 3.7-12 Impacts on Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species by Project Alternative (acres)..... 3.7-53

Table 3.7-13 Direct Impacts on Special-Status Wildlife Species Habitat by Project Alternative (acres)..... 3.7-56

Table 3.7-14 Impacts on Critical Habitat by Project Alternative 3.7-64

Table 3.7-15 Surface Waters Overlying Tunnels 1 and 2 3.7-69

Table 3.7-16 Impacts on Habitat for Waterfowl and Shorebirds in Important Bird Areas by Project Alternative (acres) 3.7-100

Table 3.7-17 Impacts on Special-Status Plant Communities (acres) 3.7-102

Table 3.7-18 Impacts on Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional Under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Regulated as Waters of the State by Alternative (acres)..... 3.7-105

Table 3.7-19 Impacts on Aquatic and Other Related Resources Regulated under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq. by Alternative (acres) 3.7-106

Table 3.7-20 Impacts on Land Cover Types Likely to Support Protected Trees by Alternative (acres)..... 3.7-108

Table 3.7-21 Extent of Noise Impacts by Mechanism..... 3.7-115

Table 3.7-22 Direct Impacts on Conservation Areas by Project Alternative (acres) 3.7-122

Table 3.7-23 Summary of Potential Conflicts with Wildlife Crossing Modifications Described in the Coyote Valley Linkage..... 3.7-127

Table 3.7-24 Mitigation Measures for Impacts on Biological and Aquatic Resources by Alternative 3.7-129

Table 3.7-25 Potential Nonbiological Impacts of Compensatory Mitigation Implementation..... 3.7-142

Table 3.7-26 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Biological and Aquatic Resources (acres) 3.7-174

Table 3.7-27 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Biological and Aquatic Resources..... 3.7-200

Table 3.7-28 Summary of Effects for Federally Listed Species and their Critical Habitat 3.7-241

Table 3.8-1 MS4 Permit Requirements 3.8-4

Table 3.8-2 Definition of Hydrology and Water Resources Resource Study Areas.. 3.8-11

Table 3.8-3 Summary of Data Sources 3.8-15

Table 3.8-4 Climate Summary..... 3.8-18

Table 3.8-5 Hydrologic Regions, Units, and Areas 3.8-20

Table 3.8-6 Aquatic Resources by Subsection 3.8-22

Table 3.8-7 Water Quality Objectives..... 3.8-25

Table 3.8-8 Groundwater Basins and Subbasins (acres) 3.8-28

Table 3.8-9 Potential Tunnel Groundwater Conditions by Geologic Unit 3.8-32

Table 3.8-10 Approximate Groundwater Depth below Ground Surface 3.8-32

Table 3.8-11 Beneficial Uses of Groundwater Subbasins in the RSA..... 3.8-33

Table 3.8-12 Depths of Drinking Water Supply
Wells by Groundwater Subbasin3.8-34

Table 3.8-13 FEMA Flood Hazard Zones3.8-35

Table 3.8-14 Hydraulic Conditions of Existing Bridges
and Overbank Areas in the Project Footprint3.8-36

Table 3.8-15 Waterbodies Anticipated to Experience Minor Disturbances3.8-44

Table 3.8-16 Waterbodies in Which Construction is Anticipated to Occur3.8-45

Table 3.8-17 Earthwork Quantities3.8-47

Table 3.8-18 Waterbodies with New Crossings and
Waterbodies Modified, Realigned, or Otherwise Affected3.8-48

Table 3.8-19 Estimates of New and Replaced Impervious Surfaces3.8-53

Table 3.8-20 Waterbodies with Intermittent Bridge, Culvert,
and/or Vegetation Maintenance3.8-56

Table 3.8-21 Estimated Disturbed Soil Area3.8-59

Table 3.8-22 Waterbodies with Impacts from the
Release of Contaminants from Operating Trains3.8-70

Table 3.8-23 Estimates of Impervious Surfaces
Constructed in Groundwater Subbasins.....3.8-76

Table 3.8-24 Public Drinking Water Supply Wells in the
Project Footprint (Well Identification Numbers)3.8-78

Table 3.8-25 Groundwater Conditions Observed during Construction
of Central Valley Project Tunnels by Geologic Unit3.8-82

Table 3.8-26 Anticipated Groundwater Conditions along Tunnel 1 and Tunnel 2.....3.8-86

Table 3.8-27 Tunnel Excavation Methods and
Likelihood for Groundwater Effects3.8-88

Table 3.8-28 Potential Temporary Groundwater
and Hydrology Effects of Tunneling3.8-91

Table 3.8-29 Proposed Hydraulic Structures in 100-Year Floodplains3.8-103

Table 3.8-30 Specific Design Elements that would
Minimize Permanent Floodplain Impacts3.8-113

Table 3.8-31 Floodplains Requiring Authorizations under the Rivers
and Harbors Act or Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act3.8-115

Table 3.8-32 Hydrology and Water Resources-Specific Mitigation Measures3.8-117

Table 3.8-33 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts
for Hydrology and Water Resources3.8-128

Table 3.8-34 CEQA Significance Conclusions and
Mitigation Measures for Hydrology and Water Resources.....3.8-139

Table 3.9-1 Definition of Geology, Soils, and Seismicity Resource Study Areas3.9-7

Table 3.9-2 Definition of Paleontological Resources Study Area3.9-10

Table 3.9-3 Evaluation of Paleontological Sensitivity/Paleontological Potential3.9-11

Table 3.9-4 Comparison of Geologic Unit Terminology Used for the Geology,
Soils, and Seismicity Analysis and Paleontological Resources Analysis3.9-13

Table 3.9-5 Distribution of Geologic Units throughout
the Geology, Soils, and Seismicity RSA.....3.9-23

Table 3.9-6 Soil Association Characteristics throughout the Geology, Soils and Seismicity RSA 3.9-26

Table 3.9-7 Paleontological Potential of Geologic Units within the Paleontological Resources RSA 3.9-47

Table 3.9-8 Previously Recorded UCMP Fossil Vertebrate Localities in the Vicinity (1 mile) of the Paleontological Resources RSA 3.9-49

Table 3.9-9 Distribution of Geologic Units by Subsection within the Paleontological Resources RSA 3.9-50

Table 3.9-10 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources 3.9-66

Table 3.9-11 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources 3.9-75

Table 3.10-1 Definition of Hazardous Materials and Waste Resource Study Areas 3.10-7

Table 3.10-2 Summary by Subsection of Medium- and High-Risk PEC Sites within the PEC RSA 3.10-18

Table 3.10-3 Risk of Railway Impacts by Subsection 3.10-18

Table 3.10-4 Risk of Lead-Based Paint by Subsection 3.10-19

Table 3.10-5 Risk of Asbestos-Containing Materials by Subsection 3.10-20

Table 3.10-6 Risk of Pesticides by Subsection 3.10-20

Table 3.10-7 Risk of PCBs by Subsection 3.10-21

Table 3.10-8 Risk of Aerially Deposited Lead by Subsection 3.10-21

Table 3.10-9 Risk of Naturally Occurring Asbestos by Subsection 3.10-22

Table 3.10-10 Risk of Landfills by Subsection 3.10-23

Table 3.10-11 Risk of Oil and Gas Wells by Subsection 3.10-23

Table 3.10-12 Summary of Airport Occurrence by Subsection 3.10-23

Table 3.10-13 Educational Facilities within the Schools RSA 3.10-24

Table 3.10-14 Summary by Alternative of Medium- and High-Risk PEC Sites within the PEC RSA 3.10-30

Table 3.10-15 Summary by Alternative of Educational Facilities within the Schools RSA 3.10-40

Table 3.10-16 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Hazardous Materials and Waste 3.10-43

Table 3.10-17 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hazardous Materials and Waste 3.10-50

Table 3.11-1 Definition of Safety and Security Resource Study Areas 3.11-12

Table 3.11-2 Service Areas and Response Times for Police and Sheriff Departments in the Resource Study Area 3.11-25

Table 3.11-3 Service Areas and Response Times for Municipal and County Fire Departments in the Resource Study Area 3.11-27

Table 3.11-4 Required Response Times for Contracted Ambulance Services in Santa Clara County 3.11-29

Table 3.11-5 Airports and Heliports within the Airports Resource Study Area 3.11-33

Table 3.11-6 Schools within the Resource Study Area by Subsection 3.11-34

Table 3.11-7 High-Risk Facilities within 2 miles of the Project Footprint	3.11-38
Table 3.11-8 Tall Structures within the Resource Study Area	3.11-39
Table 3.11-9 Existing and Existing Plus Project Travel Times on Monterey Road from Bernal Road to Capitol Expressway Caused by Roadway Changes.....	3.11-50
Table 3.11-10 Existing, 2029 No Project, 2029 Plus Project, 2040 No Project and 2040 Plus Project Travel Times on Monterey Road from Bernal Road to Capitol Expressway.....	3.11-52
Table 3.11-11 Airport Influence Area Encroachment Area for Each Project Alternative	3.11-63
Table 3.11-12 Blended System and Dedicated System Track for Each Alternative (miles)	3.11-67
Table 3.11-13 State-Designated Fire Severity Zone Areas by Alternative (acres).....	3.11-79
Table 3.11-14 Safety and Security-Specific Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-80
Table 3.11-15 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Safety and Security	3.11-84
Table 3.11-16 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Safety and Security	3.11-93
Table 3.12-1 Definition of Socioeconomic and Community Resource Study Areas	3.12-8
Table 3.12-2 Cities and Communities by Subsection	3.12-17
Table 3.12-3 Community and Public Facilities within 0.5 mile of the Project Alternatives.....	3.12-19
Table 3.12-4 School Year 2015–2016 Funding for School Districts in the RSA	3.12-32
Table 3.12-5 Agricultural Production in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties in 2015.....	3.12-33
Table 3.12-6 General Property Tax Levies by County for Fiscal Year 2014/2015.....	3.12-34
Table 3.12-7 Community and Public Facilities within 250 Feet of Project Construction.....	3.12-39
Table 3.12-8 Residential, Business, and Community Facility Displacements and Permanent Road Closures by Subsection and Alternative	3.12-47
Table 3.12-9 Schools/Daycare Facilities within 1,000 feet of Project Construction	3.12-58
Table 3.12-10 Estimated Property Displacements	3.12-63
Table 3.12-11 Estimated Number of Displaced Residential Units by Housing Type and Alternative	3.12-63
Table 3.12-12 Estimated Number of Displaced Residences and Population to be Relocated by Alternative.....	3.12-65
Table 3.12-13 Estimated Number of Displaced Commercial and Industrial Businesses	3.12-70
Table 3.12-14 Community and Public Facility Displacements by Alternative	3.12-74
Table 3.12-15 Estimated Annual School District Funding Losses from Acquisitions	3.12-78

Table 3.12-16 Projected Economic Impacts of Changes in Agricultural Production	3.12-82
Table 3.12-17 Dairy Farms Affected by Construction of the Project	3.12-83
Table 3.12-18 Projected Economic Impacts of Changes in Dairy Production (Merced County).....	3.12-84
Table 3.12-19 Annual Lost Property Tax Revenue (FY 2015/2016)	3.12-85
Table 3.12-20 Construction Spending within Region, by Alternative and Economic Sector (2015\$ in millions).....	3.12-87
Table 3.12-21 Taxable Sales within Region, by Alternative and Economic Sector (2015\$ in millions).....	3.12-88
Table 3.12-22 Projected Sales Tax Revenues Generated During Construction (2015\$ in millions).....	3.12-88
Table 3.12-23 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-94
Table 3.12-24 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-102
Table 3.13-1 Definition of Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Area.....	3.13-4
Table 3.13-2 Distribution of Existing Land Uses and Current Zoning Opportunities within the HSR Station Areas.....	3.13-23
Table 3.13-3 Summary of Planned Development Projects within Station and Maintenance Facility RSAs.....	3.13-29
Table 3.13-4 Temporary Use of Land Outside the Permanent HSR Right-of-Way for the Project Alternatives	3.13-34
Table 3.13-5 Land Use Permanently Converted by the Project Alternatives.....	3.13-42
Table 3.13-6 Summary of Permanent Land Conversion by Project Alternative	3.13-46
Table 3.13-7 Population Projections 2015–2040	3.13-52
Table 3.13-8 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-55
Table 3.13-9 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	3.13-58
Table 3.14-1 Criteria Evaluated in Form NRCS-CPA-106 for LESA	3.14-9
Table 3.14-2 Total Acreage and Agricultural Land Acreage in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties (2014)	3.14-13
Table 3.14-3 Important Farmland in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties in 2002 and 2014 (acres).....	3.14-16
Table 3.14-4 Change in Farmland Protected by Williamson Act Contracts in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties in 2002 and 2014 (acres)	3.14-22
Table 3.14-5 Important Farmland Temporarily Used for Project Construction (acres).....	3.14-29
Table 3.14-6 Important Farmland Permanently Converted to Nonagricultural Use in the Project Footprint (acres)	3.14-31
Table 3.14-7 Natural Resources Conservation Service Land Evaluation and Site Assessment: Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Scores	3.14-32

Table 3.14-8 Number of Nonviable Remnant Parcels and Acreage of Important Farmland in Nonviable Remnant Parcels Converted to Nonagricultural Use	3.14-33
Table 3.14-9 Major Utility Crossings ¹	3.14-34
Table 3.14-10 Permanent Road Closures on Agricultural Farmland	3.14-37
Table 3.14-11 Modifications to Farm Roads	3.14-37
Table 3.14-12 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Agricultural Farmland	3.14-44
Table 3.14-13 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Agricultural Farmland	3.14-51
Table 3.14-14 Important Farmland Mitigation Calculations	3.14-54
Table 3.15-1 Definition of Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and School District Play Areas Resource Study Areas	3.15-4
Table 3.15-2 Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Open Space Resources by Subsection.....	3.15-17
Table 3.15-3 School District Play Areas by Subsection	3.15-25
Table 3.15-4 Noise, Vibration, and Construction Emissions Impacts on Use and User Experience of Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Open Space Resources	3.15-32
Table 3.15-5 Construction-Related Impacts on Access to and Use of Parks	3.15-47
Table 3.15-6 Permanent Visual Impacts on Access or Use of Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Open Space Resources	3.15-58
Table 3.15-7 Permanent Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Acquisitions.....	3.15-63
Table 3.15-8 Noise, Vibration, and Construction Emissions Impacts on School District Play Areas	3.15-100
Table 3.15-9 Construction-Related Reduction of Access to School District Play Areas	3.15-106
Table 3.15-10 Permanent Visual Impacts on Perceived Barriers to Use of School District Play Areas	3.15-110
Table 3.15-11 Permanent School District Play Area Acquisitions	3.15-111
Table 3.15-12 Mitigation Measures.....	3.15-117
Table 3.15-13 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space.....	3.15-121
Table 3.15-14 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space	3.15-127
Table 3.16-1 Affected Viewer Groups and Associated Sensitivities	3.16-10
Table 3.16-2 Santa Clara Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Group Sensitivity, and Visual Quality	3.16-15
Table 3.16-3 Key Viewpoints Representing the Santa Clara Landscape Unit	3.16-18
Table 3.16-4 Diridon Station Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality	3.16-21
Table 3.16-5 Key Viewpoints Representing the Diridon Station Landscape Unit.....	3.16-23
Table 3.16-6 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality	3.16-26

Table 3.16-7 Key Viewpoints Representing the San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit 3.16-28

Table 3.16-8 Communications Hill Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-32

Table 3.16-9 Key Viewpoint Representing the Communications Hill Landscape Unit 3.16-33

Table 3.16-10 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-35

Table 3.16-11 Key Viewpoints Representing the Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit 3.16-37

Table 3.16-12 Coyote Valley Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-40

Table 3.16-13 Key Viewpoint Representing the Coyote Valley Landscape Unit 3.16-42

Table 3.16-14 US 101 Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-45

Table 3.16-15 Key Viewpoints Representing the US 101 Landscape Unit..... 3.16-46

Table 3.16-16 Morgan Hill–San Martin Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-49

Table 3.16-17 Key Viewpoints Representing the Morgan Hill–San Martin Landscape Unit 3.16-51

Table 3.16-18 Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-54

Table 3.16-19 Key Viewpoints Representing the Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit..... 3.16-56

Table 3.16-20 Pajaro–San Felipe Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-59

Table 3.16-21 Key Viewpoints Representing the Pajaro–San Felipe Landscape Unit 3.16-60

Table 3.16-22 Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-63

Table 3.16-23 Key Viewpoints Representing the Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit..... 3.16-64

Table 3.16-24 San Luis Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-67

Table 3.16-25 Romero Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-70

Table 3.16-26 Key Viewpoints Representing the Romero Landscape Unit..... 3.16-71

Table 3.16-27 Henry Miller Landscape Unit Visual Character, Viewer Groups, and Visual Quality 3.16-74

Table 3.16-28 Key Viewpoints Representing the Henry Miller Landscape Unit..... 3.16-75

Table 3.16-29 Summary of Visual Quality Change and Degree of Impact for Project Alternatives 3.16-76

Table 3.16-30 Landscape Unit-Specific Temporary Construction Activities 3.16-81

Table 3.16-31 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Aesthetics and Visual Quality..... 3.16-160

Table 3.16-32 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Aesthetics and Visual Quality	3.16-168
Table 3.17-1 Section 106 Technical Reports and Concurrence Dates	3.17-10
Table 3.17-2 Demolished Built Resources in the Area of Potential Effect with No Archaeological Significance	3.17-16
Table 3.17-3 Previously Identified Archaeological Resources in the Area of Potential Effect	3.17-23
Table 3.17-4 Significant Built Resources	3.17-28
Table 3.17-5 Archaeological Sensitivity by Alternative.....	3.17-47
Table 3.17-6 Summary of Mitigation Measures Applicable to Each Alternative....	3.17-140
Table 3.17-7 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for Cultural Resources.....	3.17-151
Table 3.17-8 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources.....	3.17-154
Table 3.17-9 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Impact CUL#4: Permanent Demolition, Destruction, Relocation, or Alteration of Built Resources or Setting.....	3.17-159
Table 3.18-1 RSA and County Characteristics, 2015.....	3.18-10
Table 3.18-2 Regional Employment by Industry (2000, 2015, 2020, and 2024).....	3.18-12
Table 3.18-3 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections (2015, 2020, 2024, and 2040)	3.18-13
Table 3.18-4 Labor Force Characteristics by County and City/Community in the RSA (2000, 2010, and 2015)	3.18-14
Table 3.18-5 Population Growth (2000 and 2015)	3.18-15
Table 3.18-6 Population Projections (2015 and 2040)	3.18-16
Table 3.18-7 Housing Units and Vacancy Rates (2015 and 2040).....	3.18-17
Table 3.18-8 Acres of Important Farmland Affected by Project Alternatives	3.18-20
Table 3.18-9 Project Costs by Alternative (2018\$ in millions)	3.18-22
Table 3.18-10 Alternative 1 Construction Employment Impacts	3.18-22
Table 3.18-11 Alternative 2 Construction Employment Impacts.....	3.18-23
Table 3.18-12 Alternative 3 Construction Employment Impacts.....	3.18-23
Table 3.18-13 Alternative 4 Construction Employment Impacts	3.18-24
Table 3.18-14 Project Total One-Time Economic Impact of Construction in the RSA	3.18-24
Table 3.18-15 Project Annual Employment Impacts during Operations and Maintenance	3.18-28
Table 3.18-16 Project Operations-Related Employed Residents and Population Growth.....	3.18-29
Table 3.18-17 Project Operations-Related Employment and Population Growth, Including Increased Accessibility Impacts	3.18-30
Table 3.18-18 Summary of Regional Growth Impacts by Alternative	3.18-34
Table 3.19-1 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Construction in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.....	3.19-17

Table 3.19-2 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Freight Relocation 3.19-18

Table 3.19-3 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Station and MOWF Operation 3.19-21

Table 3.19-4 Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Combined Construction and Operations in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District..... 3.19-22

Table 3.19-5 Summary of Highest Annual and Daily Emissions from Construction of Any of the Project Alternatives..... 3.19-25

Table 3.19-6 Summary of 2029 No Project and Plus Project Cumulative Noise Impacts on Sensitive Receptors 3.19-33

Table 3.19-7 Summary of 2040 No Project and Plus Project Cumulative Noise Impacts on Sensitive Receptors 3.19-34

Table 3.19-8 Summary of Significant Cumulative Impacts 3.19-100

Table 3.20-1 Summary of Change in 2040 Project Noise Impacts with DDV and TDV Compared to Alternatives without DDV and TDV (before mitigation) 3.20-12

Table 4-1 Section 4(f) and 6(f) Evaluation Consultation Summary 4-9

Table 4-2 San Jose to Central Valley Wye Design Options by Subsection..... 4-13

Table 4-3 Parks, Recreation Areas, Open-Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Resources Evaluated for Potential Section 4(f) Use..... 4-17

Table 4-4 Historic Properties in APE Listed, Previously Determined, or Potentially Eligible for Listing in the NRHP 4-35

Table 4-5 Potential Impacts on Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Resources Evaluated for Potential Section 4(f) Use 4-47

Table 4-6 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges..... 4-128

Table 4-7 Potential Impacts on Historical Resources Evaluated for Potential Section 4(f) Use..... 4-131

Table 4-8 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of NRHP-Listed or Eligible Properties..... 4-190

Table 4-9 Summary of Section 4(f) Avoidance Alternatives..... 4-199

Table 4-10 Measures to Minimize Harm..... 4-200

Table 4-11 Preliminary Least Harm Analysis for the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Alternatives..... 4-205

Table 4-12 Section 6(f) Resources and Findings..... 4-213

Table 5-1 Overview of Reference Community and Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (2014 Estimates)..... 5-12

Table 5-2 Reference Community Demographic Characteristics (2014 Estimates)..... 5-12

Table 5-3 Cities/Communities within the Resource Study Area..... 5-14

Table 5-4 Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (2014 Estimates) 5-14

Table 5-5 Station and Maintenance Facility Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (2014 Estimates)..... 5-16

Table 5-6 Low-Income Populations within the Reference Community (2014 Estimates) 5-17

Table 5-7 Household Incomes and Low-Income Populations within the Resource Study Area (2014 Estimates)	5-17
Table 5-8 Percentage of Households Participating in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program within the Resource Study Area (2014 Estimates).....	5-18
Table 5-9 Minority Group Representation in the Reference Community (2014 Estimates)	5-26
Table 5-10 Minority Group Representation within the Resource Study Area (2014 Estimates)	5-27
Table 5-11 Other Sensitive Populations within the Resource Study Area (2014 Estimates)	5-35
Table 5-12 Outreach to Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations.....	5-38
Table 5-13 Interviews with Stakeholder Organizations Held in 2016	5-48
Table 5-14 Interviews with Stakeholder Organizations Held in 2018 and 2019	5-49
Table 5-15 Permanent Effects on Visual Quality within the Resource Study Area	5-61
Table 5-16 Displacements by Type.....	5-66
Table 5-17 Residential and Business Displacements by Subsection and City/Community	5-67
Table 5-18 Temporary Localized Criteria Pollutants Violations by Subsection	5-73
Table 5-19 Adverse Effects on Parks, Recreation, and School District Play Areas	5-78
Table 5-20 Mitigated Operational Noise Impacts with Noise Barriers by Alternative	5-86
Table 5-21 Mitigated Operational Noise Impacts with Quiet Zones and Noise Barriers by Alternative	5-87
Table 5-22 Summary of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects on Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations	5-96
Table 6-1 Capital Cost of the High-Speed Rail Alternatives (2018\$ millions)	6-3
Table 6-2 Medium Scenario Revenue and Annual O&M Costs	6-6
Table 8-1 Community and Environmental Factors by Alternative	8-11
Table 8-2 Capital Costs of the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Extent Alternatives (2018\$ millions)	8-18
Table 8-3 Systems Sites Included in the Preferred Alternative	8-21
Table 9-1 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, December 2008–August 2009.....	9-3
Table 9-2 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, September 2009–September 2013	9-5
Table 9-3 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, October 2013–February 2016 Meeting.....	9-10
Table 9-4 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, March 2016–December 2019.....	9-20

Figures

Figure S-1 California High-Speed Rail Statewide System	S-2
Figure S-2 San Jose to Merced Project Section	S-3
Figure S-3 Overview Map of Design Options by Subsection	S-11
Figure 2-1 HSR Alternatives with Vertical Profile.....	2-2
Figure 2-2 San Jose to Merced Project Section Geographic Context.....	2-4
Figure 2-3 Examples of Japanese Shinkansen High-Speed Trains	2-8
Figure 2-4 Example of an At-Grade Profile Showing Overhead Contact System and Vertical Arms of the Pantograph Power Pickups.....	2-8
Figure 2-5 Examples of Existing Stations	2-9
Figure 2-6 Two-Train Station Platform Cross Section.....	2-10
Figure 2-7 Four-Train Station Platform Cross Section	2-11
Figure 2-8 Typical At-Grade Cross Section	2-12
Figure 2-9 Typical At-Grade Cross Section for Blended System	2-13
Figure 2-10 Typical Retained-Fill Cross Section.....	2-13
Figure 2-11 Typical Retained-Cut Cross Section.....	2-14
Figure 2-12 Typical Covered Trench Cross Section	2-15
Figure 2-13 Typical Tunnel Cross Section.....	2-15
Figure 2-14 Tunnel Portal	2-16
Figure 2-15 Two-Track Viaduct	2-17
Figure 2-16 Four-Track Viaduct	2-17
Figure 2-17 Typical Straddle Bent Cross Section	2-18
Figure 2-18 Elevated HSR Road Crossing	2-19
Figure 2-19 Road Overcrossing	2-20
Figure 2-20 Typical Cross Section of Roadway Grade-Separated beneath HSR Guideway.....	2-20
Figure 2-21 Typical Cross Section of Wildlife Crossing Structure	2-21
Figure 2-22 Typical Plan View of Wildlife Crossing Structure	2-21
Figure 2-23 Typical Four-Quadrant Gate At-Grade Crossing	2-22
Figure 2-24 Typical Cross Section of Overhead Contact System	2-23
Figure 2-25 Typical Cross Section of OCS Strain Gantry	2-24
Figure 2-26 Traction Power Substation	2-24
Figure 2-27 Traction Power Switching Station.....	2-25
Figure 2-28 Traction Power Paralleling Station	2-25
Figure 2-29 Typical Cross Section of At-Grade Profile with Traction Power, Signaling, and Train-Control Features	2-27
Figure 2-30 Alignment Alternatives Carried Forward into EIR/EIS as Identified in the Preliminary Alternatives Analysis Report	2-34
Figure 2-31 VTA's FY18-19 Transit Service Plan Proposed Service in San Jose, Morgan Hill, and Gilroy.....	2-43
Figure 2-32 Weekday Commuter Service between Merced and Los Banos	2-44

Figure 2-33 Overview Map of Design Options by Subsection	2-47
Figure 2-34 San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....	2-49
Figure 2-35 Monterey Corridor Subsection	2-50
Figure 2-36 Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection.....	2-51
Figure 2-37 Pacheco Pass Subsection	2-52
Figure 2-38 San Joaquin Valley Subsection	2-53
Figure 2-39 Representative HSR Infrastructure Considerations.....	2-57
Figure 2-40 At-Grade Cross-Section Configuration for UPRR Adjacency	2-59
Figure 2-41 Viaduct Cross-Section Configuration for UPRR Adjacency.....	2-59
Figure 2-42 South Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility (Alternatives 1 and 2).....	2-64
Figure 2-43 South Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility (Alternative 4)	2-64
Figure 2-44 East Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility (Alternative 3).....	2-65
Figure 2-45 Maintenance of Way Siding near Turner Island Road.....	2-66
Figure 2-46 Location of State Highways or Routes Affected by HSR Alternatives	2-69
Figure 2-47 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR— San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....	2-70
Figure 2-48 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR— Monterey Corridor Subsection	2-71
Figure 2-49 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR— Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Northern Section).....	2-72
Figure 2-50 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR— Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Southern Section)	2-73
Figure 2-51 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR— Pacheco Pass Subsection	2-74
Figure 2-52 Local Roadway Modifications Required for HSR— San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	2-75
Figure 2-53 Alternative 1 Proposed Alignment.....	2-80
Figure 2-54 Conceptual San Jose Diridon Aerial Station Plan (Alternatives 1 and 3).....	2-83
Figure 2-55 Conceptual San Jose Diridon Aerial Station Cross Section (Alternatives 1 and 3).....	2-84
Figure 2-56 Conceptual Downtown Gilroy Aerial Station Plan (Alternative 1)	2-91
Figure 2-57 Cross Section of Downtown Gilroy Aerial Station (Alternative 1)	2-92
Figure 2-58 Alternative 2 Proposed Alignment.....	2-97
Figure 2-59 Conceptual Downtown Gilroy Embankment Station Plan (Alternative 2)	2-105
Figure 2-60 Cross Section of Downtown Gilroy Embankment Station (Alternative 2)	2-106
Figure 2-61 Alternative 3 Proposed Alignment.....	2-109
Figure 2-62 Conceptual East Gilroy Station Plan (Alternative 3).....	2-113
Figure 2-63 Cross Section of East Gilroy Station.....	2-114
Figure 2-64 Alternative 4 Proposed Alignment.....	2-116
Figure 2-65 Conceptual San Jose Diridon At-Grade Station Plan (Alternative 4).....	2-119

Figure 2-66 Conceptual Downtown Gilroy At-Grade Station Plan (Alternative 4)..... 2-125

Figure 2-67 Typical Precasting Yard Layout..... 2-143

Figure 2-68 Expected Haul Distances by Equipment Type..... 2-146

Figure 2-69 Typical Aerial Structure Components 2-147

Figure 2-70 Full-Span Precast Construction on Taiwan HSR 2-149

Figure 2-71 Span-by-Span Precast Segmental Construction 2-149

Figure 2-72 Balanced Cantilever Segmental Construction 2-149

Figure 2-73 Cast-in-Place Construction on Formwork..... 2-149

Figure 2-74 Locations of Tunnels along the Alternatives 2-150

Figure 2-75 Tunnel Boring Machine 2-151

Figure 2-76 Tunnel Boring Machines at Double Portal Entrance 2-151

Figure 2-77 Phasing of San Jose Diridon Station for Alternative 4 2-155

Figure 3.1-1 Typical Resource Study Area..... 3.1-7

Figure 3.2-1 Regionally Significant Freeways, Expressways, and Arterial Roadways 3.2-20

Figure 3.2-2 Monterey Corridor Freeway Segments..... 3.2-21

Figure 3.2-3 Gilroy Freeway Segments..... 3.2-22

Figure 3.2-4a Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (Off-Street within 1/2 mile) 3.2-25

Figure 3.2-4b Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (Off-Street within 1/3 mile) 3.2-26

Figure 3.2-4c Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (On-Street within 1/2 mile) 3.2-27

Figure 3.2-4d Parking near San Jose Diridon Station and SAP Center (On-Street within 1/3 mile) 3.2-28

Figure 3.2-5 San Jose Diridon Station Existing Transit Routes 3.2-32

Figure 3.2-6 Gilroy Station Existing Transit Routes 3.2-33

Figure 3.2-7 San Jose Diridon Station Existing Bicycle Facilities 3.2-36

Figure 3.2-8 Gilroy Station Existing Bicycle Facilities 3.2-37

Figure 3.2-9 Railroad Control Points and Subdivisions in the RSA (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.2-39

Figure 3.2-10 Railroad Control Points and Subdivisions in the RSA (Morgan Hill and Gilroy and Pacheco Pass Subsections) 3.2-40

Figure 3.2-11 Summary of 2040 with Project Transportation Effects by Subsection 3.2-96

Figure 3.3-1 Aggregate GHG Emissions Reductions That Would Result from the California High-Speed Rail Project 3.3-9

Figure 3.3-2 Resource Study Area Air Basins and Air Districts 3.3-13

Figure 3.3-3 Monitoring Station Locations 3.3-37

Figure 3.3-4 Sensitive Receptor Locations within 1,000 Feet of the San Jose Diridon Station 3.3-41

Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Receptor Locations within 1,000 Feet of the Downtown Gilroy Station 3.3-42

Figure 3.3-6 Sensitive Receptor Locations within
1,000 Feet of the East Gilroy Station 3.3-43

Figure 3.3-7 Sensitive Receptor Locations within
1,000 Feet of the East Gilroy Maintenance of Way Facility 3.3-44

Figure 3.4-1 Typical A-Weighted Maximum Sound Levels 3.4-3

Figure 3.4-2 Propagation of Ground-Borne Vibration into Buildings 3.4-4

Figure 3.4-3 State of California Land Use Compatibility Guidelines 3.4-8

Figure 3.4-4 Allowable Increase in Cumulative
Noise Levels (Land Use Categories 1 and 2)..... 3.4-16

Figure 3.4-5 Allowable Increase in Cumulative
Noise Levels (Land Use Category 3) 3.4-16

Figure 3.4-6 Distance from Tracks within which Startle Can Occur for HSR 3.4-17

Figure 3.4-7 Existing Vibration Measurement Levels..... 3.4-33

Figure 3.4-8 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 1 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-44

Figure 3.4-9 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 1 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-45

Figure 3.4-10 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 1 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-46

Figure 3.4-11 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 (Pacheco Pass Subsection) 3.4-47

Figure 3.4-12 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 (San Joaquin Valley Subsection)..... 3.4-48

Figure 3.4-13 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 2 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-49

Figure 3.4-14 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 2 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-50

Figure 3.4-15 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 2 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-51

Figure 3.4-16 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 3 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-52

Figure 3.4-17 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 3 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-53

Figure 3.4-18 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 3 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-54

Figure 3.4-19 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 4 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-55

Figure 3.4-20 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 4 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-56

Figure 3.4-21 2040 Plus Project Noise Impacts—
Alternative 4 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection)..... 3.4-57

Figure 3.4-22 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 1 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-68

Figure 3.4-23 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 1 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-69

Figure 3.4-24 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 2 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-70

Figure 3.4-25 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 2 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-71

Figure 3.4-26 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 2 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection) 3.4-72

Figure 3.4-27 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 3 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-73

Figure 3.4-28 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 3 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-74

Figure 3.4-29 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 4 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-75

Figure 3.4-30 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 4 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-76

Figure 3.4-31 2029 and 2040 Plus Project Vibration Impacts—
Alternative 4 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection) 3.4-77

Figure 3.4-32 Example of a Typical Noise Barrier 3.4-82

Figure 3.4-33 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—
Alternative 1 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-92

Figure 3.4-34 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—
Alternative 1 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-93

Figure 3.4-35 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—
Alternative 1 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection) 3.4-94

Figure 3.4-36 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—
Alternative 2 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-95

Figure 3.4-37 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—
Alternative 2 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection) 3.4-96

Figure 3.4-38 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—
Alternative 3 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-97

Figure 3.4-39 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—
Alternative 4 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-98

Figure 3.4-40 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—
Alternative 4 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-99

Figure 3.4-41 Proposed Noise Barriers and Residual Noise Impacts—
Alternative 4 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection) 3.4-100

Figure 3.4-42 Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones and Residual Noise
Impacts—Alternative 4 (San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection) 3.4-101

Figure 3.4-43 Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones and Residual Noise
Impacts—Alternative 4 (Monterey Corridor Subsection) 3.4-102

Figure 3.4-44 Proposed Noise Barriers with Quiet Zones and Residual Noise
Impacts—Alternative 4 (Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection) 3.4-103

Figure 3.5-1 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources
of EMF and EMI: San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection 3.5-17

Figure 3.5-2 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources
of EMF and EMI: Monterey Corridor Subsection 3.5-18

Figure 3.5-3 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI: Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection	3.5-19
Figure 3.5-4 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI: Pacheco Pass Subsection.....	3.5-20
Figure 3.5-5 EMF Measurement Site Locations with Existing Sources of EMF and EMI: San Joaquin Valley Subsection	3.5-21
Figure 3.6-1 Electric Transmission Lines, Power Lines, and Substations in the Resource Study Area.....	3.6-22
Figure 3.6-2 Major Natural Gas Pipelines in the Resource Study Area.....	3.6-23
Figure 3.6-3 Major Petroleum Pipelines in the Resource Study Area.....	3.6-24
Figure 3.6-4 Potable Water Distribution System Boundaries	3.6-26
Figure 3.6-5 Agricultural Irrigation District Water System Boundaries.....	3.6-27
Figure 3.6-6 California Energy Consumption by Sector, 2015	3.6-41
Figure 3.6-7 California Energy Consumption Estimates by Type, 2015	3.6-41
Figure 3.6-8 Historical Trends and Projected Statewide Annual Electricity Consumption – Base Demand	3.6-44
Figure 3.6-9 Historical Trends and Projected Statewide Annual Electricity Consumption –Peak Demand	3.6-45
Figure 3.6-10 California Natural Gas Demand by Sector in 2016.....	3.6-46
Figure 3.6-11 Proposed HSR Electrical Components— San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....	3.6-59
Figure 3.6-12 Proposed HSR Electrical Components— Monterey Corridor Subsection	3.6-60
Figure 3.6-13 Proposed HSR Electrical Components— Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection.....	3.6-61
Figure 3.6-14 Proposed HSR Electrical Components— Pacheco Pass Subsection	3.6-62
Figure 3.6-15 Proposed HSR Electrical Components— San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	3.6-63
Figure 3.7-1 Schematic of Biological Resource Study Areas	3.7-16
Figure 3.7-2 Regional RSA, Ecoregion, and County Boundaries	3.7-17
Figure 3.7-3 Watersheds and Major Hydrological Features of the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Project Extent.....	3.7-31
Figure 3.7-4 Protected Lands and Conservation Planning Boundaries in the Regional RSA.....	3.7-50
Figure 3.8-1 Planning Watersheds in the Surface Water Resource Study Area.....	3.8-12
Figure 3.8-2 Groundwater Subbasins in the Groundwater Resource Study Area.....	3.8-13
Figure 3.8-3 Waterbodies in the Resource Study Area	3.8-23
Figure 3.8-4 Water Quality Impairments in the Resource Study Area	3.8-27
Figure 3.8-5 Groundwater Recharge in the Santa Clara and Llagas Area Subbasins.....	3.8-30
Figure 3.8-6 FEMA Floodplains in the Resource Study Area	3.8-38
Figure 3.8-7 Comparison of the Existing FEMA 100-Year Floodplain and Existing 100-Year Floodplain from Hydraulic Model.....	3.8-39

Figure 3.8-8 Existing 100-Year Water Surface Elevations from ICM Hydraulic Model	3.8-40
Figure 3.8-9 Permanent Impacts of South Gilroy MOWFs on Existing Waterbodies and Wetlands—Alternatives 1, 2, and 4	3.8-50
Figure 3.8-10 Permanent Impacts of East Gilroy MOWF on Existing Waterbodies and Wetlands—Alternative 3	3.8-51
Figure 3.8-11 Permanent Impacts on Existing Waterbodies and Wetlands near Tunnel 1—Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4	3.8-52
Figure 3.8-12. Groundwater and Surface Water Resources That May Be Affected during Tunnel 1 Construction, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection	3.8-92
Figure 3.8-13. Groundwater and Surface Water Resources That May Be Affected during Tunnel 2 Construction (western portion)	3.8-93
Figure 3.8-14. Groundwater and Surface Water Resources That May Be Affected during Tunnel 2 Construction (central portion)	3.8-94
Figure 3.8-15. Groundwater and Surface Water Resources That May Be Affected during Tunnel 2 Construction (eastern portion)	3.8-95
Figure 3.8-16 Hydraulic Model Overview and Proposed Bridge over Llagas Creek near East Gilroy under Alternative 3	3.8-105
Figure 3.8-17 Embankment Cross Section in Soap Lake Floodplain	3.8-107
Figure 3.8-18 Impacts on the Soap Lake Floodplain under Alternative 1, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection	3.8-109
Figure 3.8-19 Impacts on the Soap Lake Floodplain under Alternative 2, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection	3.8-110
Figure 3.8-20 Impacts on the Soap Lake Floodplain under Alternative 3, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection	3.8-111
Figure 3.8-21 Impacts on the Soap Lake Floodplain under Alternative 4, Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection	3.8-112
Figure 3.9-1a San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection—Geologic Map	3.9-16
Figure 3.9-1b Monterey Corridor Subsection—Geologic Map	3.9-17
Figure 3.9-1c Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection—Geologic Map	3.9-18
Figure 3.9-1d Pacheco Pass Subsection—Geologic Map	3.9-19
Figure 3.9-1e San Joaquin Valley Subsection—Geologic Map	3.9-20
Figure 3.9-2 San Joaquin Valley Land Subsidence	3.9-30
Figure 3.9-3 Summary Distribution of Slides and Earth Flows in Santa Clara County	3.9-32
Figure 3.9-4 Significant Landslides in Morgan Hill and Gilroy and Pacheco Pass Subsections	3.9-33
Figure 3.9-5 Potentially Expansive Soil	3.9-36
Figure 3.9-6 U.S. Department of Agriculture Mapped Soil Corrosion of Steel	3.9-38
Figure 3.9-7 U.S. Department of Agriculture Mapped Soil Corrosion of Concrete ...	3.9-39
Figure 3.9-8 Regional Faulting	3.9-41
Figure 3.9-9 Liquefaction Susceptibility in Santa Clara County	3.9-43
Figure 3.9-10 Dams Located near Proposed Alignments	3.9-45
Figure 3.10-1 Adjacent Land Uses along the Project Extent	3.10-11

Figure 3.10-2 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities— San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection	3.10-13
Figure 3.10-3 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities— Monterey Corridor Subsection	3.10-14
Figure 3.10-4 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities— Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection	3.10-15
Figure 3.10-5 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities— Pacheco Pass Subsection	3.10-16
Figure 3.10-6 PEC Sites and Educational Facilities— San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	3.10-17
Figure 3.11-1 San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection: Safety and Security Resource Study Area and Existing Conditions	3.11-19
Figure 3.11-2 Monterey Corridor Subsection: Safety and Security Resource Study Area and Existing Conditions	3.11-20
Figure 3.11-3 Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection: Safety and Security Resource Study Area and Existing Conditions.....	3.11-21
Figure 3.11-4 Pacheco Pass Subsection: Safety and Security Resource Study Area and Existing Conditions.....	3.11-22
Figure 3.11-5 San Joaquin Valley Subsection: Safety and Security Resource Study Area and Existing Conditions.....	3.11-23
Figure 3.11-6 Proposed Road Configuration for San Jose Fire Station 18—Skyway Drive Variant A	3.11-45
Figure 3.11-7 Proposed Road Configuration for San Jose Fire Station 18—Skyway Drive Variant B	3.11-46
Figure 3.11-8 Proposed Road Configuration for Morgan Hill Charter School—Alternative 1.....	3.11-47
Figure 3.11-9 Proposed Road Configuration for Morgan Hill Charter School—Alternative 2.....	3.11-48
Figure 3.11-10 Fire Station Emergency Vehicle Response Times	3.11-54
Figure 3.11-11 Fire Hazards—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection	3.11-77
Figure 3.11-12 Fire Hazards—Pacheco Pass Subsection	3.11-78
Figure 3.12-1 Communities in the RSA.....	3.12-18
Figure 3.12-2 Community Facilities in the San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....	3.12-21
Figure 3.12-3 Community Facilities in the Monterey Corridor Subsection	3.12-23
Figure 3.12-4 Community Facilities in the Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection.....	3.12-25
Figure 3.12-5 Community Facilities in the Pacheco Pass Subsection	3.12-27
Figure 3.12-6 Community Facilities in the San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	3.12-28
Figure 3.12-7 Wildlife Areas and Private Recreational Use in the Grasslands Ecological Area	3.12-36

Figure 3.12-8 Noise Contours Relative to Wildlife Areas and Private Hunting Clubs 3.12-92

Figure 3.13-1 Existing Land Uses – San Jose Diridon Station Area 3.13-8

Figure 3.13-2 Existing Land Uses—Downtown Gilroy Station Area (Alternatives 1, 2, and 4)..... 3.13-11

Figure 3.13-3a Existing Land Uses—South Gilroy MOWF (Alternatives 1 and 2).. 3.13-12

Figure 3.13-3b Existing Land Uses—South Gilroy MOWF (Alternative 4) 3.13-13

Figure 3.13-4 Existing Land Uses—East Gilroy Station Area (Alternative 3) 3.13-15

Figure 3.13-5 Existing Land Uses—East Gilroy MOWF (Alternative 3) 3.13-16

Figure 3.13-6 Existing Land Uses—MOWS near Turner Island Road (All Project Alternatives) 3.13-17

Figure 3.13-7 Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—San Jose Diridon Station RSA..... 3.13-19

Figure 3.13-8 Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—Downtown Gilroy Station RSA 3.13-20

Figure 3.13-9a Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—South Gilroy MOWF RSA (Alternatives 1 and 2) 3.13-21

Figure 3.13-9b Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—South Gilroy MOWF RSA (Alternative 4) 3.13-22

Figure 3.13-10 Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—East Gilroy Station RSA 3.13-27

Figure 3.13-11 Planned Land Uses (Current Zoning)—East Gilroy MOWF RSA 3.13-28

Figure 3.13-12 Planned Land Uses (Zoning)—MOWS near Turner Island Road RSA..... 3.13-30

Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Lands in Santa Clara, San Benito, and Merced Counties 3.14-14

Figure 3.14-2a Important Farmland in the Monterey Corridor Subsection 3.14-17

Figure 3.14-2b Important Farmland in the Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection 3.14-18

Figure 3.14-2c Important Farmland in the Pacheco Pass Subsection 3.14-19

Figure 3.14-2d Important Farmland in the San Joaquin Valley Subsection..... 3.14-20

Figure 3.14-3a Farmland Protected under Williamson Act in the Monterey Corridor Subsection 3.14-23

Figure 3.14-3b Farmland Protected under Williamson Act in the Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection..... 3.14-24

Figure 3.14-3c Farmland Protected under Williamson Act in the Pacheco Pass Subsection 3.14-25

Figure 3.14-3d Farmland Protected under Williamson Act in the San Joaquin Valley Subsection 3.14-26

Figure 3.14-4 Important Farmland Remnant Parcels and Important Farmland Mitigation Ratios..... 3.14-42

Figure 3.15-1 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (north) 3.15-9

Figure 3.15-2 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (south)..... 3.15-10

Figure 3.15-3 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Monterey Corridor Subsection.....	3.15-11
Figure 3.15-4 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (north).....	3.15-12
Figure 3.15-5 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (central).....	3.15-13
Figure 3.15-6 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (south).....	3.15-14
Figure 3.15-7 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—Pacheco Pass Subsection.....	3.15-15
Figure 3.15-8 Parks, Recreation, Open Space Resources, and School District Play Areas—San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	3.15-16
Figure 3.15-9 Permanent Acquisition at Reed Street Dog Park— Alternatives 2 and 3.....	3.15-67
Figure 3.15-10 Permanent Acquisition at Guadalupe River Trail (Reach 6)— Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.....	3.15-68
Figure 3.15-11 Permanent Acquisition at Los Gatos Creek Trail— Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.....	3.15-69
Figure 3.15-12 Permanent Acquisition at Los Gatos Creek Trail— Alternative 4.....	3.15-70
Figure 3.15-13 Permanent Acquisition at Fuller Park—Alternative 4.....	3.15-71
Figure 3.15-14 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North— Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (north).....	3.15-72
Figure 3.15-15 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North— Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (south).....	3.15-73
Figure 3.15-16 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North— Alternative 4 (north).....	3.15-74
Figure 3.15-17 Permanent Acquisition of Highway 87 Bikeway North— Alternative 4 (south).....	3.15-75
Figure 3.15-18 Permanent Acquisition at Tamien Park— Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.....	3.15-76
Figure 3.15-19 Permanent Acquisition of Three Creeks Trail (Planned)— Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.....	3.15-77
Figure 3.15-20 Permanent Acquisition of Three Creeks Trail (Planned)— Alternative 4.....	3.15-78
Figure 3.15-21 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway— Alternatives 1 and 3 (part 1 of 4).....	3.15-79
Figure 3.15-22 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway— Alternatives 1 and 3 (part 2 of 4).....	3.15-80
Figure 3.15-23 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway— Alternatives 1 and 3 (part 3 of 4).....	3.15-81
Figure 3.15-24 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway— Alternatives 1 and 3 (part 4 of 4).....	3.15-82
Figure 3.15-25 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway— Alternative 2 (part 1 of 5).....	3.15-83

Figure 3.15-26 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway—
Alternative 2 (part 2 of 5)..... 3.15-84

Figure 3.15-27 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway—
Alternative 2 (part 3 of 5)..... 3.15-85

Figure 3.15-28 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway—
Alternative 2 (part 4 of 5)..... 3.15-86

Figure 3.15-29 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway—
Alternative 2 (part 5 of 5)..... 3.15-87

Figure 3.15-30 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway—
Alternative 4 (part 1 of 4)..... 3.15-88

Figure 3.15-31 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway—
Alternative 4 (part 2 of 4)..... 3.15-89

Figure 3.15-32 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway—
Alternative 4 (part 3 of 4)..... 3.15-90

Figure 3.15-33 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Parkway—
Alternative 4 (part 4 of 4)..... 3.15-91

Figure 3.15-34 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Trail—
Alternatives 1 and 3..... 3.15-92

Figure 3.15-35 Permanent Acquisition of Coyote Creek Trail—Alternative 2..... 3.15-93

Figure 3.15-36 Permanent Acquisition of Morgan Hill
Community and Cultural Center— Alternative 2 3.15-94

Figure 3.15-37 Permanent Acquisition of
San Martin/Gwinn Elementary School—Alternative 2 3.15-113

Figure 3.15-38 Permanent Acquisition of
South Valley Middle School—Alternative 1..... 3.15-114

Figure 3.15-39 Permanent Acquisition of
South Valley Middle School—Alternative 2..... 3.15-115

Figure 3.16-1 Project Alternatives, KVPs, and Regional Scenic Resources 3.16-7

Figure 3.16-2 Visual Effects 3.16-12

Figure 3.16-3 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Santa Clara Landscape Unit..... 3.16-14

Figure 3.16-4 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Diridon Station Landscape Unit 3.16-20

Figure 3.16-5 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit..... 3.16-25

Figure 3.16-6 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Communications Hill Landscape Unit 3.16-31

Figure 3.16-7 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit..... 3.16-34

Figure 3.16-8 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Coyote Valley Landscape Unit..... 3.16-39

Figure 3.16-9 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the US 101 Landscape Unit..... 3.16-44

Figure 3.16-10 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Morgan Hill–San Martin Landscape Unit..... 3.16-48

Figure 3.16-11 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit3.16-53

Figure 3.16-12 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Pajaro–San Felipe Landscape Unit3.16-58

Figure 3.16-13 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit.....3.16-62

Figure 3.16-14 Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the San Luis Landscape Unit3.16-66

Figure 3.16-15 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Romero Landscape Unit.....3.16-69

Figure 3.16-16 KVPs, Visual Resources, and Viewers
in the Henry Miller Landscape Unit3.16-73

Figure 3.16-17 KVP 1 Santa Clara Landscape Unit—
Main Street: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views.....3.16-86

Figure 3.16-18 KVP 2 Santa Clara Landscape Unit—
I-880: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views.....3.16-87

Figure 3.16-19 KVP 3 Santa Clara Landscape Unit—
West Hedding Street: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views3.16-88

Figure 3.16-20 KVP 4 Diridon Station Landscape Unit—
Caltrain from The Alameda: Existing, Baseline 2029,
and Simulated Views3.16-92

Figure 3.16-21 KVP 5 Diridon Station Landscape Unit—
Caltrain from West Santa Clara Street: Existing,
Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views3.16-93

Figure 3.16-22 KVP 6 Diridon Station Landscape Unit—
San Jose Diridon Station: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views3.16-94

Figure 3.16-23 KVP 7 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit—
San Jose Skyline: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views.....3.16-96

Figure 3.16-24 KVP 8 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit—
Gardner School: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views3.16-97

Figure 3.16-25 KVP 9 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit—
Fuller Avenue: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views3.16-99

Figure 3.16-26 KVP 10 San Jose Station Approach Landscape Unit—
Delmas Street: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views3.16-100

Figure 3.16-27 KVP 11 Communications Hill Landscape Unit—
Communications Hill Park: Existing and Simulated Views.....3.16-102

Figure 3.16-28 KVP 12 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit—
Lick Quarry: Existing and Simulated Views3.16-105

Figure 3.16-29 KVP 13 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit—
Branham Lane: Existing and Simulated Views.....3.16-106

Figure 3.16-30 KVP 14 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit—
Edenvale Drive: Existing, Baseline 2029, and Simulated Views3.16-107

Figure 3.16-31 KVP 15 Monterey Highway San Jose Landscape Unit—
Avenida Rotella: Existing and Simulated Views3.16-108

Figure 3.16-32 KVP 16 Coyote Valley Landscape Unit—
Monterey Road Coyote Valley: Existing and Simulated Views3.16-112

Figure 3.16-33 KVP 17 US 101 Landscape Unit—
Walnut Grove: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-116

Figure 3.16-34 KVP 18 US 101 Landscape Unit—
East Dunne Avenue: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-117

Figure 3.16-35 KVP 19 Morgan Hill–San Martin Landscape Unit—
Peebles Avenue: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-120

Figure 3.16-36 KVP 20 Morgan Hill–San Martin Landscape Unit—
Caltrain Morgan Hill Station: Existing and Simulated Views..... 3.16-122

Figure 3.16-37 KVP 21 Morgan Hill San Martin Landscape Unit—
San Martin: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-123

Figure 3.16-38 KVP 22 Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit—
East 6th Street: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-126

Figure 3.16-39 KVP 23 Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit—
Caltrain Gilroy Station: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-127

Figure 3.16-40 KVP 24 Downtown Gilroy Landscape Unit—
East 8th Street: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-128

Figure 3.16-41 KVP 25 Pajaro–San Felipe Landscape Unit—
Leavesley Road: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-133

Figure 3.16-42 KVP 26 Pajaro–San Felipe Landscape Unit—
SR 152 at Frazier Lake Road: Existing and Simulated Views..... 3.16-136

Figure 3.16-43 KVP 27 Pajaro–San Felipe Landscape Unit—
San Felipe: Existing and Simulated Views..... 3.16-137

Figure 3.16-44 KVP 28 Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit—
SR 152: Existing and Simulated Views..... 3.16-140

Figure 3.16-45 KVP 29 Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit—
Casa de Fruta: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-141

Figure 3.16-46 KVP 30 Pacheco Pass Landscape Unit—
Pacheco Creek Valley: Existing and Simulated Views..... 3.16-142

Figure 3.16-47 KVP 31 Romero Landscape Unit—
West Loop Road: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-144

Figure 3.16-48 KVP 32 Romero Landscape Unit—
Pomas Road: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-146

Figure 3.16-49 KVP 33 Romero Landscape Unit—
Interstate 5: Existing and Simulated Views..... 3.16-147

Figure 3.16-50 KVP 34 Henry Miller Landscape Unit—
Volta: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-149

Figure 3.16-51 KVP 35 Henry Miller Landscape Unit—
Henry Miller Road: Existing and Simulated Views 3.16-150

Figure 3.16-52 KVP 33—Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4 Simulation..... 3.16-152

Figure 3.17-1 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource
Locations—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection..... 3.17-40

Figure 3.17-2 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource
Locations—Monterrey Corridor Subsection 3.17-41

Figure 3.17-3 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource
Locations—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection..... 3.17-42

Figure 3.17-4 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource Locations—Pacheco Pass Subsection.....	3.17-43
Figure 3.17-5 Potentially Affected Historic Built Environment Resource Locations—San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	3.17-44
Figure 3.20-1 Extent of Diridon Design Variant.....	3.20-2
Figure 3.20-2 Extent of Tunnel Design Variant.....	3.20-4
Figure 4-1 HSR Alternatives by Subsection.....	4-12
Figure 4-2 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Northern Portion).....	4-26
Figure 4-3 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection (Southern Portion).....	4-27
Figure 4-4 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Monterey Corridor Subsection.....	4-28
Figure 4-5 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Northern Portion).....	4-29
Figure 4-6 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Central Portion).....	4-30
Figure 4-7 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Southern Portion).....	4-31
Figure 4-8 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Pacheco Pass Subsection.....	4-32
Figure 4-9 Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	4-33
Figure 4-10 Built Historic Resources—San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection.....	4-38
Figure 4-11 Built Historic Resources—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Northern Portion).....	4-39
Figure 4-12 Built Historic Resources—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (North-Central Portion).....	4-40
Figure 4-13 Built Historic Resources—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Central Portion).....	4-41
Figure 4-14 Built Historic Resources—Morgan Hill and Gilroy Subsection (Central-Southern Portion).....	4-42
Figure 4-15 Built Historic Resources—Pacheco Pass Subsection (Western Portion).....	4-43
Figure 4-16 Built Historic Resources—Pacheco Pass Subsection (Eastern Portion).....	4-44
Figure 4-17 Built Historic Resources—San Joaquin Valley Subsection.....	4-45
Figure 4-18 Guadalupe River Park.....	4-94
Figure 4-19 Reed Street Dog Park.....	4-95
Figure 4-20 Larry J. Marsalli Park.....	4-96
Figure 4-21 Newhall Park.....	4-97
Figure 4-22 College Park.....	4-98
Figure 4-23 Theodore Lenzen Park.....	4-99

Figure 4-24 Cahill Park 4-100

Figure 4-25 Los Gatos Creek Trail 4-101

Figure 4-26 Guadalupe River Trail, Reach 6 4-102

Figure 4-27 Biebrach Park 4-103

Figure 4-28 Fuller Park 4-104

Figure 4-29 Tamien Park 4-105

Figure 4-30 Communications Hill Trail 4-106

Figure 4-31 Edenvale Gardens Regional Park 4-107

Figure 4-32 Coyote Creek Parkway (Part A) 4-108

Figure 4-33 Coyote Creek Parkway (Part B) 4-109

Figure 4-34 Coyote Creek Parkway (Part C) 4-110

Figure 4-35 Coyote Creek Parkway (Part D) 4-111

Figure 4-36 Coyote Creek Parkway (Part E) 4-112

Figure 4-37 Coyote Creek Parkway (Part F) 4-113

Figure 4-38 Coyote Creek Trail (Part A) 4-114

Figure 4-39 Coyote Creek Trail (Part B) 4-115

Figure 4-40 Tulare Hill 4-116

Figure 4-41 Field Sports Park 4-117

Figure 4-42 Anderson Lake County Park 4-118

Figure 4-43 Sanchez Park 4-119

Figure 4-44 Villa Mira Monte 4-120

Figure 4-45 Morgan Hill Community and Cultural Center 4-121

Figure 4-46 San Ysidro Park 4-122

Figure 4-47 Forest Street Park 4-123

Figure 4-48 Gilroy Sports Park 4-124

Figure 4-49 Cottonwood Creek Wildlife Area 4-125

Figure 4-50 Volta Wildlife Area 4-126

Figure 4-51 Los Banos Wildlife Area 4-127

Figure 4-52 Santa Clara Railroad Historical Complex 4-172

Figure 4-53 Southern Pacific Depot (Diridon Station/Hiram Cahill Depot) 4-173

Figure 4-54 Sunlite Baking Company 4-174

Figure 4-55 415 Illinois Avenue 4-175

Figure 4-56 Stevens/Fisher House 4-176

Figure 4-57 Barnhart House 4-177

Figure 4-58 Madrone Underpass 4-178

Figure 4-59 San Martin Winery 4-179

Figure 4-60 Japanese School 4-180

Figure 4-61 IOOF Orphanage Home 4-181

Figure 4-62 Gilroy City Hall 4-182

Figure 4-63 Live Oak Creamery 4-183

Figure 4-64 Millers Canal 4-184

Figure 4-65 California Aqueduct4-185

Figure 4-66 Delta-Mendota Canal.....4-186

Figure 4-67 San Joaquin and Kings River – Main Canal.....4-187

Figure 4-68 Negra Ranch4-188

Figure 4-69 Cozzi Family Property4-189

Figure 5-1 Environmental Justice Reference Community and Resource Study
Area.....5-6

Figure 5-2 Population Density within the Environmental Justice Reference
Community5-7

Figure 5-3 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 1 of 5).....5-20

Figure 5-4 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 2 of 5).....5-21

Figure 5-5 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 3 of 5).....5-22

Figure 5-6 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 4 of 5).....5-23

Figure 5-7 Low-Income Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 5 of 5).....5-24

Figure 5-8 Minority Population Distribution5-28

Figure 5-9 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 1 of 5)5-29

Figure 5-10 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 2 of 5)5-30

Figure 5-11 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 3 of 5)5-31

Figure 5-12 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 4 of 5)5-32

Figure 5-13 Minority Populations in the Resource Study Area (Part 5 of 5)5-33

Figure 5-14 Locations of Environmental Justice Outreach Activities5-47

Figure 5-15 Adverse Visual Effects.....5-65

Figure 5-16 Residential Displacements—Proportional Representation by
Alternative and Community.....5-68

Figure 5-17 Business Displacements—Proportional Representation by
Alternative and Community.....5-69

Figure 5-18 Adverse Effects on Parks, Recreation, and School District Play
Areas.....5-81

Figure 5-19 Mitigated Operational Noise Impacts (Noise Barriers)—
Proportional Representation by Alternative and Community5-88

Figure 5-20 Mitigated Operational Noise Impacts (Noise Barriers and Quiet
Zones)—Proportional Representation by Alternative and Community.....5-89

Figure 8-1 Preferred Alternative.....8-2