(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)			3. Date of Land Evaluation Request				4. Sheet 1 o	4. Sheet 1 of	
1. Name of Project			5. Federal Agency Involved						
2. Type of Project			6. County and State						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)			1. Date Request Received by NRCS			2. Person Completing Form			
3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmlan (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this fo			YES I I NO I I		4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size				
			nd in Government J urisdiction		7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA				
A		Acres:			%		Acres: %		
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used 9. Name of Lo			al Site Asse	essment System 10. Dat			te Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS		
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)				Alternative Corridor For Segment Corridor A					
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly				Corridor A	Con	iuoi b	Corridor C	Corridor B	
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services									
C. Total Acres In Corridor									
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information									
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland									
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland									
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converte									
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relat									
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion I value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)									
PART VI (To be completed by Fed			Maximum						
Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))			Points						
1. Area in Nonurban Use			15						
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use			10						
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed			20						
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government			20						
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average			10		ļ				
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland			25 5						
7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services 8. On-Farm Investments			20						
On-Farm Investments Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			25					1	
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			10						
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS			160						
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)									
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)			100						
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)			160						
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260						
1. Corridor Selected:	2. Total Acres of Farn		3. Date Of S	Selection:	4. Was	A Local Si	<u>l</u> te Assessment Use	d?	
	Converted by Proje	ject:							
				YES NO					
5. Reason For Selection:									
Signature of Person Completing this Part: Vigna C. Lobas				DATE					
NOTE: Complete a form for e			o Altornat	o Corridor					

CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor - type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor - type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended? More than 90 percent - 15 points 90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s) Less than 20 percent - 0 points

How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use? More than 90 percent - 10 points 90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s) Less than 20 percent - 0 points

How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last (3)10 years? More than 90 percent - 20 points

90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s) Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4)

- Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland? Site is protected - 20 points Site is not protected - 0 points
- Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average size farming unit in the County? (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with \$1,000 or more in sales.) As large or larger - 10 points

Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?

Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points

Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)

Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?

All required services are available - 5 points

Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)

No required services are available - 0 points

Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?

High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points

Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)

No on-farm investment - 0 points

- Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area? Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s) No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points
- Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use? Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s) Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points