

APPENDIX 5-B, APPENDIX C: BIANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH REPORTS



SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY April 16 – October 15, 2016

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority San Jose to Merced Project Section between April 16 and October 15, 2016. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

Similar to the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Organizational stakeholder contact
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, the Authority conducted four public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section, including:

Date	City	Meeting Location
May 16, 2016	Los Banos	Los Banos Community Center
May 17, 2016	San Jose	Berryessa Community Center
May 18, 2016	Gilroy	Gilroy Senior Center
June 6, 2016	San Jose	Gardner Community Center

Each of these cities includes substantial low-income and limited English-proficient (LEP) populations. For each of the public meetings, the Authority provided interpreters for languages commonly spoken (i.e., 5% or more of the population speaks the language as its first language) in each respective community (Spanish for Gilroy and Los Banos; Spanish and Vietnamese for San Jose). The meeting invitation flyer was translated and made available in the following languages: English, Spanish, Mandarin, Vietnamese and Tagalog (note: these are the five most commonly spoken languages in the San Jose to Merced Project Section). In addition, several factsheets were available in Spanish at the meetings.

Title VIⁱⁱ reports were submitted to the Title VI Coordinator within 5 days after each public meeting.

II. Organizational Stakeholder Contact

A series of interviews was conducted in July and August of 2016 with stakeholders serving environmental justice populations along the San Jose to Merced Project Section to inform the Authority's outreach efforts to these populations. The primary objectives of the interviews were to:

- Better understand the interests and concerns of low-income and minority populations and how they relate to the High-Speed Rail project;
- Inform the Authority's strategy for meaningfully engaging low-income and/or minority stakeholders, including anticipating and responding to potential challenges; and
- Identify specific environmental justice outreach opportunities (events, meetings, neighborhood groups, etc.) and additional stakeholders with whom to partner moving forward.

The following stakeholders were interviewed for the San Jose to Merced Project Section:

Organization	Point of Contact
Asian Americans for Community Involvement	Cam Vu and Anne Marie Tran
California Environmental Protection Agency	Arsenio Mataka
City of Gilroy	David Bischoff
City of San Jose District Three	Lucila Ortiz and Heidi Sickler
Delmas Park Neighborhood Association	Andrew Tubbs and Bert Weaver
Greenbelt Alliance	Brian Schmidt
Sierra Health Foundation	Manuel Alvarado
TransForm	Chris Lepe

The following high-level themes emerged from the interviews:

- Building credibility and trust within an environmental justice community is essential to effective
 engagement. This can be accomplished by partnering with local community organizations and
 thought leaders to share information and co-convene meetings, and demonstrating an
 understanding of their interests and concerns. Environmental justice communities often have an
 acute mistrust of government agencies, and while this can be overcome, it will take time and
 commitment.
- Environmental justice communities have varying degrees of familiarity with the HSR project. To
 build understanding, project information should be communicated in simple, non-technical
 terms and translated in-language, and graphics and visuals should be used to explain complex
 topics.
- To ensure constructive interactions with environmental justice stakeholders, the Authority should provide **comfortable**, **convenient**, **and culturally relevant opportunities for stakeholder participation**. This approach includes leveraging existing community meetings and gatherings, and engaging residents where they live, shop and play.
- Environmental justice stakeholders often do not attend Authority-convened events because they have **competing**, **higher-priority needs**. By addressing these needs through providing food and childcare, and holding meetings at times convenient to residents, the Authority can demonstrate it values their time and wants to make the process as convenient as possible.
- When engaging environmental justice communities, the Authority should frame the project
 more as a quality of life issue than exclusively a transportation issue, which will make it more
 relatable to local needs and priorities. If the Authority can demonstrate that the HSR project can
 help improve the overall quality of their lives by alleviating current community challenges (e.g.,

poor air quality, traffic congestion, poverty) while providing tangible benefits (e.g., job creation, economic development, improved mobility and accessibility), residents of these communities are more likely to want to participate in the process.

The Summary of Interviews with Environmental Justice Stakeholders – San Francisco to San Jose and San Jose to Merced Project Sections report was developed to capture and summarize these interviews.

III. Group Stakeholder Meetings

The Authority convened Community Working Groups (CWGs) in the San Jose to Merced Project Section to discuss and gather input on project alternatives with community members representing a broad range of local interests. Each of the CWGs includes representatives of environmental justice communities in the project section. During the reporting period, the Authority conducted the following CWG meetings:

- April 18, 2016: Los Banos CWG
- August 9, 2016: Gilroy-Los Banos Technical Working Group (note: members of the Los Banos CWG were invites to participate as members of this group)
- August 11, 2016: Morgan Hill-Gilroy CWG
- August 17, 2016: San Jose CWG

Title VI reports were submitted to the Title VI Coordinator for each CWG meeting.

IV. Local Stakeholder Contact

In addition to hosting public meetings and CWG meetings, the Authority and/or regional consultant staff also attended community events in the San Jose to Merced Project Section to provide project information and gather stakeholder input from stakeholders in environmental justice communities, including:

- August 20, 2016: Gardner Community Flea Market (San Jose)
- September 18, 2016: VivaCalle San Jose
- October 9, 2016: Day on the Bay Multicultural Festival (Alviso)

Title VI reports were submitted to the Title VI Coordinator for each outreach event.

In addition, Authority and/or consultant staff participated in the following small group meetings and briefings with representatives of environmental justice communities:

- June 7, 2016: Meeting with San Jose District 6 neighborhood residents
- September 12, 2016: Presentation to Gilroy City Council
- September 13, 2016: Meeting with San Jose District 2 staff and City of San Jose staff to discuss outreach to residents along Monterey Highway corridor

¹ The Authority's definition and application of the term "environmental justice" as it relates to the High Speed Rail project is as follows: "Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public." (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)

[&]quot;Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, states that "no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefit of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity that receives Federal financial assistance" (Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VI).



SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY October 16, 2016 – April 30, 2017

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justiceⁱ (Title VI) public involvement activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail San Jose to Merced Project Section between October 16, 2016 and April 30, 2017. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

Similar to the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

Public Meetings

During the reporting period, the Authority conducted three public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section, including:

Date	City	Meeting Location
April 18, 2017	Gilroy	I.F.D.E.S. Lodge-Portuguese Hall
April 20, 2017	San Jose	Southside Community Center
April 25, 2017	Los Banos	Los Banos Community Center

Each of the cities where the public meetings were held includes substantial low-income and limited English-proficient (LEP) populations. For each of the public meetings, the Authority provided interpreters for languages commonly spoken (i.e., 5% or more of the population speaks the language as its first language) in each respective community (Spanish for Gilroy and Los Banos; Spanish and Vietnamese for San Jose). The meeting invitation flyer was translated and made available in the following languages: English, Spanish, Mandarin, Vietnamese and Tagalog. In addition, several factsheets were available in Spanish at the meetings.

Kearns & West developed Title VIⁱⁱ reports for each public meeting and they are available on the California High-Speed Rail project SharePoint site. Title VI reports were submitted to the Title VI Coordinator within 5 days after each public meeting.

Group Stakeholder Meetings

The Authority convened Community Working Groups (CWGs) in the San Jose to Merced Project Section to discuss and gather input on project alternatives with community members representing a broad range of local interests. Each of the CWGs includes representatives of environmental justice communities in the project section. During the reporting period, the Authority conducted the following CWG meetings:

- January 23, 2017: San Jose CWG (Edenvale Public Library)
- January 26, 2017: Morgan Hill-Gilroy CWG (I.F.D.E.S. Lodge-Portuguese Hall in Gilroy)

Kearns & West developed Title VI reports for each CWG meeting and they are available on the California High-Speed Rail project SharePoint site.

Local Stakeholder Contact

In addition to hosting public meetings and CWG meetings, the Authority and/or regional consultant staff also hosted information tables at community gathering locations in the San Jose to Merced Project Section to provide project information and gather stakeholder input from stakeholders in environmental justice communities, including:

- November 29, 2016: Edenvale Public Library (San Jose)
- December 19, 2016: Gilroy Downtown Library
- April 6, 2017: Gilroy Downtown Library
- April 17, 2017: Arteaga's Market (Gilroy)

In addition, Authority and/or consultant staff participated in the following small group meetings and briefings with representatives of environmental justice communities:

- October 27, 2016: Meeting with San Jose residents at the Edenvale Public Library. The meeting was hosted by San Jose District 2 City Councilmember Ash Kalra.
- November 14, 2016: Meeting with Gilroy neighborhood representatives to discuss outreach to residents of environmental justice communities in Gilroy.
- December 7, 2016: Meeting with Edenvale Great Oaks Plan Implementation Coalition (EGOPIC) at the Edenvale Community Center in San Jose.
- February 1, 2017: Meeting with the Seven Trees Neighborhood Association at the Seven Trees Community Center in San Jose.
- February 13, 2017. Meeting with the Gardner Neighborhood Association at the Gardner Community Center in San Jose.
- March 8, 2017: Meeting with the Goodyear-Mastic and Alma Neighborhood Associations at the Alma Senior Center in San Jose.

Kearns & West developed Title VI reports for each local stakeholder outreach event and they are available on the project SharePoint site.

The following items emerged from the environmental justice outreach events:

Questions and concern about impacts to property value and how compensation would occur

- Questions and concerns about noise impacts from train operation and construction
- Concerns about traffic impacts from train operation and construction
- Concerns about increased graffiti on aerial structures and sound walls
- Concern about urbanization in East Gilroy
- Questions and concerns about the reliability of funding for High-Speed Rail
- Concern about amount of right of way available along Monterey Corridor
- Questions about the timeline for construction
- Questions about the choice of station locations
- Questions about the cost of tickets and speed of trains

¹ The Authority's definition and application of the term "environmental justice" as it relates to the High Speed Rail project is as follows: "Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public." (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)

[&]quot;Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, states that "no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefit of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity that receives Federal financial assistance" (Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VI).



SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY May 1, 2018 – October 31, 2018

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justice¹ outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority San Jose to Merced Project Section between May 1, 2018 and October 31, 2018. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

Similar to the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, there were no Authority-hosted public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section.

II. Group Stakeholder Meetings

The Authority convened three meetings with Community Working Groups (CWGs) across the San Jose to Merced project section to discuss and gather input on the 2018 Business Plan and project alternatives with community members representing a broad range of local interests. Each of the CWGs includes representatives of environmental justice communities in the project section. During the reporting period, the Authority conducted the following CWG meeting:

- May 1: Business Plan Webinar with NorCal Community Working Groups
- May 2: San Jose CWG
- August 16: San Jose CWG

The following items emerged from the CWG meetings:

- Concern regarding impacts to existing infrastructure (e.g., Diridon Station, Monterey Highway, historic structures).
- Questions about the feasibility of tunneling and aerial approaches.
- Questions about the process/rationale for selecting a preferred alternative and which crossings will have grade separations.
- Concern regarding noise, vibration, aesthetic and pollution impacts and potential mitigations during construction and operation.
- Concern about connections to local transit.

- Concern that blended service will result in slower trains, longer travel times and increased traffic congestion.
- Concern regarding the increase in the number of trains and people in the Diridon station area.
- Concern that the community lacks awareness of the project.
- Concern about lack of coordination with other agencies and external planning efforts.

III. Local Stakeholder Contact

During the reporting period, the San Jose to Merced Project Section's outreach consultant participated in eight local outreach events, conducted zero neighborhood canvasses and two stakeholder/service provider interviews.

Outreach Events

- June 8: Gilroy Right-of-Way Workshop
- July 2: Oak Grove Neighborhood Association Meeting
- August 14: Morgan Hill Morning Community Meeting
- August 14: Morgan Hill Evening Community Meeting
- September 20: Gilroy Small Business Workshop
- September 24: Gilroy Unified School District and City Council Meeting
- October 18: San Martin Neighborhood Alliance Meeting
- October 23: Delmas Park Neighborhood Association Meeting

Stakeholder/Service Provider Interviews

- October 29: Beatriz Sanchez (Biblioteca Latinoamericana, San Jose)
- October 31: Ky Le (Santa Clara County Office of Supportive Housing, Santa Clara County)

The following items emerged from the environmental justice outreach activities:

- Concern about impacts to local businesses during construction.
- Concern about property acquisition and receiving fair compensation.
- Concern about noise, vibration and visual impacts.
- Concern that more train crossings will increase traffic congestion and reduce parking availability.
- Concern about the project's viability in terms of whether there is sufficient funding to see it through.
- Concern regarding coordination with other projects.
- Questions about relative cost of various alternatives.
- Concern regarding safety at crossings.

¹ The Authority's definition and application of the term "environmental justice" as it relates to the High Speed Rail project is as follows: "Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public." (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)



SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY November 1, 2018 – April 30, 2019

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justice outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) San Jose to Merced Project Section between November 1, 2018 and April 30, 2019. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

In keeping with the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, there were no Authority-hosted public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section.

II. Group Stakeholder Meetings

The Authority convened five meetings with Community Working Groups (CWGs) along the San Jose to Merced project section to discuss and gather input on the 2018 Business Plan and project alternatives with community members representing a broad range of local interests. Each of the CWGs includes representatives of environmental justice communities in the project section. During the reporting period, the Authority conducted the following CWG meetings:

Meeting	Date	Location	# of	Purpose
			Attendees	
				Program Updates, San Jose to Merced Project
				Section, community engagement and
Morgan Hill-				outreach, CWG input on community
Gilroy CWG	11/7/2018	Gilroy	20	resources
				Statewide project update; review of
				alignment alternatives; outreach and
				engagement; introduction to CWG activity on
San Jose				community resources, community outreach,
CWG	11/28/2018	San Jose	18	project interfaces and integration

Meeting	Date	Location	# of	Purpose
			Attendees	
				Rationale and process for identifying
				Preferred Alternative, role of the early train
San Jose				operator, updated flyover video, project
CWG	2/21/2019	San Jose	29	benefits, outreach update
				Rationale and process for identifying
				Preferred Alternative, role of the early train
Morgan Hill–		Morgan		operator, updated flyover video, project
Gilroy CWG	3/5/2019	Hill	8	benefits, outreach update
				Build understanding of the safety and
				security characteristics of a high-speed rail
				system. Preview the format and types of
				information that will be shared about the
				Staff Recommended Preferred Alternative in
				Summer 2019. Provide an overview of the
Morgan Hill-				proposed process for CWG feedback on the
Gilroy CWG	4/22/2019	Gilroy	12	Staff Recommended Preferred Alternative.

The following items emerged from the CWG meetings:

- Concerns regarding the relationship of the Authority to other agencies, projects and planning efforts.
 - o The status of Caltrain electrification and its relation to the High-Speed Rail project.
 - Concern regarding interagency coordination and connection to other projects (e.g., Diridon Station planning).
 - Concern regarding the status of negotiations with Union Pacific and the potential impact on the selection of a preferred alternative.
 - Questions regarding the role of the Early Train Operator and its relationship to the Authority.
- Concerns regarding High-Speed Rail's potential impacts.
 - Parking and traffic
 - Concerns regarding impacts to parking availability.
 - Concerns regarding the impact of at-grade crossings on traffic congestion, neighborhood separations, emergency vehicle access, and connectivity.
 - Property
 - Concern regarding impacts to agricultural land in San Martin (especially in Alternative 3).
 - Concern regarding potential impacts to existing infrastructure depending on which alternative is selected.
 - Concern that a viaduct would significantly impact many neighborhoods.
 - Safety
 - Concerns that more frequent trains pose a greater safety risk.
 - Concern regarding the consistency and efficacy of pedestrian crossings across the corridor.
 - Concern regarding the vulnerability of High-Speed Rail to terrorist attacks.

- Concerns regarding the design, operation and efficacy of safety features (including fencing, quad gates, Automatic Train Control, barrier skirt)
- Noise and vibration
- Concerns regarding methodology.
 - Concern regarding the metrics being used for evaluating impacts to buildings and agricultural land.
 - o Interest in the methodology used for traffic analysis in the environmental document.
 - Concern that safety is not considered a differentiating factor in comparing alternatives.
- Concerns regarding project viability.
 - o Concern regarding levels of funding and responsibility for grade separation projects.
 - o Concern about the project status given the 2019 State of the State address.
 - Concern about project schedule, delays, and funding.
- Concerns regarding public input.
 - Recommendation to expand outreach efforts and to provide more opportunities for input (i.e., a process for providing comments on the Staff-Recommended Preferred Alternative).
 - Interest in how public input is being incorporated and concern that CWG members' opinions will not be considered.

III. Local Stakeholder Contact

During the reporting period, the San Jose to Merced Project Section's outreach consultant participated in two local outreach events, conducted two canvasses, and led 36 stakeholder/service provider interviews.

Outreach Events

Meeting	Date	Location	# of	Purpose	Resource
			Attendees		Partners/
					Advocacy
					Groups
				The event purpose was to	Vietnamese
				engage, for the first time,	Voluntary
				the Vietnamese community	Foundation
				in Santa Clara County,	
VIVO In-				provide updates on the	
Language		San Mateo		project, and solicit input on	
Meeting	3/6/2019	County	30	the alternatives.	
				Engage the Volta	Volta
				Elementary School and	Elementary
				broader community in Los	School
Volta				Banos, provide basic	
Elementary				information and updates on	
School In-				the project, and solicit input	
language				on impacts to the	
meeting	3/26/2019	Los Banos	20	community.	

Neighborhood Canvasses

Neighborhood Carivass				_
Meeting	Date	Location	# of	Purpose
			Attendees	
Centennial				Solicit input from senior citizens
Recreation Senior		Morgan		living in Morgan Hill on potential
Center Canvass	11/14/2018	Hill	3	impacts from High-Speed Rail.
Gardner Community				Solicit input from community
Center Canvass				members at the Gardner
				Community Center regarding
		Gardner,		potential impacts from High-Speed
	12/3/2018	San Jose	4	Rail.

Stakeholder/Service Provider Interviews

Stakenolder/Service Provider	IIILEI VIEWS			
Meeting	Date	Location	Organization	
Kathleen Rose	11/8/2018	Gilroy	Gavilan College	
Oscar Hernandez	11/9/2018	Gardner, San Jose	Biblioteca Latinoamericana	
Rose Barry	11/9/2018	Gilroy	Saint Mary Parish	
Deborah Flores	11/9/2018	Gilroy	Gilroy Unified School District	
Kathleen Cordova	11/12/2018	Gardner, San Jose	Santa Maria Urban Ministry	
Debbie Vasquez	11/14/2018	Morgan Hill	City of Morgan Hill	
Joe Cuevas	11/14/2018	San Martin	Santa Clara County Social Services	
Leslie Little, Tiffany Brown				
& Edith Ramirez	11/15/2018	Morgan Hill	City of Morgan Hill	
Quyen Mai			Vietnamese Voluntary Foundation	
	11/15/2018	San Jose	(VIVO)	
Cythina Sutter-Tkel	11/20/2018	San Jose	Salvation Army Family Services	
Brenda Callahan-Johnson			Merced County Community Action	
	11/27/2018	Merced	Agency	
Sal Ahkter			The Cordoba Center: South Valley	
	11/29/2018	San Martin	Islamic Community	
Patricia Mondragon			South Valley Middle School in	
	12/4/2018	Gilroy	Gilroy	
Karolinne Livingston	12/4/2018	Gilroy	Rebekah Assembly	
Shannon Lane			Morgan Hill Community Adult	
	12/4/2018	Morgan Hill	School	
Cindy Reeves	12/4/2018	Morgan Hill	Learning and Loving Center	
Daniel Guhl	12/4/2018	San Jose	Salvation Army Emmanuel House	
Matthew Thomas	12/10/2018	Los Banos	Volta Elementary School	
Joe Heim	12/10/2018	Los Banos	Los Banos Community Center	
Irma Torres	12/10/2018	Los Banos	Kings View	
Kevin Sved			Navigator Schools (Gilroy Prep	
	12/14/2018	Gilroy	School)	
Erin O'Brien	12/18/2018	Santa Clara County	Community Solutions	
Chris Rebboah	12/20/2018	Gilroy	Rebekah Children's Services	
Jeff Darling	12/21/2018	Santa Clara County	San Andreas Regional Center	
Sinthia Sazio	1/8/2019	Gilroy	Head Start Program	

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Greg Dinsmore	1/29/2019	Gilroy	Hope Services
Sally Armendariz	2/7/2019	Gilroy	CARAS South County
Christine Vasquez	2/12/2019	Gilroy	Glen View Elementary
Josh Selo	2/12/2019	San Jose	West Valley Community Services
Paul Escobar			San Jose Downtown Residents
	2/14/2019	San Jose	Association
Jane Stevens	2/19/2019	San Martin	San Martin Lions Club
Jan Bernstein-Chargin	2/28/2019	Gilroy	Compassion Center
Milina Jovanovic			Santa Clara County Office of
	2/28/2019	Santa Clara County	Immigrant Relations
Marco Sanchez	3/14/2019	Gilroy	Gilroy High School
Laura Cortez	4/18/2019	Gilroy	Alexander Station
Vicki Martin	4/22/2019	Gilroy	St. Joseph's Family Center

The following items emerged from the environmental justice outreach activities:

Potential Impacts

- Concern regarding neighborhood separations and the separation of communities from the services upon which they rely.
- Concern regarding noise and vibration and the aesthetic impact of sound barriers.
- Displacement
 - Concern that High-Speed Rail will exacerbate the lack of affordable housing and further raise housing costs.
 - Concern regarding property acquisition that may be necessary depending on the alternative selected.
- o Traffic and parking
 - Interest in how the alignment will impact congestion on local roadways.
- Safety
 - Concern regarding security at train stations and on-board trains.
 - Concern regarding safety at grade crossings (especially near schools).
 - Concern regarding the proximity of High-Speed Rail to homeless individuals in the rail right-of-way.

• Potential Community Benefits

- Interest in more information regarding mitigation measures to lessen impacts in environmental justice communities.
- Concern regarding the affordability of fares and whether low-income individuals will be able to make use of High-Speed Rail.
- Optimism that High-Speed Rail will result in additional customers for local businesses (due to increased traffic at stations).
- Optimism that High-Speed Rail will lead to improved access to jobs and higher education (shorter commutes and reduced traffic congestion).
- Optimism that High-Speed Rail will lead to the preservation of agricultural land (depending on the alternative selected).
- Public Engagement

- Interest in varied modes of engagement because some populations have limited access to technology.
- Concern that there is a lack of awareness about the project in environmental justice communities.

• Project Costs and Operation

- Concern that taxpayer money should be spent judiciously (especially in regard to the demolition of recently constructed buildings and infrastructure).
- Interest in fair labor practices.
- o Concern regarding project timeline, availability of funds, and viability.
- Concern regarding the lack of funding for grade separation projects (and the associated financial burden placed on local jurisdictions).

Connectivity

- Concern regarding the speed and frequency of train service and connectivity to other forms
 of transit.
- Concern regarding safe bicycle and pedestrian access.

¹ The Authority's definition and application of the term "environmental justice" as it relates to the High Speed Rail project is as follows: "Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public." (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)



SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE OUTREACH SUMMARY May 1, 2019 – October 31, 2019

Overview

The following report summarizes environmental justice outreach activities conducted for the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) San Jose to Merced Project Section between May 1, 2019 and October 31, 2019. These activities are consistent with the overall approach and specific strategies outlined in the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan and the High-Speed Rail Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Methodology Guidelines, Version 5 (or, EMGv5).

In keeping with the San Jose to Merced Environmental Justice Outreach Plan, the activities in this report are organized into the following outreach categories:

- Public meetings
- Stakeholder group meetings
- Local stakeholder contact

I. Public Meetings

During the reporting period, there were no Authority-hosted public meetings in the San Jose to Merced Project Section. The Authority hosted open houses (focused on the selection of a preferred alternative), but these are not being included as they neither focused on environmental justice nor did they target environmental justice communities.

II. Group Stakeholder Meetings

While the Authority convened several Community Working Groups (CWGs) along the San Jose to Merced Project Section during this time period, these meetings were not focused on environmental justice topics and they did not target environmental justice communities.

III. Local Stakeholder Contact

During the reporting period, the San Jose to Merced Project Section's outreach consultant participated in 11 outreach events and also led 11 stakeholder/service provider interviews.

Outreach Events

Meeting	Date	Location	# of	Resource Partners/
			Attendees	Advocacy Groups
Gardner Community				Gardner Neighborhood
Meeting	5/13/2019	San Jose	17	Association
				Gilroy Unified School
Gilroy Community Meeting	5/28/2019	Gilroy	4	District

HomeFirst Homeless				
(Monterey Corridor)	5/31/2019	San Jose	12	
				St Joseph's Family
Homeless Dinner	6/13/2019	Gilroy	20	Center
PATH Homeless (Diridon)	6/14/2019	San Jose	8	
				Morgan Hill Chamber of
Morgan Hill Music	7/5/2019	Morgan Hill	50	Commerce
Good Morning Morgan Hill				Morgan Hill Chamber of
Chamber Breakfast	8/1/2019	Morgan Hill	75	Commerce
Downtown San Jose				
Farmers Market	8/9/2019	San Jose	60	
Gilroy Car Show	8/17/2019	Gilroy	80	
Refugee and Immigrant				
Forum of Santa Clara				
County	10/16/2019	San Jose	15	
	9/20/2019 -			
Vietnamese Moon Festival	9/21/2019	San Jose	90	

The following items emerged from these environmental justice outreach events:

Project Timeline/Sequencing/Process

- Interest in the project timeline (e.g., the sequencing of construction phases, coordination
 with local infrastructure projects, and the timing of property acquisitions) and the process
 for selecting a preferred alternative.
- o Concern regarding news reports of project delays, mismanagement and cost overruns.

• <u>Displacement/Property Impacts</u>

- Interest in pinpointing the specific homes that will be affected by the project and acquired by the Authority.
- Concern regarding potential impacts to historic buildings and public spaces (e.g., Fuller Park)
 and relief that the preferred alternative may minimize such displacement.
- Concern that the project may raise housing prices.

Coordination with External Agencies

- Interest in the logistics of how the Authority will coordinate service with other transportation agencies (e.g., Union Pacific, Caltrain, Amtrak, BART, local buses)
- Interest in how the High-Speed Rail timeline relates to the development of the Diridon Integrated Station Concept.

Funding

- Concern regarding the availability of funding to complete the project given the lack of Federal funding forthcoming.
- Concern that additional funding will necessitate raising taxes and suggestions that support should come from technology companies in Silicon Valley (especially those providing shuttles for employees).

Noise

 Concern regarding noise impacts of train operation and interest in mitigations including quiet zones and sound walls.

Train Stations

- Interest in the location and construction timeline of train stations (including East Gilroy Station and Diridon).
- Disappointment that there are no plans for a station in Morgan Hill.

Traffic

- Concern regarding traffic congestion at at-grade intersections, especially given the higher frequency of trains.
- Optimism that the project may benefit all commuters by relieving traffic congestion (specifically in the Peninsula Corridor).

• Project Benefits and Equity

- Concern that project benefits will not be distributed equitably and that individuals and communities with the least social/political capital will bear the greatest negative impacts.
- Optimism regarding potential project benefits (e.g., economic opportunities for downtown Gilroy, increased commuting options especially for non-drivers, job opportunities, more investment in Gilroy, alternative means of travelling to Southern California).

Community Cohesion/Separation/Connectedness

- o Optimism regarding greater access to other cities across California.
- o Concern that the project is dividing the community "both physically and spiritually."

• Public Awareness/Outreach/Engagement

- Interest in having outreach materials translated into additional languages and distributed to non-English speaking communities.
- Widespread belief that the project had been canceled.
- o Concern that the public isn't being sufficiently engaged in the process.
- Concern that youth lack awareness of the project.

Homeless

- Concern regarding the use and proximity of high-speed rail infrastructure (e.g., train stations, tracks, tunnels, archways) to numerous existing homeless encampments; the difficulty of relocating homeless individuals, and the potential future development of similar encampments.
- Concern for the safety of homeless individuals who cross the train tracks as part of their daily routine.

Safety

- Interest in safety features and other infrastructure that might mitigate risks, including pedestrian bridges, ramps, walls, gates, fences and caged entrance systems to the public (especially vulnerable populations such as children and the homeless).
- Concern with safety impacts to at-risk youth living near tracks who may attempt to inflict self-harm (specifically regarding those alternatives going through downtown Gilroy that will be adjacent to Rebekah Children's Services).
- Concern regarding safety impacts from electrification and at-grade crossings.

Alternatives

- General
 - Interest in whether there will be a single alternative for the entire project section, or if elements of different alternatives can be combined.
- Support for Alternative 4

- Belief that Alternative 4 would have the smallest impact on properties (including homes and historic buildings).
- Belief that using existing tracks is the most efficient, cost-effective solution and would cause the fewest disruptions.
- Opposition to Alternative 4
 - Concern that Alternative 4 will contribute to the Mexican community's segregation as it will serve as a barrier from the rest of Gilroy.
 - Concern with safety issues along the alignment, especially regarding schools and their proximity to tracks.
 - Concern that Alternative 4 poses the greatest risk to the Gilroy community because of the many educational institutions along the alignment.
 - Concern regarding the speed of trains under Alternative 4.
- Opposition to Other Alternatives
 - Concern that Alternative 3 will negatively impact farmers.
 - Concern that a viaduct may disrupt traffic along Monterey Road and thereby negatively impact businesses in Gilroy and Morgan Hill.
 - Concern about the cost associated with constructing a viaduct.
- Support for Other Alternatives
 - Preference for a viaduct in the median of Highway 101 to mitigate safety concerns.

Stakeholder/Service Provider Interviews

Meeting	Date	Location	Organization
Desarie Abeyta	6/25/2019	San Jose	Bill Wilson Center
Elizabeth Gonzalez	6/26/2019	San Jose	De-Bug
			Guadalupe Washington
Rosalinda Aguilar & Ray Moreno	10/29/2019	San Jose	Neighborhood Association
Mags Petkewicz	10/29/2019	Gardner	Gardner Academy
Brandon Quintanilla	10/29/2019	San Jose	Better Tomorrow
Nastaran Nazarian	10/30/2019	San Jose	PARS Equality Center
			Family and Children Services of
			Silicon Valley (LGBTQ Youth
Adrienne Keel	10/17/2019	San Jose	Program)
			San Jose Environmental Services
Vy Nguyen	10/18/2019	San Jose	Communications Division
Susan Norris	10/2/2019	San Jose	PG&E
			International Children Assistance
Quyen Vuong	10/24/2019	San Jose	Network
Jody Ngo	10/4/2019	San Jose	UStar Productions

The following items emerged from these environmental justice outreach interviews:

Safety

 Concern regarding people crossing the tracks (e.g., students accessing schools, children accessing libraries, adjacent homeless encampments, and community members who do not have cars and therefore rely on walking and bicycling).

- o Concern regarding adequate fencing and barriers.
- Concern regarding adequate signage and the need for a public awareness campaign to warn people of danger (especially given the substance abuse and mental health issues in the homeless community).

• Homeless Issues

 Concern regarding the proximity of homeless encampments to the tracks and associated safety risks and displacement.

Noise

Concern regarding noise impacts (both during construction and once service begins).

Displacement

 Concern about increased property values leading to community members losing their homes.

Air Quality

Concern regarding air quality impacts during construction.

Access/Affordability

 Concern that the benefits of high-speed rail will not be equitably shared if the service does not offer an affordable mode of travel.

• Traffic

 Concern regarding the impact on traffic congestion, especially during school drop-off and pick-up times.

• Project Benefits

 Optimism that the project will provide constituent communities with greater access to Southern California.

• Public Outreach

- o Concern that local EJ populations are not aware of the project.
- Concern that materials should be translated into additional languages (e.g., Farsi).
- Concern that EJ communities must have a voice in the process.
- Concern that the youth have not been adequately engaged.
- Recommendations for how best to reach various EJ communities (including collaborating with trusted local service providers, cultural events, local in-language media outlets, and door-to-door communication).

¹ The Authority's definition and application of the term "environmental justice" as it relates to the high-speed rail project is as follows: "Environmental Justice (EJ) is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income with respect to the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws and policies. Implementation of environmental justice principles in how the Authority plans, designs, and delivers the high-speed rail projects means that the Authority recognizes the potential social and environmental impacts that project activities may have on certain segments of the public." (HSR Authority, Title VI Report, 2013)